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Abstract

Introduction: In Brazil, about 26,000 cases of colorectal cancer are diagnosed per year. Patients considered at the early stage of disease (without lymph node) evolve with tumor relapse or recurrence in up to a quarter of cases, probably due to understaging. Objective: Research on ex vivo sentinel lymph node in patients with colorectal adenocarcinoma.

Materials and methods: We studied 37 patients who underwent curative surgical resection. The marker used to identify lymph nodes was patent blue dye injected into the peritumoral submucosa of the open surgical specimen immediately after its removal from the abdominal cavity.

Results: Ex vivo identification of sentinel lymph node with marker occurred in 13 (35.1%) patients. The sensitivity was 40% and 60% false negative. The detailed histological examination of sentinel lymph nodes with multilevel section and immunohistochemistry showed metastasis in one (4.3%) individual, considered ultra-staging.

Conclusion: The ex vivo identification of sentinel lymph node had questionable benefits, and worse results when include patients with rectal cancer. Restaging of one patient was possible after multilevel section and immunohistochemistry of the sentinel lymph node, but more research is needed to evaluate the role of micrometastases in patients with colorectal cancer.
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Pesquisa do linfonodo-sentinela ex vivo no câncer colorretal

Resumo


Objetivo: Foram estudados 37 pacientes, submetidos à cirurgia oncológica com ressecção caráter curativo. O marcador de linfonodos utilizado foi o corante azul patente, injetado na submucosa peritumoral da peça cirúrgica aberta imediatamente depois de sua retirada da cavidade abdominal.
Introduction

Colorectal cancer incidence worldwide is 1.2 million cases per year, according to the World Health Organization.\(^1\)

Sentinel lymph node is considered the first receiving lymphatic drainage from the tumor and thus more likely to contain metastases.\(^2\)

The treatment of colorectal cancer is surgical, and study of lymph nodes in critically ill individuals has shown that adjuvant chemotherapy increases the disease-free survival of patients with lymph node metastasis.\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^5\)

However, the literature provides a challenge, because patients with colorectal cancer treated at the early stage, without lymph node histology routine; therefore, with no indication for adjuvant therapy, progress with local tumor recurrence or distant metastases in up to 25% of cases.\(^6\)\(^7\)

This fact makes us think about a possible understaging and imposes the need to examine lymph nodes more closely by identifying metastases in patients with colorectal cancer treated at the early stage, without lymph node histology routine; therefore, with no indication for adjuvant therapy, progress with local tumor recurrence or distant metastases in up to 25% of cases.\(^6\)\(^7\)

Patients and methods

This is a prospective, descriptive and analytical study of sentinel lymph node in patients undergoing colorectal cancer with proposed curative surgery.

It involved 37 patients with colorectal cancer, operated from November 2008 to April 2012 in two institutions: Hospital Alberto Cavalcanti, which belongs to the state public health of the Fundação Hospitalar do Estado de Minas Gerais (FHEMIG); Hospital da Polícia Militar do Estado de Minas Gerais, which serves the military police and their dependents, both located in the city of Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospitals and the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais.

Inclusion criteria were patients with colon or rectum cancer; over 21 years of age, adherence to informed consent. Exclusion criteria were evidence of distant metastasis, urgent surgery, or patient’s refusal to participate.

Surgical procedure and identification of sentinel lymph node

The procedure was general anesthiesia, antisepsis, laparotomy, and oncologic resection of the bowel segment and its mesentery containing the tumor. The surgical specimen was removed from the abdominal cavity, The searching process for sentinel lymph nodes was as follows: a) placing the specimen removed in a surgical table; b) immediate opening of the intestinal lumen to locate the tumor; c) injection of 1 mL of patent blue dye 1% (manufactured by Citopharma Ltda. – Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil), in the peritumoral submucosa, the volume of dye was divided equally and applied to the four cardinal points around the lesion, using 1 mL syringe and needle 13 x 4.5 mm (Fig. 1); d) peritumoral massage for 5–10 minutes; e) identification by direct visualization of the first blue lymph nodes (Fig. 2), which are considered sentinel lymph nodes, and then marked with surgical thread.

All marked sentinel lymph nodes were removed from the mesentery and sent separately in numbered vials, along with the surgical specimen, to the pathology service.

Histological examination of all lymph node started with routine histology. Lymph nodes were embedded in paraffin blocks cut with a microtome, placed on slides, stained with hematoxylin-eosin and examined by light microscopy. In the absence of metastases by this method, only the sentinel lymph nodes were sent for multilevel section and immunohistochemistry examination.

Fig. 1 – Open specimen, with peritumoral points of patent blue dye injection.
The technique called multilevel section consists of multiple cuts of lymph nodes included in paraffin block, staggered at intervals of 2-3 mm, which are then stained with hematoxylin-eosin and examined in more detail by light microscopy.

For immunohistochemistry, we used AE1/AE3 cytokeratins (Biogenex®). The process phases were as follows: cutting the lymph node to each scaled range of 50 microns; immunoperoxidase, streptavidin, biotin Supersensitive after antigen-induced heat with EDTA buffer, followed by staining control.

For staging, the pathological tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) criteria were followed.6

Tumors were located at the right colon, twelve (32%); transverse colon, one (3%); sigmoid colon, two (6%); and rectum, twenty-two (59%). The size ranged from 1–11 cm, with a mean of 3.5 cm (SD = 1.9).

Results

Identification of lymph node using dye

In the 37 study patients, 415 lymph nodes were isolated, mean 11 (SD = 5.7) per patient. The patent blue sentinel lymph node was identified in 13 (35.1%) individuals. We identified 29 sentinel lymph nodes ex vivo using dye, with a mean of 2.2 (SD = 1.7) per patient (Table 1).

Dye and histology

Among the 29 sentinel lymph nodes identified using dye, histological examination of routine hematoxylin-eosin diagnosed metastases in 2 (6.8%) and the other 27 (93.2%) had no signs of metastases. Of the 386 non-sentinel nodes, 31 (8%) had metastases, 355 (92%) were free of metastasis (Table 2).

Considering the validity study, in 13 patients with sentinel lymph nodes identified using dye, routine histological examination identified metastases in five (38.4%). Of this group of five patients, one (20%) had metastases exclusively located in sentinel lymph nodes, one (20%) had metastases in both sentinel and non-sentinel lymph nodes, and three (60%) had metastases in non-sentinel lymph nodes, the latter figure represents the false negative. In the other eight patients, despite the identification of sentinel lymph nodes, no metastases were diagnosed by routine histology with hematoxylin-eosin (Table 3).

Staging of patients

The routine histological examination did not diagnose metastasis in 23 (62.1%) of 37 patients, so they were considered N0 stage. In the other fourteen (37.9%), metastases were diagnosed and classified in stage N+. Thus, staging (TNM) initial sample was: N0 = 23 patients (62.2%) and N + = 14 patients (37.8%). In the group of 23 patients without metastases by routine histology (stages I or II), additional tests was done in the sentinel lymph node, with multilevel section and immunohistochemical, and metastasis was diagnosed in only one (4.3%), representing the ultra-staging. Therefore, the final staging (TNM) was stage N0 = 22 patients (59.5%) and N + = 15 patients (40.5%).

Discussion

Technique for ex vivo identification of sentinel lymph node

The correct staging of the intestinal tumor is the major factor in patient survival, because when histology identifies lymph node metastasis, patients are referred for chemotherapy with known decreased recurrence and improved survival.1,4

Techniques to identify sentinel lymph node in intestinal tumors has been described for over half a century.5 But, the first studies using the ex vivo technique in colorectal cancer were published only a little over ten years.10 In Brazil, there is still no publication, a fact that motivated our study.

Authors who defend the ex vivo method describe its main advantages as simple execution; low cost; does not increase the operative time; obeys the principle of the cancer ‘no touch tumor’; and without risk of adverse effects such as anaphylaxis.11-13

In this study, the success rate in identifying sentinel lymph nodes in colorectal cancer was 35.1%. The literature credits worse results by: flaws in the injection; advanced stage of the lesion; mucinous histological type; location in the rectum, and prior radiotherapy.14-16 In this study, two thirds of the patients were operated on for rectal tumors, and also received chemotherapy + radiotherapy preoperative.

Given the unfavorable results of the study, the investigation of sentinel lymph node in ex vivo using patent blue dye is presented as an option to be held in rectal tumors, even with questionable benefits, because it is technically unworkable in rectal tumors, or in a complementary manner in colon tumors, when there has been failure with another technique for identifying sentinel intraoperatively (in vivo).

Bookmarks

In our study subject of study for master’s thesis at the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais in 2007, we used patent blue dye and radiopharmaceutical for sentinel lymph node identification during surgery in patients with colon cancer. The results showed the superiority of radioisotope over the dye.17
Vital staining has been used by most authors, because the radiopharmaceuticals add high costs (600 dollars each exam) and logistics complex.

Number of nodes

The number of lymph nodes examined is of great importance for staging, and directly influences treatment and prognosis of patients operated on for colorectal cancer. Current literature states that to obtain reliable pathology, it should be examined at least 10–12 lymph nodes. Publications found five-year survival of approximately 73% when less than 10 lymph nodes were examined, 80% when 11–20 lymph nodes, and 87% with more than 20 lymph nodes examined. It is worth noting that in surgical specimens who received radiotherapy, the work is much harder for researcher and pathologist in the search for lymph nodes, a fact clearly seen in this study, as in one of the patients no lymph node could be studied since there were no remaining lymphoid in surgical specimen.

Sensitivity and false negative

In the specific case of sentinel lymph node study for colorectal tumors, the adverse findings with high rates of false negative did not interfere with the results because the therapeutic radical lymphadenectomy is always maintained, regardless of the presence or absence of metastases in regional lymph nodes. In this study, the rate of 60% false negative results was found. According to the review work, the average overall rate of false negative rate is 33%, ranging from zero to 63%.

According to the study of validity of this study, the sensitivity was 40%, while in the literature it ranges from 40 to 100%, 25–27

Table 1 – Overall outcome of the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Size (cm)</th>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>Blue LNS</th>
<th>Total LN</th>
<th>Metastases in LNS (H-E)</th>
<th>Metastases in LNNS (H-E)</th>
<th>Stage TNM</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>IQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>01/12</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>00/02</td>
<td>00/17</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>00/02</td>
<td>00/04</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/04</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/06</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>sigmoid</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>00/06</td>
<td>00/09</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/06</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>01/14</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>00/03</td>
<td>00/04</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/04</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>00/01</td>
<td>01/21</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>01/08</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>04/08</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/05</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/06</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>00/06</td>
<td>01/05</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>01/01</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>00/01</td>
<td>00/16</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>sigmoid</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/15</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>00/02</td>
<td>05/12</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/15</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>00/01</td>
<td>00/24</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>00/01</td>
<td>00/15</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/15</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/12</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/17</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>00/02</td>
<td>00/13</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>06/25</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>03/12</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/12</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/09</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/07</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>01/14</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>transverse</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>01/01</td>
<td>01/05</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>01/05</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>05/10</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>rectum</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>00/00</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>02/29</td>
<td>31/386</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LN, lymph node; SLN, sentinel lymph node; LNNS, non-sentinel lymph node; HE, hematoxylin-eosin (routine histology); MS, multilevel lymph nodes; IQ, immunohistochemistry of lymph nodes; NR, not performed; Blue, dye uptake.
sentinel lymph node because the disease is diagnosed when the chances of providing a cure with adjuvant chemotherapy would be greater by attacking the tumor at its initial phase.22

In an attempt to avoid understaging, special histopathological techniques with multilevel and immunohistochemistry of sentinel lymph nodes may be used. Studies show ultra-staging with a wide range of 4%–50%.28,29,33

Therefore, we need more reliable work and with large samples to assess the actual role of micrometastases both in evolution and in survival, in addition to know if patients operated for colorectal cancer should or not receive adjuvant therapy in these special situations.24,35

Conclusions

The ex vivo identification of sentinel lymph node using patent blue dye in patients with colorectal cancer had questionable benefits. Worse results are obtained when the work includes patients operated for rectal cancer, most notably in those who undergo radiotherapy.

Ultra-staging was possible by multilevel section and immunohistochemistry of the sentinel lymph nodes. More research is needed to evaluate the role of micrometastases and the practical applicability of the method.
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