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ABSTRACT

Objective: To monitor the presence of psychoactive drugs in three therapeutic groups in the watersheds of Galicia (Spain).

Method: Five sewage treatment plants were selected in the main cities of Galicia. Thirteen psychoactive pharmaceutical compounds and one metabolite were chosen. In addition, tap water samples were taken from public places and private residences in the selected cities.

Results: In all the water samples analyzed, the highest concentrations corresponded to the group of anxiolytics. In particular, high concentrations of lorazepam were found in river and tap water samples.

Conclusions: This investigation demonstrates the presence of psychoactive pharmaceuticals in the watersheds of the autonomous region of Galicia and the conversion of metabolites to parent compounds. This work also shows the need to increase environmental monitoring of watersheds and to improve sewage and drinking water treatment processes to remove these pharmaceuticals.

© 2011 SESPAS. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Fármacos psicoactivos en las cuencas de Galicia

RESUMEN

Objetivo: Monitorizar la presencia de 14 fármacos psicoactivos pertenecientes a tres grupos terapéuticos en la cuenca de Galicia.

Método: Se seleccionaron cinco estaciones depuradoras de aguas residuales en las principales ciudades de Galicia. Se escogieron trece compuestos farmacéuticos psicoactivos y un metabolito. Asimismo, se tomaron muestras de aguas del grupo de tapas y espacios públicos de ciudades seleccionadas.

Resultados: En todas las muestras de aguas analizadas, las mayores concentraciones han correspondido al grupo de los ansiolíticos y, especialmente el lorazepam ha aparecido en altas concentraciones en las muestras de aguas del grifo.

Conclusiones: Esta investigación demuestra la presencia de fármacos psicoactivos en las cuencas de Galicia y la reversión de los metabolitos a sus compuestos parentales. Asimismo, muestra la necesidad de incrementar la monitorización ambiental de las cuencas y de mejorar los procesos de tratamiento de las aguas residuales y potables para eliminar estos fármacos.

© 2011 SESPAS. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Pharmaceuticals are used for many beneficial purposes in modern society, but commonly constitute pollutants when encountered in the environment. Sometimes, these compounds are not managed properly and are discharged directly into drains, while at other times they are not completely metabolized by the human body and are excreted via urine and feces in sewage treatment plants (STPs). Pharmaceuticals are not completely removed in STPs and may end up in the environment.

Pollution by pharmaceuticals is recognized as an environmental concern in many countries and has led to an extensive area of research. Several therapeutic groups such as anti-inflammatory and cardiovascular drugs, analgesics, antibiotics, steroids and related hormones have been detected in the aquatic environment. In Spain, one of the most widely consumed drug groups are psychoactive pharmaceuticals. The prevalence of the total consumption of tranquilizers and relaxants was 17.4% (10.2% men and 17.5% women) in 2006. Several studies have shown the occurrence of this group in the river and tap waters of the Ebro Basin, Llobregat river, Region of Madrid and northwest of Spain.

The occurrence of pharmaceuticals in the environment leads to various unanswered questions with regard to their biological potency in flora, fauna, and humans. Very few data are available on the presence of pharmaceuticals in point-of-use drinking waters, partly due to the belief that modern treatment processes will remove pharmaceuticals from potable supplies. Although the risks associated with exposure to drugs are probably most significant with regard to the natural environment, the public’s concern is understandably more focused on human exposure.

The aim of this study was to monitor the presence of 14 psychoactive pharmaceutical residues belonging to three therapeutic groups in Galicia’s watersheds.
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### Table 1


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STP code</th>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>Population equivalent</th>
<th>Receiving water</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STP1</td>
<td>Galicia-Coast</td>
<td>9,407</td>
<td>Sar</td>
<td>BAS + TT (NP + C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP2</td>
<td>Galicia-Coast</td>
<td>358,257</td>
<td>Coast of A Coruña</td>
<td>BAS + TT (UV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP3</td>
<td>Galicia-Coast</td>
<td>111,240</td>
<td>Ría de Pontevedra</td>
<td>PQ + BAS + TT (UV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP4</td>
<td>Galicia-Coast</td>
<td>8,234</td>
<td>Ría de Vigo</td>
<td>BAS + TT (UV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP5</td>
<td>Miño-Sil</td>
<td>295,790</td>
<td>Miño</td>
<td>BAS + TT (NP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STP: sewage treatment plants; BAS: biologic-activated sludge; bf: belt filter-drying; d: anaerobic digestion; PQ: physical-chemical processes; s: spin-drying; tt: thermal drying; TT: tertiary treatment (UV; UV disinfection; NP: nitrogen and phosphorus removal; N: nitrogen removal; C: chlorination).

### Method

The autonomous region of Galicia has a total area of 29,574.38 km² and an estimated population of 2,796,089 inhabitants [5.98% of the population of Spain, database of the National Institute of Statistics (INEbase) 2009].

Five STPs were selected, one for each of the five main cities in Galicia: A Coruña, Ourense, Pontevedra, Santiago de Compostela, and Vigo. For confidentiality reasons, the citation order of the cities does not reflect the number of the STPs (table 1).

STP1 and STP5 discharge into surface waters of the basins of Galicia-Coast and Miño-Sil respectively, and the remainder (STP2, STP3 and STP4) discharge directly into the sea. The sampling stations were carefully selected in order to produce consistent and representative results for the final interpretation of data. Pharmaceuticals were analyzed in the influent and effluent water from all STPs and also 50 meters downstream of the emission points of STP1 (DSSTP1) and STP5 (DSSTP5). Thirty-six grab samples were collected in three campaigns: August 2008, January 2009 and June 2009. One sample for each campaign was taken from each sample point. Seventy-five tap water samples were also taken from public places and private residences of the cities selected in the same campaigns as the wastewater and river water samples. Five samples for each campaign were taken in each city.

Thirteen pharmaceuticals and one metabolite were chosen because of their potential toxicity and persistence in the environment. The pharmaceuticals analyzed are used as medications for the central nervous system and belong to three of the most frequently prescribed therapeutic groups: antidepressants, anxiolytics and antiepileptics. The method described in the literature and used by Gros et al. was chosen for sample extraction and instrumental analysis.

### Results

Of the 14 psychoactive pharmaceuticals analyzed, 12 were detected in the wastewater samples of the STPs studied. However, in some STP samples the concentrations were below the limit of quantification.

The percentage of samples and average values of detectable concentrations in the influent and effluent samples are shown in table 2. Among 15 influent samples, venlafaxine was detected in 43%, with an average concentration of 401 ng/L. Lorazepam was found in 87% of influent samples with concentrations of 10,598 ng/L. Among 15 effluent samples, venlafaxine and lorazepam were detected in 67%. The average concentrations detected of venlafaxine and lorazepam were 317 ng/L and 689 ng/L, respectively.

Most of the pharmaceuticals studied showed elevated concentrations in effluents and in some samples the concentrations found in the effluents were higher than those detected in the influents.

The percentage of samples with detectable concentrations of DSSTP1 and DSSTP5 reached 50% for venlafaxine and lorazepam (table 2). The average concentration of lorazepam was 168 ng/L while that of venlafaxine was 67 ng/L.

Among 75 tap water samples, lorazepam was found in 3%, with an average concentration of 562 ng/L (table 2). Venlafaxine was found in 1% of samples at concentrations of 44 ng/L.

### Discussion

In all the water samples analyzed, the highest concentrations corresponded to the group of anxiolytics. In particular, lorazepam was found in high concentrations in the river and tap water samples.

### Table 2

Percentage (%) of samples and average concentrations (ng/L) with detectable concentrations in the influent (I) and effluent (E) wastewater of sewage treatment plants, downstream rivers (DSSTP) and tap water in the watersheds of Galicia in 2008-2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antidepressants</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>I (%)</th>
<th>Average (ng/L)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>E (%)</th>
<th>Average (ng/L)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>DSSTP (%)</th>
<th>Average (ng/L)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Tap water (%)</th>
<th>Average (ng/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amitriptyline</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clomipramine</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortriptiline</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venlafaxine</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antiepileptics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>I (%)</th>
<th>Average (ng/L)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>DSSTP (%)</th>
<th>Average (ng/L)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Tap water (%)</th>
<th>Average (ng/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anxiolytics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>I (%)</th>
<th>Average (ng/L)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>LSSTP (%)</th>
<th>Average (ng/L)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Tap water (%)</th>
<th>Average (ng/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxazepam</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trazodone</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I: percentage of samples with detectable concentrations in influent wastewater; E: percentage of samples with detectable concentrations in effluent wastewater; DSSTP: percentage of samples with detectable concentrations 50 meters downstream of sewage treatment plants.
The average concentration of venlafaxine detected in effluent samples was similar to that reported in other works. However, lorazepam was found in higher concentrations than in other studies. Coetsier et al. reported lorazepam levels of up to 200 ng/L in treated sewage. In river waters, the concentration of lorazepam was higher than that reported in the literature (40 ng/L). An important aspect to highlight is the deconjugation phenomenon that may occur in STPs. In some samples, the increase in pharmaceuticals in effluents was demonstrated to occur due to conversion of glucuronides and other conjugated metabolites to the parent compound by enzymatic processes taking place in the treatment plant.

The presence of lorazepam in drinking and tap waters has not been studied in other works. The high concentrations detected in some tap water samples probably indicate that lorazepam is not eliminated by advanced drinking water treatments. In some cases, anxiolytics are subject to illicit use by the population because of their properties and are also common in veterinary treatments. Benzodiazepines are also used in stockbreeding, an important sector of the Galician economy, and therefore this source should also be considered.

Several studies have shown that the likelihood of acute effects of such low pharmaceutical concentrations in drinking water is very low. However, little is known about the possible long-term effects of continuous exposure to low concentrations of these pharmaceuticals. Some authors suggest that although the consequences in healthy adults would be negligible, in the young or elderly these effects might be more pronounced due to reduced ability to remove toxic compounds from their bodies. In addition, in particular conditions such as allergies to certain compounds or vulnerable life stages such as pregnancy the possible effects could be more important. However, these affirmations do not constitute proof because there are insufficient studies in tap water exposure.

The results obtained in this study are representative of the watersheds of the autonomous region of Galicia but may not be extrapolable to river and tap water from other areas. Another limitation are the point measurements. A continuous monitoring system would have been desirable to detect these compounds in higher concentrations. Finally, the design of this investigation did not allow assessment of potential risks to public health.

To conclude, this study demonstrates the presence of psychoactive pharmaceuticals in the watersheds of the autonomous region of Galicia and shows conversion of metabolites to parent compounds. This work also highlights the need to increase environmental monitoring of watersheds and to improve sewage and drinking water treatment processes to remove these pharmaceuticals.
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What is known on this topic

Previous studies have focused on the detection of some pharmaceutical groups in the environment. However there are few studies on the presence of these compounds in tap water and their possible effects on public health. Therefore, studies are required not only to determine the presence of pharmaceutical residues in tap water, but also to identify their possible effects on human health.

What does this study add to the literature?

This study demonstrates the presence of psychoactive pharmaceuticals in natural and tap waters of the autonomous region of Galicia and shows conversion of metabolites to parent compounds. This work also highlights the need to increase environmental monitoring of watersheds and to improve of sewage and drinking water treatment processes to remove these pharmaceuticals.
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