ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To determine the influence of the base curve on the movement, on the corneal surface mechanical alterations and on the subjective comfort of Senofilcon A contact lens for corneas having central curve radius flatter than 7.80 mm.

METHODS: In this prospective, double-masked, contralateral, randomized study, 40 eyes of 20 participants, with keratometric readings above 7.80 mm, were randomly fitted with Senofilcon A contact lenses: one having an 8.80 mm base curve in one eye and another one having 8.40 mm base curve in the other eye. Lens movement, corneal surface mechanical alterations and comfort were assessed in both eyes 15 days after contact lens fitting.

RESULTS: At 15 days of contact lens fitting, no statistically significant differences were found regarding lens movement between the lens with the 8.40 mm base curve and the lens with the 8.80 mm base curve. There were not statistically significant differences between groups neither in peripheral nor in central staining, and absence of clinical significance was found. There was a statistically significant difference on comfort rate between the lenses. The mean comfort score for the 8.80 mm base curve was 3.5±0.92, whereas for the 8.40 mm base curve it was 4.39±0.52 (<0.001).

CONCLUSIONS: In corneas with keratometry flatter than 7.80 mm, 8.80 and 8.40 mm base curves, show acceptable fitting characteristics. Nevertheless, 8.40 mm base curve is more comfortable than 8.80 mm base curve. These results suggest that silicone hydrogel soft contact lenses may require steeper base curve selection criteria than the conventional hydrogel soft lenses in order to improve the comfort.
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INTRODUCTION

During the evolution of soft contact lens fitting, base curve radius selection has been always a matter of concern. It has usually been selected based on the keratometric data obtained across the central 3 mm of the cornea. A successful fitting results in an adequate distribution of the contact lens weight over all the corneal surface, leading to a right lens position, good centration and enough movement to have an optimal tear turn-over, all of which produces minimal mechanical effect between the eye and the contact lens.1 If the contact lens shape does not fit properly on the ocular surface, it will produce different pressure points and it could have clinical consequences.1 Despite this, some authors suggest that the actual frequent-replacement hydrophilic contact lenses, which are thin and have a low elasticity modulus, fit to the corneal topography independently of the chosen base curve.2-5

Newer generations of soft contact lenses are represented by the silicone hydrogel soft contact lenses (SiHySCL). The fitting of these new SiHySCL can reduce hyperemia, compared to the fitting of conventional soft contact lenses, and it can result in improvements regarding symptoms of dryness.
TABLE 1
Characteristics of the contact lens used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Johnson &amp; Johnson Vision Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material name</td>
<td>Senofilcon A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA contact lens group</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central thickness (mm)</td>
<td>-3.00D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (mm)</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of Contact lens power</td>
<td>From +8.00D to -12.00D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base curve (mm)</td>
<td>8.4, 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content (%)</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen permeability†</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen transmissibility†‡</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface treatment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement DW</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement EW</td>
<td>1 week</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D= Diopters; *Units are Barrer or 10\(^{-11}\) (cm\(^2\) ml O\(_2\)/ml x mm Hg)); †Units are Barrer/cm or 10\(^{-9}\) (cm ml O\(_2\))/ml sec mmHg); DW: daily wear; EW: extended wear.

and discomfort. For this reason, these contact lenses are becoming increasingly popular among patients and practitioners. However, since SiHySCL have a higher elasticity modulus than conventional contact lenses, the adaptation between the lens and the eye is more difficult. Furthermore, as it has already been reported, the patient’s perception of contact lenses depends greatly on the initial comfort during trial fitting, which may have an effect on the ultimate success of contact lens wear. Therefore, one may think that these contact lenses would require more precision in the selection of the geometric parameters than conventional soft contact lenses in order to achieve a good fitting. For this reason, Johnson & Johnson (Vision Care, USA) has introduced the new Senofilcon A SiHySCL, with a flatter base curve (8.80 mm) to be fitted on corneas having a flatter central curve radius.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of lens base curve on subjective comfort, on corneal surface mechanical alterations and on the movement of Senofilcon A SiHySCL in corneas having a central curve radius higher than 7.80 mm.

METHODS

We conducted a prospective, double-masked, randomized and clinical comparative study. Forty eyes of 20 participants, previous contact lens wearers, were included in this trial. Participants were randomly fitted (using a portable pseudo-random number generator) with a Senofilcon A contact lens of 8.80 mm base curve in one eye and with an 8.40 mm in the other eye. This study was performed at the European University of Madrid. The tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki were followed and full ethical approval was obtained by the European University of Madrid. Informed consent was obtained from all participants after a full explanation of the nature and possible consequences of the study.

Inclusion criteria for the participants were: central corneal radius flatter than 7.80 mm, aged between 18 and 40 years, soft contact lens wearers who had not had any complaints, spherical refraction ranging from -0.50D to -9.00D and astigmatism <0.75D. Exclusion criteria included corneal disease, previous ocular surgery, the use at the time of the study of systemic or topical medication that could affect ocular physiology or the performance of the contact lenses, neuro-ophthalmic disease and history of ocular inflammation.

Participants underwent a full ocular assessment. Next, a Senofilcon A contact lens of either 8.80 mm or 8.40 mm base curve was randomly fitted in each eye of every patient. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the contact lens.

Lens movement, corneal surface mechanical alterations and comfort were assessed in both eyes 15 days after the fitting of the Senofilcon A SiHySCL, and at least 8 hours after lens insertion. The criteria for assessing lens movement are shown in Table 2. Limbal conjunctival staining and corneal staining were graded using the Efron grading scale. Corneal staining was graded after approximately 90 s of a single instillation of fluorescein sodium (Fluorets; Chauvin Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Essex, UK). A cobalt blue filter in the illumination system and a yellow fluorescein enhancement filter (Kodak Wratten no. 12; Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY, USA) over the objective lens were used in the assessment of corneal staining. Comfort was recorded using a scale from 1 to 5 (1 extremely uncomfortable, 2 uncomfortable, 3 comfortable, 4 very comfortable and 5 extremely comfortable).

Data analysis was performed by means of SPSS for Windows, version 14.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Normality was checked by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Comparisons regarding lens comfort, lens movement, limbal conjunctival staining and corneal staining were all carried out by means of the chi-square test. Differences were considered to be statistically significant when the P value was <0.01.

RESULTS

Forty eyes of 20 participants were included in this trial. Table 3 shows the participants’ demographics. There were no statistically significant differences, neither in keratometry nor in contact lens power, between the eyes fitted with the 8.40
mm base curve lens and the eyes fitted with the 8.80 mm base curve one.

No statistically significant differences were found regarding lens movement between the 8.40 mm base curve lens and the 8.80 mm base curve lens ($P=0.27$). For 19 out of 20 eyes in both groups (95%) the corresponding lens movement was found to be from slightly excessive to slightly inadequate (i.e; grade 0, 1 or -1). Moreover, 12 (60%) and 11 (55%) out of 20 eyes, corresponding to the 8.40 mm and to the 8.80 mm base curve lens group, respectively, showed optimal lens movement characteristics (i.e; grade 0; see Table 4).

Limbal conjunctival staining grade 1 appeared in 3 cases for the lens of 8.80 mm radius and in 3 cases with the lens of 8.40 mm radius. Only one case had corneal staining grade 1 with the lens of 8.80 mm radius. No more statistical analyses were made because of the absence of clinical significance.

There was a statistically significant difference on comfort rate between the two groups. The mean comfort score for the 8.80 mm base curve lens group was 3.5±0.92, whereas for the 8.40 mm base curve group it was 4.39±1.46 ($P<0.001$). 55.6% of the participants gave a score of 4 or 5 (i.e; very comfortable or extremely comfortable) to the 8.80 mm base curve lens, while 100% of the participants gave a score of 4 or 5 to the 8.40 mm base curve lens, with ($P<0.001$) (see Figure 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Several reports have shown that the new SiHySCL have eliminated most hypoxia-related complications. However, the fitting of these SiHySCL may be more complicated; because they are made of a more rigid material than conventional hydrogel lenses and, therefore, the adaptation between the lens and the eye might be more sensitive to base curve radius variations. Johnson & Johnson Vision Care (Jacksonville, FL, USA) has recently introduced a new Senofilcon A SiHySCL contact lens with a flatter base curve (8.80 mm) to fit on corneas having flatter central curve radius. In the present study we have assessed the influence of the base curve on the fitting and comfort of the Senofilcon A SiHySCL on corneas having a central curve radius higher than 7.80 mm. To the knowledge of the authors, this is the first study that has evaluated two different curvature radii of the Senofilcon A SiHySCL (8.40 mm and 8.80 mm) for a sample of corneas with keratometric readings flatter than 7.80 mm. This has allowed us to test the influence of the curvature radius on comfort and fitting characteristics. Due to this reason, we do not compare our results with others reports.

In our study, no differences were found regarding lens movement between the two contact lenses. Nineteen of 20 eyes in both groups showed optimal to slightly inadequate lens movement characteristics. This is not surprising; in our study we only measured the central corneal curvature, but it has been shown that this parameter is not as important for the fitting of a SiHySCL as it is in the case of conventional contacts.
soft CLs, since due to their thinner geometry a good adaptation to the sclero-corneal curve shape is achieved more easily. Other parameters, including corneal diameter, asphericity, and sagittal height, have proven to be more useful to predict the fit of thinner-lens designs.15-17

There were not any statistically significant differences between groups in terms of limbal conjunctival staining and corneal staining, and absence of clinical significance was found. Our results suggest that mechanical alterations are not influenced by the base curve of the Senofilcon A SiHySCL when the lens is fitted on corneas with curve radius higher than 7.80 mm. Therefore, one may argue that the specific value of the base curve has a low influence on the mechanical effect of this contact lens on the corneal surface.

In our study, there was a statistically significant difference in comfort rate between the lenses. Participants preferred the lens with the 8.40 mm base curve. The mean comfort score for the 8.80 mm base curve was 3.5±0.92 and for the 8.40 mm base curve it was 4.39±0.5 (P<0.001). 55.6% of the participants rated the 8.8 mm base curve lens as very comfortable or extremely comfortable, whereas 100% of the participants rated the 8.4 mm base curve lens as very comfortable or extremely comfortable (P<0.001; see Figure 1). A previous clinical trial16 had shown that when silicone hydrogel lenses are too flat relative to the corneal curvature, the result is often a lens that exhibits edge lift or slight fluting.

In summary, our results suggest that in corneas with keratometric readings flatter than 7.80 mm, both the 8.80 mm and the 8.40 mm base curve Senofilcon A SiHySCL show acceptable fitting characteristics and seem to have no influence on the mechanical alterations. Nevertheless, the 8.40 mm base curve lens is more comfortable than the 8.80 mm base curve one. These results suggest that silicone hydrogel soft contact lenses may require steeper base curve selection criteria than the conventional hydrogel soft lenses in order to improve the comfort. Futures studies should include a larger sample and long-term studies should be carried out to assess the possible complications that a steeper fit with these contact lenses may cause.
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