mucosa, due to its rapid cell proliferation that induces a rupture in the cell cycle with the subsequent atrophy of the villi, acute inflammation, and fibrosis. Progressive obliterating vasculitis induces ischemia through vascular thrombosis with intestinal wall fibrosis and necrosis.\textsuperscript{2,8,10}

Only 20\% of the patients are referred for gastroenterologic evaluation because symptoms are either underestimated or not recognized.\textsuperscript{3} The Radiotherapy Oncology Group of Philadelphia has proposed a way to stage lesion grade (Table 1).

Radiation enteritis should initially be managed conservatively, but surgery is indicated when complications present.\textsuperscript{4} Some of the conservative treatment modalities of intestinal lesions due to radiation are the administration of topical anti-inflammatory agents, such as mesalazine or steroids (budesonide), glutamine, or the endoscopic application of formalin (in the large bowel) when there is bleeding.\textsuperscript{1,10}

And finally, surgery should be evaluated in patients with complications from chronic radiation enteritis, given that it is related to a high morbidity rate, prolonged hospital stay, and the possibility of reoperation.\textsuperscript{10}

Financial disclosure
No financial support was received in relation to this article.

Conflict of interest
The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References


Paulino Martínez Hernández Magro
Departamento de Cirugía de Colon y Recto, Hospital Guadalupano de Celaya, Celaya, Guanajuato, Mexico

\*Guadalup 205-202, Col. Centro. CP 38000. Celaya, Guanajuato, Mexico. Tel.: +52 (461) 6162123.
E-mail address: paulinomhm@hotmail.com

Accidental ingestion of magnets in pediatrics: Emerging health problem\textsuperscript{11}

Ingestión accidental de imanes en Pediatría: un problema de salud emergente

The accidental ingestion of high power magnets (invented in 1982, composed of iron, boron, neodymium, and samarium-cobalt, with a 10-fold greater power of attraction and strengths of up to 1,300 G capable of attracting through 6 layers of intestine) has increased in children under 5 years of age due to their availability in desk accessories, toys, piercings, and necklaces with supposed healing power. In 2006, 20 cases were reported by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control, 75\% of which were associated with bowel perforation, and in 2008 there were 200 reports.\textsuperscript{4,5} There has been a peak in accidental ingestion of magnets in children between the ages of 2 and 4 years and 8 and 10 years and it is more frequent in males at a reported 55–72\%.\textsuperscript{6}

We describe herein a case of accidental ingestion of several magnets in an older lactating child that presented with gastrointestinal symptoms and whose early endoscopic management reduced the associated morbidity described in the literature.

Clinical case
A previously healthy 23-month-old male child presented with colicky abdominal pain and hyporexia accompanied
with vomiting of the gastrointestinal content. He was taken to a private-sector medical clinic where a plain abdominal x-ray was taken, revealing the presence of a radio-opaque object in the gastric chamber. He presented with an increase in abdominal pain and gastric content vomiting, for which he was referred to our institution. The parents stated in the interview that there had been no prior foreign object ingestion. The physical examination revealed a soft, depressible abdomen that was painful upon palpation at the epigastrium level; there were no signs of peritoneal irritation or acute abdomen. A plain abdominal x-ray was taken (6 h after the first one) (fig. 1) and showed an approximately 9 cm-long foreign body with a metallic aspect in the gastric chamber. The decision was made to perform an endoscopic study, in virtue of the symptomatology and the radiographic evidence.

Diagnostic and therapeutic video panendoscopy was carried out 19 h from the onset of the clinical symptoms; it identified a 10 cm-long metal foreign body made up of 15 oval-shaped pieces measuring 4 mm in width and 5 mm in length, that were adhered to one another, and situated at the greater curvature of the stomach. The foreign body was extracted with a forceps and through laryngoscopy with a Magill forceps (fig. 2). The gastric mucosa presented with some superficial erosions and erythema.

Discussion

The ingestion of a single, isolated magnet is innocuous, similar to that of other foreign bodies, but the presence of numerous magnets represents a greater risk. In the cases in which magnets are located in different bowel segments, the pressure on them could cause mucosal lesions: erosions, ulcers, ischemia, and necrosis, as well as lesions in the intestinal wall situated between them: perforation, peritonitis, bowel obstruction, and fistulas. The formation of small bowel volvules and intraperitoneal bleeding have been reported that merited wide bowel resection, leading to short bowel syndrome and greater mortality.

Plain abdominal radiography can be useful for establishing the diagnosis. In the cases of ingestion of multiple magnets, they can be drawn together or lined up and give the impression that they are in the same place: «a single object». The lack of movement in the control x-rays can be secondary to being trapped in a bowel segment and producing the complications described above.8-10

The absence of clinical manifestations should not exclude aggressive intervention in cases of multiple ingested magnets located in different segments of the gastrointestinal tract.

An algorithm for pediatric population management by Hussain et al. (NASPGHAN 2012) has recently been published:

1. Make diagnosis with the presence of gastrointestinal symptoms and/or small magnet antecedents; plain abdominal x-ray.
2. Determine whether they are single or multiple and if there are metal objects, through x-rays in different positions; the latter 2 cases should be treated as emergencies due to the high risk for perforation.
3. X-rays every 8-12 h are recommended if the foreign body is in the intestine in order to evaluate its progression; if it has not moved in 24 h, endoscopic or surgical removal of the object is indicated. No cases of spontaneous elimination of multiple magnets have been reported.1

Suspicion and awareness of the complications associated with the accidental ingestion of single or multiple magnets, with or without accompanying metal objects, are essential on the part of health care providers and family members so that early and opportune diagnosis and treatment can be carried out. In the present case of multiple magnets that were arranged in a line in the gastric chamber, the magnets did not progress into other segments of
the gastrointestinal tract, enabling endoscopic management with no complications.
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Simultaneous volvulus of the ileum and sigmoid colon

Vólvulo simultáneo de íleon y sigmoide

A 33-year-old woman was admitted to the emergency department due to abdominal pain and distension and a 2-day absence of bowel movement. An abdominal CAT scan was taken, showing the whirl sign, and sigmoid colon volvulus was diagnosed (fig. 1). It was resolved through rectosigmoidoscopy and the patient was released. She returned 3 weeks later due to sudden abdominal pain, distension, fecaloid vomiting, and dehydration of 2-day progression. Physical examination revealed absence of peristalsis, abdominal pain and generalized tympanism, and a positive Blumberg’s sign. Her CBC reported leukocytosis of 17,300 and 16% band forms. She did not have any radiography studies. An abdominal ultrasound was given, identifying generalized distension of bowel segments and the presence of free fluid. An exploratory laparotomy was performed, considering a diagnosis of recurrent sigmoid colon volvulus, given her past history. Unexpectedly, simultaneous small bowel and sigmoid colon volvulus was found (fig. 2). The ileum was the affected portion of the small bowel, at approximately 2.7 m, just 5 cm from the ileocecal valve. The latter presented with necrosis due to clock-wise torsion of its mesentery, together with the clock-wise volvulus of the sigmoid colon. The decision was made to perform ileal resection with primary anastomosis and sigmoid colon resection with the Hartmann procedure.

The patient had adequate progression and upon improvement was released from the hospital. Colostomy closure has now been carried out and the patient continues to have adequate progression and is being seen in outpatient follow-up. This case corresponds to a simultaneous volvulus of the ileum and sigmoid colon, also known as double or compound volvulus.

First described by Parker in 1845,1 it is a rare entity that has been reported in the Middle East, Asia, and Africa more often than in the western medical literature.1,2

Three factors have been related to double volvulus: a long and mobile small bowel mesentery, a redundant sigmoid colon with a short pedicle, and a diet simultaneously high in volume and abundant liquid intake.4

In relation to its pathogenesis, it is suggested that when the abovementioned diet is ingested, it progresses through segments of the jejunum, making them heavier, and causing their collapse toward the left inferior quadrant, while the empty segments of the ileum and distal jejunum twist clockwise around the narrow base of the sigmoid colon.4

Double volvulus is a condition that rapidly progresses to gangrene of both twisted segments and the most common complications are peritonitis, sepsis, and dehydration. The main symptoms include abdominal pain (100%), abdominal distension (94-100%), nausea and vomiting (87-100%), and rebound tenderness (69%).4

Preoperative diagnosis of this condition is very difficult and is calculated to exist in only 20% of the cases.5 However, there are 3 orienting characteristics: symptoms of small bowel occlusion, an x-ray predominantly showing large bowel obstruction, and the impossibility of inserting a sigmoidoscope.4

In addition, the so-called whirl sign can be identified through CAT scan. This sign was described by Fisher as an image produced in the mid-gut when intestinal segments
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