Clinical efficacy and safety of a depigmented and glutaraldehyde polymerized therapeutic vaccine of *Parietaria judaica*


Hospital Virgen de la Arrixaca. Murcia. Spain.

**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** The inhalation of *Parietaria judaica* pollen is a common cause of allergic respiratory diseases in the Mediterranean area. The objective of this study was to investigate the safety and clinical efficacy of a chemically modified (depigmented and glutaraldehyde-polymerized) vaccine of *Parietaria judaica*

**Methods and results:** Thirty patients with a well-documented clinical history of seasonal rhinitis and clinical sensitivity to *Parietaria judaica* pollen were included in a randomized trial during 12 months. The study was conducted following good clinical practices and appropriate consent forms were signed. Patients were divided into 2 groups of 15 individuals; group A received the modified extract and group C did not receive specific immunotherapy. Any adverse event was recorded to assess safety. Symptom scores, symptomatic medication use and the results of specific nasal challenges (before and after 12 months of treatment) were recorded to evaluate clinical efficacy. The treatment schedule consisted of an incremental phase of 5 injections and a maintenance dosage of 0.5 ml per month. Each patient received 14 injections during this period. All the patients completed the trial and no adverse reactions related to immunotherapy were recorded. A significant difference (p < 0.001) in symptom scores and overall use of symptomatic medication was observed between the two groups, being both scores lower in group A. No significant differences in nasal sensitivity existed before treatment among the 2 groups. However, after 12 months, a significant difference (p < 0.05) was observed only in group A patients, who showed a significant improvement in specific nasal challenges.

**Conclusions:** Immunotherapy with depigmented and glutaraldehyde-polymerized extract of *Parietaria judaica* pollen is safe and effective to treat patients with allergic rhinitis and clinical sensitivity to this pollen.
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Métodos y resultados: Se incluyó en un estudio aleatorizado de 12 meses de duración a 30 pacientes con historia clínica bien documentada de rinitis estacional y sensibilidad clínica al polen de *Parietaria judaica*. El estudio se llevó a cabo conforme a las buenas prácticas clínicas y se firmaron los formularios de consentimiento apropiados. Se distribuyó a los pacientes en dos grupos de 15 sujetos; el grupo A recibió el extracto modificado y el grupo C no recibió inmunoterapia específica. Para evaluar la inocuidad se registraron las reacciones adversas. Para evaluar la eficacia clínica se registraron las puntuaciones de los síntomas, el uso de medicación sintomática y los resultados de pruebas de provocación nasales específicas (antes y después de 12 meses de tratamiento). El régimen de tratamiento consistió en una fase de incremento de 5 inyecciones y una posología de mantenimiento de 0,5 ml al mes. Cada paciente recibió 14 inyecciones durante ese período. Todos los pacientes se sometieron al ensayo completo y no se registraron reacciones adversas relacionadas con la inmunoterapia. Se observó una diferencia significativa (p < 0,001) en las puntuaciones de los síntomas y el uso global de medicación sintomática entre los dos grupos; ambas puntuaciones fueron menores en el grupo A. Antes del tratamiento no se observaron diferencias significativas en la sensibilidad nasal de los dos grupos. Sin embargo, al cabo de 12 meses, se observó una diferencia considerable (p < 0,05) sólo en los pacientes del grupo A, los cuales experimentaron una mejora significativa en pruebas de provocación nasales específicas.

Conclusiones: La inmunoterapia con extracto depigmentado y polimerizado con glutaraldehído de polen de *Parietaria judaica* es segura y eficaz para tratar a los pacientes con rinitis alérgica y sensibilidad clínica a este polen.


**INTRODUCTION**

*Parietaria judaica*-induced pollinosis is an important clinical feature in the Mediterranean area. The efficacy of subcutaneous injection of vaccines containing inhalant allergen is well established. However, they can induce systemic reactions and require a tedious and long buildup phase. Because of the problems, ways of avoiding them have been investigated.

An approach to the modification of allergens that has been extensively studied is polymerization with the use of glutaraldehyde as a cross-linking agent. With this procedure, the allergen ability to react with human IgE antibody is reduced, retaining antigenic determinants accessible for the induction of IgG-class antibodies. These glutaraldehyde-modified allergic vaccines are safer than unmodified vaccines, while retaining clinical efficacy.

A method, including a depigmentation step in which the enzymatic activity is inactivated, pigments removed and the solubility of the allergoid enhanced, has recently been developed and used in several clinical and in vitro studies.

Pollen extracts, even after dialysis in 3 kDa membranes, retain irrelevant low molecular weight components which remain adsorbed to the allergenic proteins. These substances consist of condensed flavonoids and/or catechins, which may interfere in the reaction of glutaraldehyde with protein amino groups. The depigmentation step removes these contaminants. The polymerization of depigmented pollen allergens leads to a second generation of allergoid vaccines with considerably lower IgE-binding potencies and higher solubility than observed with the first generation polymers based on non-depigmented starting materials.

The objective of this study was to investigate the safety and clinical efficacy of a chemically modified (depigmented and glutaraldehyde-polymerized) vaccine of *Parietaria judaica*. Based on the recommendations of the Nordic Guidelines, objective outcomes of efficacy such as specific nasal provocation tests and skin testing were considered, as well as subjective outcomes, such as symptom scores and visual scale.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study design**

This clinical trial was conducted following Good Clinical Practices. The study was authorized by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital "Virgen de la Arrixaca", and the Spanish Health Authorities. All patients gave their witnessed written consent to participate in the study. The study was controlled, parallel and randomized, and included 2 groups of patients. One group treated with the modified allergen extract (group A). A second group (group C) was used as control, only receiving symptomatic pharmacologic medications.
Patient population

Patients were recruited from the outpatient clinic Allergy Department at the Hospital “Virgen de la Arrixaca” of Murcia, Spain. Thirty patients (10 male, 20 female) of a mean age of 31 years (range 19-40) were selected for the study. All patients met the following criteria: a clinical history of more than 2 years of evolution of rhinoconjunctivitis during the *Parietaria judaica* pollen season, positive skin-prick tests to a standardized *P. judaica* pollen extract (100 HEP/ml C.B.F. LETI, S.A.) and negative to the rest of common aeroallergens. A skin test was considered positive when the wheal size diameter was ≥ 3 mm in the absence of a reaction in the negative control. All patients had a positive specific IgE determination to *Parietaria judaica* pollen and a positive allergen-specific nasal provocation test (NCT). As exclusion criteria, we used those outlined in the WHO position paper on allergen immunotherapy².

After the initial diagnostic tests, the patients were randomly assigned to one of the two groups. Group A received treatment with a maximum concentration of 2.4 μg of freeze dried modified allergen/ml, and group C pharmacologic treatment, consisting of antihistamines and occasionally oral corticosteroids.

Symptom and medication scores

During the pollen season, patients recorded daily symptom scores during the *P. judaica* pollen season. Chest (breathlessness, wheeze, chest tightness), nose (sneeze, blockage, and running), eye (itching, redness, streaming, and swelling), and mouth and throat (itching and dryness) were scored on a scale ranging from 0 to 3 (0 = none; 1 = slight, the symptom is clearly present but is not troublesome; 2 = moderate, the symptom is present, it is troublesome, but not disabling or insufferable; and 3 = severe, when the symptom is severe, disabling and/or insufferable). The daily total symptom score was calculated. The intake of medication was quantified according to Dreborg et al¹², in which the total number of tablets and puffs in 24 hours made up the daily score.

Pollen counts

The pollen count was made according to a previously described technique¹¹,¹² with a standard Burkard volumetric spore-trap (Burkard Manufacturing Co., Rockmansword, Herst, U.K.). Pollen grains were counted daily (expressed as pollen grains/m³). The

*Parietaria judaica* pollen season was defined as the period of 1997 having 90 % of the total yearly pollen grains, starting March 7th and ending August 12th.

Skin Prick Tests

Patients were skin tested on admission and after 12 months of treatment. The skin prick tests were conducted, in duplicate, on the volar surface of the forearm using extracts containing 100, 10, 1 and 0.1 HEP/ml (C.B.F. LETI, S.A., Spain). The same batch of native, unmodified allergen extract was used throughout the trial. The extract was supplied freeze-dried and vacuum closed to be reconstituted just before use. Histamine HCl 10 mg/ml and glycerinated saline solution were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Skin tests were done between 9:00 A.M. and 11 A.M. None of the patients was pretreated with drugs, which could affect the performance of the test. Reactions were recorded after 15 minutes of application¹³. Wheal areas were outlined with a fine tip marker and transferred, with transparent tape, to the corresponding sheet of a Case Report Form. The area of each wheal was measured by planimetry using a Wacom pallette (Wacom Technology Co., USA) connected to the computer program MacDraft (Microspot USA, Inc.). For each patient, the individual bioequivalent dose of allergen extract to achieve a wheal of the same size as positive control (individual 10 HEP) was calculated⁹.

Nasal Provocation Tests

Nasal challenges were considered the main outcome to document clinical efficacy of the treatment⁵. The test was performed at baseline and after 12 months using native, standardised, unmodified allergen extracts. The degree of nasal obstruction was measured by means of the nasal inspiratory peak flow meter (NIPF)¹⁴,¹⁵. The same batch of native, unmodified allergen extract at 10, 1, 0.5 and 0.1 HEP/ml was used throughout the trial and was supplied freeze-dried and vacuum closed to be reconstituted just before use. All patients were tested between 8 AM and noon. None of the patients was pretreated with drugs that could affect the performance of the test. The results were expressed as the number of patients that were positive to each one of the concentrations used in the challenge.
systemic reaction (SR), large local reaction (ELR) defined as local swelling at the site 5 cm or greater in diameter 30 minutes after injection, or a late large local reaction (LLR) 10 cm or greater in diameter occurring 8 to 24 hours after injection. All the side reactions were recorded and were quantified according the EAACI guidelines.

### Statistics

The sample size of this study was based on previous observations for determining efficacy based on nasal challenges. The calculation was based on a two-tailed, mean differences, with a criterion for significance (α) of 0.05, a power of 0.95, and assuming 20 % of abandons. Based on the previous characteristics, the study needed 15 cases per cell for a total of 30 cases. The software Systat (SPSS, Inc. USA) was used for statistical analysis. In addition to descriptive, a log-log regression in the bioassay of dose-response of in vivo skin prick tests was conducted. The dose-response relationship between the geometric mean of the wheal and the concentrations used was calculated for each patient using the least squares method. The regression line analysis log (Y) = a + b × log (X), in which Y is the wheal area and X is the allergen concentration, was used to calculate the individual amount of allergen needed to achieve a skin reaction of the same size as histamine 10 mg/ml (individual 10 HEP). Daily symptom and medication scores (area under the curve –AUC–) during the pollination period and after 12 months. The non-parametric Wilcoxon test was used to compare, into each group, the individual 10 HEP were compared groupwise by the non-parametric test of Mann-Whitney.

The non-parametric Wilcoxon test was used to compare, into each group, the individual 10 HEP before the use of immunotherapy and after 12 months. The contingency table analysis was used to statistically evaluate the number of patients that were positive to each concentration of the allergen in each group at the beginning and at the end of the study.

### RESULTS

#### Characteristics of the extract used

The 50 % inhibition of IgE binding was 10 ng for the native unmodified extract and 5525 ng for the resulting polymer (fig. 1). The potency for human IgG-antibody binding was 10 ng for the native unmodified extract and 5525 ng for the resulting polymer (fig. 1). The potency for human IgG-antibody binding was 10 ng for the native unmodified extract and 5525 ng for the resulting polymer.
The native extract contained 363 μg of Par j per mg of freeze-dried extract and the polymer 12 μg.

Immunotherapy schedule and side effects

All the 30 patients finished the study and patients treated with Depigoid® reached the maximum dose. Systemic reactions and local reactions with a diameter over 5 cm were not reported.

Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom score</td>
<td>−9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication score</td>
<td>−13.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pollen count, symptom and medication scores
shows that there are differences between the two groups at baseline and after 12 months of treatment are not significant (p > 0.05).

When comparing skin test reactivity at baseline and after 12 months of treatment, both groups remained without significant changes.

DISCUSSION

This clinical trial was designed to evaluate safety and efficacy of a depigmented, glutaraldehyde modified P judaica allergen extract. In terms of safety, there were no systemic reactions and only two local reactions with a diameter lower than 5 cm were recorded.

The recommendations outlined in the Nordic Guidelines were followed to demonstrate efficacy. These guidelines suggest that in cases with a known natural history of allergic respiratory diseases, open studies with a restricted number of patients are acceptable if a clinical effect of the treatment is documented using objective methods, such as provocation tests, and the sample size is sufficient for statistical analysis of the results. The evaluation of the specific sensitivity of the shock organ, before and after treatment, is the most objective parameter to analyze the efficacy of immunotherapy. Thus, we used the nasal provocation test as an objective method to document the clinical effect and to calculate the sample size. We selected the nasal inspiratory peak flow (NIPF) as the method of choice to assess the sample size. We selected the nasal inspiratory peak flow (NIPF) as the method of choice to assess the nasal patency. This method has a good correlation with anterior rhinomanometry. The medication score and the subjective outcome of symptom score were significantly lower in the group treated with IT than in the C group (p < 0.01).

An important conclusion of this study is that the depigmented polymerized extract of Parietaria judaica protects against allergen present in the native extract. This suggests that clinically relevant epitopes are present in the polymer. These finding is in agreement with specific IgG data, which demonstrated that both, native and polymer, retain IgG binding epitopes, while IgE binding epitopes are drastically reduced in the polymer.

Glutaraldehyde-modified allergens have been shown to be able to modify cytokine production toward and favors IFN-gamma secretion with a subsequent change in the balance Th1 and Th2 activity towards a Th1 response and downregulation of IgE antibody. This study confirms that depigmented polymerized extracts of the pollen of Parietaria judaica are safe and effective in the treatment of Parietaria pollen allergic patients, and provide clinical benefit in the shock organ after 12 months of treatment. Symptom and...
medication scores were also improved. Depigmented polymerized extracts of the pollen of *Parietaria judaica* induce clinical protection against a native extract as verified by specific nasal provocation.
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