



Brazilian Journal of OTORHINOLARYNGOLOGY

www.bjorl.org



REVIEW ARTICLE

Obstructive sleep apnea and oral language disorders[☆]



Camila de Castro Corrêa^{a,*}, Maria Gabriela Cavalheiro^b, Luciana Paula Maximino^b,
Silke Anna Theresa Weber^a

^a Universidade Estadual Paulista “Júlio de Mesquita Filho” (FM-UNESP), Faculdade de Medicina de Botucatu, Departamento de Oftalmologia e Otorrinolaringologia, Botucatu, SP, Brazil

^b Universidade de São Paulo (FOB-USP), Faculdade de Odontologia de Bauru, Departamento de Fonoaudiologia, Bauru, SP, Brazil

Received 14 June 2015; accepted 10 January 2016

Available online 28 April 2016

KEYWORDS

Child language;
Language disorders;
Speech, language and
hearing sciences;
Obstructive sleep
apnea

Abstract

Introduction: Children and adolescents with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) may have consequences, such as daytime sleepiness and learning, memory, and attention disorders, that may interfere in oral language.

Objective: To verify, based on the literature, whether OSA in children was correlated to oral language disorders.

Methods: A literature review was carried out in the Lilacs, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science databases using the descriptors “Child Language” AND “Obstructive Sleep Apnea”. Articles that did not discuss the topic and included children with other comorbidities rather than OSA were excluded.

Results: In total, no articles were found at Lilacs, 37 at PubMed, 47 at Scopus, and 38 at Web of Science databases. Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, six studies were selected, all published from 2004 to 2014. Four articles demonstrated an association between primary snoring/OSA and receptive language and four articles showed an association with expressive language. It is noteworthy that the articles used different tools and considered different levels of language.

Conclusion: The late diagnosis and treatment of obstructive sleep apnea is associated with a delay in verbal skill acquisition. The professionals who work with children should be alert, as most of the phonetic sounds are acquired during ages 3–7 years, which is also the peak age for hypertrophy of the tonsils and childhood OSA.

© 2016 Associação Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia e Cirurgia Cérvico-Facial. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

[☆] Please cite this article as: Corrêa CC, Cavalheiro MG, Maximino LP, Weber SA. Obstructive sleep apnea and oral language disorders. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2017;83:98–104.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: camila.ccorrea@hotmail.com (C.C. Corrêa).

Peer Review under the responsibility of Associação Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia e Cirurgia Cérvico-Facial.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Linguagem infantil;
Transtornos da
linguagem;
Fonoaudiologia;
Apneia do sono tipo
obstrutiva

Apneia obstrutiva do sono e alterações da linguagem oral**Resumo**

Introdução: Crianças e adolescentes com Apneia Obstrutiva do Sono (AOS) podem apresentar sonolência diurna, alterações de aprendizado, memória e atenção, que podem interferir na linguagem oral.

Objetivo: Verificar, com base na literatura, se a AOS apresenta correlação com alterações da linguagem oral.

Método: Foi realizada revisão bibliográfica nas bases de dados Lilacs, Pubmed, Scopus e Web of Science, a partir das palavras-chaves "Linguagem Infantil" AND "Apneia do Sono Tipo Obstrutiva". Os artigos que não se relacionavam ao tema foram excluídos, bem como estudos com crianças que apresentassem outras comorbidades, além da AOS.

Resultados: Foram localizados 0 artigos na base Lilacs, 37 na Pubmed, 47 na Scopus e 38 na Web of Science. A partir dos critérios de inclusão e exclusão, foram selecionados seis estudos, publicados em 2004 a 2014. Dos artigos incluídos, observou-se em quatro artigos a relação do grupo com ronco primário/SAOS com a Linguagem Receptiva e em quatro artigos a relação dessa população com a Linguagem Expressiva. Ressalta-se que os artigos utilizaram instrumentos diferentes e consideraram níveis diversificados da Linguagem.

Conclusão: O diagnóstico e tratamento tardio de AOS resultam em alterações significantes na qualidade da aquisição verbal. Torna-se imprescindível a atenção dos profissionais que atuam com o a população infantil para este aspecto, uma vez que grande parte dos sons da fala são adquiridos entre 3–7 anos, que corresponde ao período de pico de ocorrência de hipertrofia adenoamigdaliana e AOS na infância.

© 2016 Associação Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia e Cirurgia Cérvico-Facial. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Introduction

Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) is characterized by partial and/or complete upper airway obstruction during sleep, associated with increased respiratory effort, fragmented sleep, and/or gas exchange abnormalities.^{1,2} There are differences in what is observed in adults *versus* children with respect to pathophysiology, clinical features and treatment.² The pathophysiology of OSA in children is associated with a predominant pattern of partial and persistent upper airway obstruction, resulting in hypercapnia and intermittent hypoxia.³ Snoring, the main symptom of OSA, is present in the clinical picture of almost all children with the alteration. Other signs and symptoms such as forced mouth breathing with costal retractions, sleep-walking, enuresis and night sweats, coughing, gagging, and agitation during sleep are also part of the clinical picture, and it is common for these children to move around in search of positions that facilitate the passage of air.⁴ Treatment differs from that of adults: adenotonsillectomy is considered the gold standard treatment and, when performed for the proper indications, it benefits the child with respect to neuropsychological, behavioral, and quality of life issues; obese children exhibit a lower rate of success.^{5,6}

It is estimated that the prevalence of OSA in healthy children without other associated clinical picture varies from 0.7% to 3%.^{7–10} The incidence is higher in the preschool range, an age when there is a greater disproportion between the hypertrophy of the palatine and pharyngeal tonsils and upper airway dimensions.⁵ This period is also recognized as

privileged for the acquisition and development of language and intense neuroplasticity of the central nervous system, which favors learning.^{11–14}

Among the consequences of OSA in children, the association with attention and memory deficits must be considered; that could impair information processing and recording, decreasing the learning capacity.^{15–17} The condition also affects the mood, expressive language skills, school performance, cognitive skills, and visual perception of this population.^{18–20}

Because the reported frequency of OSA in the literature occurs during an important phase of development in preschool children and OSA's effect on skills involved in the language acquisition process, learning, and school performance, it is relevant to assess the development of oral language in these children. There is strong evidence of OSA association with neurocognitive deficits,^{6,17,19} but studies that specifically focused on the development of language were not retrieved from the literature.

To understand oral language in this population, psycholinguistic skills must be investigated broadly, from the receptive language, which is defined as the capacity to understand the language in different aspects, such as understanding the tone of each other's voice during speech and the meaning of the words, when these are used in different contexts and complexities; to the expressive language, which refers to the capacity of organizing the linguistic system, in motor programming; and finally, in the verbalization of a sequence of symbols and meanings, in the case of oral language, resulting in the capacity to express oneself verbally.^{21–23}

The observation and measurement of all these linguistic levels can only be achieved through the application of protocols specifically developed for the patient's native language that have comparative scores with normative data for each age group. The only study detailing this aspect is a systematic review of the following tests used to assess receptive oral language: the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test, Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test-Revised (PPVT-R), Swedish Communication Screening at 18 months of act (SCS18), Test for Reception of Grammar-2 (TROG-2), Reynell Test, Reynell Language Development Scales, and Reynell Developmental Language Scales-II. It also emphasized that there are few tools and not all of them have validity studies.²⁴

Therefore, this study aimed to verify whether the presence of OSA is associated with possible oral language alterations.

Methods

A literature search was carried out with no temporal limitation, using the keywords "Child Language" AND "Obstructive Sleep Apnea", as well as their counterparts in Portuguese, "Linguagem Infantil" AND "Apneia do Sono Tipo Obstrutiva". The search was performed in four databases: Lilacs, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science.

The inclusion criteria comprised articles written on the central topic of children/adolescents with OSA, with focus on oral language alterations. Thus, the exclusion criteria included: articles that assessed other concomitant medical conditions that justified sleep or language alterations, such as cleft lip and palate, genetic syndromes (Down, craniosynostosis, and velocardiofacial syndrome), and ADHD; those with focus on motor speech disorders, such as speech apraxia; and literature review articles. It is noteworthy that the search was carried out using the VPN (Virtual Private Network) system and articles that were not fully available were also excluded.

Article selection was carried out by reading the titles and abstracts. Subsequently, the articles were analyzed in full, after which they were definitively included or not in the review. The articles included in the review were analyzed regarding their objectives, methods, results, and conclusions. The specific results of the evaluations regarding oral language, evaluated oral language specification (receptive and/or expressive) were also analyzed, and the limitations of each study were identified.

Results

The search found no articles in Lilacs, 37 in PubMed, 47 in Scopus, and 38 in Web of Science databases.

After first analysis, reading the titles and abstracts, eight studies were selected. The location in one or more databases where the articles were found is shown in Fig. 1.

For the final inclusion, all articles were read in full, except two, whose full versions were not available and thus were excluded. Therefore, Table 1 shows the six studies included in this study, with information on authorship, year, journal, and database from where they were retrieved, shown in ascending chronological order.

Table 2 shows the analysis of the included articles.

Discussion

A key feature of current studies on OSA is an interdisciplinary approach reflecting the varied and heterogeneous impairments that this condition may cause; treatment requires a holistic view of the individual for greatest effectiveness.

During this search, we observed that the selected articles on ORAL LANGUAGE were published only recently. The diagnosis of OSA has increased in recent years,³¹ which may explain the increase in the number of children with OSA and the higher number of current scientific research investigating these aspects.

Most studies were published in pediatric journals (four), one in sleep medicine, and one in neuropsychology. It is noteworthy that there were no publications in speech




Figure 1 Database description of the abstracts considered for the review, in numbers, also showing when they were found in more than one database.

Table 1 Data on authorship, year, journal, and database of assessed articles.

| Authors | Year | Journal | Database |
|------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| O'Brien et al. ²⁵ | 2004 | Pediatrics | PubMed – Web of science – Scopus |
| Kurnatowski et al. ²⁶ | 2006 | Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol | PubMed – Web of science – Scopus |
| Andreou and Agapitou ²⁷ | 2007 | Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology | Web of science |
| Landau et al. ²⁸ | 2012 | Pediatric Pulmonology | PubMed |
| Liukkonen et al. ²⁹ | 2012 | Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol | PubMed – Web of science – Scopus |
| Yorbik et al. ³⁰ | 2014 | Sleep and Biological Rhythms | Web of science – Scopus |

Table 2 Information on the objective, sample, methods, and results (specifically regarding oral language) of the analyzed articles.

| Author, year Study design | Objective | Sample | Methods – focus on oral language | OSA diagnostic criteria | Results – focus on oral language | Receptive and/or expressive language | Study limitation |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| O'Brien et al., 2004 ²⁵ Cross-sectional | To evaluate the association of primary snoring and neurobehavioral deficits in children. | 87 children with primary snoring and 31 healthy subjects, aged 5–7 years. | Used the NEPSY. | Diagnosis of Primary Snoring by PSG, considering the AI < 1; AHI < 5 and no abnormal alterations in gas exchange. | Language showed significantly lower results for the primary snoring group when compared to the control group. | Receptive and expressive language | It did not perform tests to assess hearing. |
| Kurnatowski et al., 2006 ²⁶ Cross-sectional | To analyze neurocognitive disorders (sensory-motor coordination, perception, memory, learning, concentration, focused attention and language reception) in children with OSA due to adenotonsillar hypertrophy. | 221 children in total. 117 children with OSAS: 87 aged 6–9 years and 34 aged 10–13 years. 104 healthy children. | Token Test (TT) – to assess the level of sensorimotor integration, perception and receptive language processes. | Diagnosis of OSAS by PSG with AHI > 1, oxygen desaturation < 92%. | The groups of children with OSAS had results below those found in healthy children regarding Receptive Language. | Receptive Language | It did not perform tests to assess hearing. |
| Andreou and Agapitou, 2007 ²⁷ Cross-sectional | To analyze whether OSA in childhood may be related to verbal fluency and academic performance. | 40 adolescents: 20 with OSA and 20 from the control group. Mean age: 18.41 years. | Two standardized tests of verbal fluency in Greek, regarding the semantic and phonological aspects. | OSA diagnosis by PSG, with AHI > 10 and/or SaO ₂ < 95% per event, and heart rate > 60 beats per minute. | A difference was observed in the phonological and semantic aspects when comparing children with and without OSA. The adolescents with OSA showed worse results. | Expressive Language | It did not perform tests to assess hearing and cognition. |
| Landau et al., 2012 ²⁸ Cross-sectional | To analyze the hypothesis that behavioral and cognitive functions of preschool children with OSA are impaired when compared to healthy children. To verify whether there was improvement after adenotonsillectomy. | 45 children with OSA and 26 healthy children aged 2.5–5 years. | The test Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children (K-ABC) was applied. | Diagnosis of OSA by PSG com AHI > 1. | Before surgery, the group with OSA showed worse performance in verbal fluency, and after surgery, there was an improvement in this regard. | Expressive language | It did not perform tests to assess hearing. |

Table 2 (Continued)

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| Liukkonen et al., 2012 ²⁹ Cross-sectional | To assess the association between sleep-disordered breathing and cognitive function in children. | 44 children with primary snoring and 51 healthy ones, aged 1–6 years. | The NEPSY assessment tool (comprehension of instructions, speeded naming and body part naming). | Diagnosis of Primary snoring by PSG, with AHI < 1. Hypopnea was defined as an airflow volume reduction of <50%, followed by awakening, oxyhemoglobin desaturation >2%. | The group of children with primary snoring obtained the lowest scores in language functions (comprehension of instructions, speeded naming). | Receptive and expressive language | It did not perform tests to assess hearing. |
| Yorbik et al., 2014 ³⁰ Cross-sectional | To investigate the effects of snoring and fragmented sleep on mental development in preschool children. | 212 children, 37 with complaints of snoring and 25 with fragmented sleep complaints, aged 3.1–6 years. | Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test was used. | Through a questionnaire. | Children with complaints of snoring and with fragmented sleep had lower scores on language. | Receptive Language | It did not perform PSG assessment and did not assess hearing. |

PSG, polysomnography; AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; AI, apnea index.

therapy and audiology journals, *i.e.*, those professional responsible for the understanding and speech therapy aspects of the peripheral and central auditory function, vestibular function, oral and written language, voice, fluency, speech articulation and myofunctional, orofacial, cervical, and deglutition systems.³²

In general, the assessed studies evaluated behavioral and neurocognitive functions; one study analyzed verbal fluency and academic performance. Thus, there were no studies that exclusively analyzed oral language, but rather tried to effectively understand language at all levels. For the understanding of oral language, the abilities of Expressive and Receptive Language should be considered, that is, the thought organization and expression processes that, as well-organized behavior, can be described by the aspects: phonological (inventory of sounds of a language and the combination of rules to form meaningful units); syntactic (verbal production rules as a structure, taking into account the morphological and grammatical analysis); semantic (characterized by the lexical repertoire and related to the meaning of words and their combinations); and pragmatic (rules related to intentionality, context, and function of speech).^{33–36}

Moreover, considering that the development of language occurs gradually, respecting the child's maturation process and influenced by the associations established with the environment where the child lives,³² the high variability of the age range of the subjects included in the studies analyzed in this review was a limiting factor, this prevented comparisons among the studies. Three studies assessed children younger than 6 years,^{27,29,30} one assessed children aged 5–7 years,²⁵ another assessed children aged 6–13 years,²⁶ and one study assessed adolescents.²⁸

The development of language is characterized by the presence of some markers, one of which is age from 4 to 7 years, when the child gradually starts to produce more complex sounds, starting with the appropriate production of simpler words progressing to longer words.³⁵ Regarding the samples assessed in the studies, the maximum age of 7 years was observed in four of them, and the other two considered children that were older than the expected age for the stability of the phonological system. Although it is not possible to establish associations between the samples regarding the phonological development due to the age range, it should be noted that the period between 3 and 7 years is the peak of adenoid hypertrophy in children with OSA,³⁷ and it is also when most speech sounds are acquired.³⁵

The studies also differ regarding the sleep characteristics, as three of them analyzed children with OSA assessed by polysomnography (PSG), two analyzed children with primary snoring, and one study did not include PSG among their assessment methods, characterizing the sample only through questionnaires. The definition of OSA diagnosis by PSG and its degree, is necessary to allow for the correlation of changes in oral language with the evaluation of physiological impairment.³⁸ Moreover, of the five studies that included PSG among their assessment methods, the criteria/parameters utilized to consider OSA were also different (with AHI ranging from >1 to >10). Thus, it is difficult to compare the included studies and considering that all of them had a cross-sectional design, their level of evidence is an intermediate one.

Regarding the methodology of language analysis through the different tests used to assess oral language (Kaufman, Peabody, Token, NEPSY, and an unspecified Greek test), it was not possible to perform a more thorough comparison of the outcomes, suggesting the need for studies with the standardization of these protocols, to provide a better understanding of the correlation between OSA and oral language. However, despite the absence of statistical indices comparing the results of the present investigation, there is growing evidence of oral language impairment in OSA cases.

Among the oral language levels, the results of the aforementioned studies showed difficulties in the semantic, phonological, and verbal fluency levels. Some authors have tried to explain how the neurocognitive performance of children may be affected by sleep alterations. Furthermore, it has been stated that language deficits and verbal fluency can be explained by the cumulative effect of sleep architecture disruption associated with the neurological maturation period, which over a period of a few years interferes with the development of neuronal synaptic networks, occurring rapidly and intensively in children.^{19,39} Verbal fluency deficits are also associated with prefrontal cortex dysfunction.^{40,41}

Therefore, the early diagnosis and treatment of OSA should be emphasized, not only because of the possible implications for oral language, as demonstrated in the reviewed studies, which tend to worsen as the chronological age increases,²⁷ but also for the benefits in neurocognitive performance and quality of life of these children.^{18,42–44}

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. American Academy of Sleep Medicine. The AASM manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events: rules, terminology and technical specifications. 1st ed. Westchester: Illinois; 2007.
2. Katz ES, D'Ambrosio CM. Pediatric obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Clin Chest Med.* 2010;31:221–34.
3. Marcus CL. Pathophysiology of childhood obstructive sleep apnea: current concepts. *Resp Physiol.* 2000;119:143–54.
4. American Academy of Pediatrics. Clinical practice guideline diagnosis and management of childhood obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Pediatrics.* 2002;109:704–12.
5. Marcus CL, Brooks LJ, Draper KA, Gozal D, Halbower AC, Jones J, et al. Diagnosis and management of childhood obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Pediatrics.* 2012;130:1–9.
6. Marcus CL, Moore RH, Rosen CL, Giordani B, Garetz SL, Taylor HG, et al. A randomized trial of adenotonsillectomy for childhood sleep apnea. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368:2366–76.
7. American Thoracic Society. Cardiorespiratory sleep studies in children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1999;160:1381–7.
8. Brunetti L, Rana S, Lospalluti ML, Pietrafesa A, Francavilla R, Fanelli M, et al. Prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in a cohort of 1207 children of southern Italy. *Chest.* 2001;120:1930–5.
9. Sogut A, Altin R, Uzun L, Ugur MB, Tomac M, Acun C, et al. Prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome and associated symptoms in 3–11-year-old Turkish children. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2005;39:251–6.

10. Bixler EO, Vgontzas AN, Lin HM, Liao D, Calhoun S, Vela-Bueno A, et al. Sleep disordered breathing in children in a general population sample: prevalence and risk factors. *Sleep*. 2009;32:731–6.
11. Zorzi JL. A intervenção fonoaudiológica nas alterações de linguagem infantil. Rio de Janeiro: Revinter; 2002.
12. Nelson HD, Nygren P, Walker M, Panoscha R. Screening for speech and language delay in preschool children: systematic evidence review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117:298–310.
13. Oliveira CEN, Salina ME, Annunziato NF. Fatores ambientais que influenciam a plasticidade do SNC. *Acta Fisiátrica*. 2001;8:6–13.
14. Anderson V, Spencer-Smith M, Wood A. Do children really recover better? Neurobehavioural plasticity after early brain insult. *Brain*. 2011;134:2197–221.
15. Owens J, Spirito A, Marcotte A, McGuinn M, Berkelhammer L. Neuropsychological and behavioral correlates of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in children: a preliminary study. *Sleep Breath*. 2000;4:67–78.
16. Blunden S, Lushington K, Kennedy D, Martin J, Dawson D. Behavior and neurocognitive performance in children aged 5–10 years who snore compared to controls. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2000;22:554–68.
17. Kennedy JD, Blunden S, Hirte C, Parsons DW, Martin AJ, Crowe E, et al. Reduced neurocognition in children who snore. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2004;37:330–7.
18. Gozal D. Sleep-disordered breathing and school performance in children. *Pediatrics*. 1998;102 3 Pt 1:616–20.
19. Beebe DW, Gozal D. Obstructive sleep apnea and the prefrontal cortex: towards a comprehensive model linking nocturnal upper airway obstruction to daytime cognitive and behavioral deficits. *J Sleep Res*. 2002;11:1–16.
20. Uema SFH, Pignatari SSN, Fujita RR, Moreira GA, Pradella-Hallinan M, Weckx L. Avaliação da função cognitiva da aprendizagem em crianças com distúrbios obstrutivos do sono. *Rev Bras Otorrinolaringol*. 2007;73:315–20.
21. Feldman HM, Campbell TF, Kurs-Lasky M, Rockette. Concurrent and predictive validity of parent reports of child language at ages 2 and 3 years. *Child Dev*. 2005;76:856–68.
22. Rondal JA, Esperet E, Gombert JE, Thibaut JP, Comblain A. Desenvolvimento da linguagem oral. In: Puyuelo M, Rondal JA, editors. *Manual de desenvolvimento e alterações da linguagem na criança e no adulto*. São Paulo: Artmed; 2007. p. 17–86.
23. Smeekens S, Riksen-Walraven JM, van Bakel HJA. Profiles of competence and adaptation in preschoolers as related to the quality of parent–child interaction. *J Res Pers*. 2008;42:1490–9.
24. Gurgel LG, Plentz RDM, Joly MCRA, Reppold CT. Instrumentos de avaliação da compreensão de linguagem oral em crianças e adolescentes: uma revisão sistemática da literatura. *Rev Neuropsicol Latinoamericana*. 2010;2:1–10.
25. O'Brien LM, Mervis CB, Holbrook CR, Bruner JL, Klaus CJ, Rutherford J, et al. Neurobehavioral implications of habitual snoring in children. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114:44–9.
26. Kurnatowski P, Putyński L, Lapienis M, Kowalska B. Neurocognitive abilities in children with adenotonsillar hypertrophy. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2006;70:419–24.
27. Andreou G, Agapitou P. Reduced language abilities in adolescents who snore. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2007;22:225–9.
28. Landau YE, Bar-Yishay Greenberg-Dotan S, Goldbart AD, Tarasiuk A, Tal A. Impaired behavioral and neurocognitive function in preschool children with obstructive sleep apnea. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2012;47:180–8.
29. Liukkonen K, Virkkula P, Haavisto A, Suomalainen A, Aronen ET, Pitkäranta A, et al. Symptoms at presentation in children with sleep-related disorders. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2012;76:327–33.
30. Yorbik O, Mutlu C, Koc D, Mutluer T. Possible negative effects of snoring and increased sleep fragmentation on developmental status of preschool children. *Sleep Biol Rhythms*. 2014;12:30–6.
31. Valera FCP, Demarco RC, Anselmo-Lima WT. Síndrome da apnéia e da hipopnéia obstrutivas do sono (SAHOS) em crianças. *Rev Bras Otorrinolaringol*. 2004;70:232–7.
32. Conselho Federal de Fonoaudiologia. *Exercício profissional do fonoaudiólogo 2002*. Brasília (DF): CFF; 2002. [cited 21 Mar 2015]. Available from: <http://www.fonoaudiologia.org.br/publicacoes/epdo1.pdf>
33. Hage SRV, Resegue MM, Viveiros DCS, Pacheco EF. Análise do perfil das habilidades pragmáticas em crianças pequenas normais. *Pró-Fono Rev Atualização Científica*. 2007;19:49–58.
34. Boone DR, Plante E. *A comunicação humana e seus distúrbios*. Porto Alegre: Artes Médicas; 1983.
35. Wertzner HF. Fonologia: desenvolvimento e alterações. In: Ferreira LP, Befi-Lopes DM, Limongi SCO, editors. *Tratado de Fonoaudiologia*. 1st ed São Paulo: Roca; 2004. p. 772–86.
36. Pennington BF, Bishop DV. Relations among speech, language, and reading disorders. *Rev Psychol*. 2009;60:283–306.
37. Greenfeld M, Tauman R, DeRowe A, Sivan Y. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome due to adenotonsillar hypertrophy in infants. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2003;67:1055–60.
38. Ryan CM, Bradley TD. Pathogenesis of obstructive sleep apnea. *J Appl Physiol*. 2005;99:2440–50.
39. O'Brien LM, Gozal D. Behavioral and neurocognitive implications of snoring and obstructive sleep apnea in children: facts and theory. *Pediatric Respir Rev*. 2002;3:3–9.
40. Desmond J, Fiez J. Neuroimaging studies of the cerebellum: language, learning and memory. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 1998;2: 355–62.
41. Janowski JS, Shimamura AP, Squire LR. Source memory impairment in patients with frontal lobe lesions. *Neuropsychologia*. 1989;27:1043–56.
42. Goldstein NA, Post JC, Rosenfeld RM, Campbell TF. Impact of tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy on child behavior. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2000;126:494–9.
43. Friedman BC, Hendeles-Amitai A, Kozminsky E, Leiberman A, Friger M, Tarasiuk A, et al. Adenotonsillectomy improves neurocognitive function in children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep*. 2003;26:999–1005.
44. Balbani APS, Weber SAT, Montovani JC, Carvalho LR. *Pediatras e os distúrbios respiratórios do sono na criança*. *Rev Assoc Med Bras*. 2005;51:80–6.