Effect of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound on regeneration of joint cartilage in patients with second and third degree osteoarthritis of the knee
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine if the application of low intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS) therapy has a positive effect over the cartilage repair, functional status, and reduction of pain in patients with grade 2 or 3 osteoarthrosis of the knee.

Design: This trial was an observational, before and after study without a control group, in which 10 patients (11 knees) were studied. We applied LIPUS therapy with an intensity of 0.3 W/cm², duty cycle of 50%, giving a total of 36 J/cm² per session during 36 sessions (3 months). The clinical measures were obtained before the first session and at the end of the 36th session, and were: cartilage thickness by the analysis of magnetic resonance images (MRI) measured by 2 rheumatologists and a radiology specialist, pain by a visual analog scale (1–10 cm) and function/severity by the Lequesne index. We used the non parametric tests of Wilcoxon for comparing medians and the Spearmans rho for the correlation of the inter observer cartilage thickness measurements defining a P value of <.05 as significant.

Results: We observed an effect on pain (VAS mean before 7.09 [2.54]; mean after 4.18 [2.22]; P=.005) and on the function/severity index (Lequesne mean before 10.55 [5.42]; mean after 5 [4.45]; P=.008). There was poor consistency regarding the cartilage thickness measures by resonance imaging between the 3 observers (2 rheumatologists and 1 radiologist) so we were not able to define the presence or absence of effect on cartilage thickness augmentation.

Conclusions: LIPUS has a benefic effect over pain and functionality/severity in patients with Kellgren and Lawrence grade 2 and 3 osteoarthrosis of the knee. Unfortunately in this study we did not count with a reliable measure method to conclude on its effect over cartilage thickness measured by MRI.
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Efecto del ultrasonido terapéutico pulsátil de baja intensidad sobre la regeneración del cartílago articular en pacientes con gonartrosis de segundo y tercer grado

Objetivo: Indagar si la aplicación del ultrasonido terapéutico pulsátil de baja intensidad (USTPBI) produce cambios favorables en la regeneración del cartílago articular, así como beneficios clínicos en pacientes que tienen gonartrosis grado 2 o 3 según la clasificación de Kellgren y Lawrence.

Diseño: Este es un estudio observacional, tipo antes y después, sin grupo control, en el que se estudiaron 10 pacientes (11 rodillas) con gonartrosis grados 2 y 3 (según la clasificación de Kellgren y Lawrence), a los que se les aplicó ultrasonido terapéutico a una intensidad de 0.3 W/cm² pulsátil al 50%, que otorgó un total de energía de 36 J/cm² por sesión durante 36 sesiones. Las mediciones se realizaron previas al inicio del tratamiento y posteriores al término de éste (3 meses después), y consistieron en: grosor del cartílago mediante la medición de imágenes tomadas por resonancia magnética (RM) por 2 reumatólogos y un experto radiólogo; dolor mediante escala visual analógica (de 1 a 10 cm) y el índice de gravedad de Lequesne.
Joint degenerative disease (JDD) is a chronic and degenerative affection of all of the joint structures, which starts as damage to the cartilage and progresses though a dynamic adaptation response, leading to irreversible structural change.1

According to the data from the Framingham study, knee osteoarthritis occurs in at least 33% of persons 60 or older1 and is the main cause of joint inflammation in the United States, with a prevalence of 12%–2,4

With respect to non-pharmacologic treatment, therapeutic ultrasound (TUS) is an important tool, which favors cartilage regeneration.5

TUS is based on the emission of mechanical waves of frequencies over 16 000 Hz, which interact with the bodily tissues and lead to vibrations of an elevated frequency, resulting in either a thermal or a mechanical effect.3 In order to achieve the mechanical effect, the sound wave must be applied as a pulse and at a low intensity.

In the medical literature there is ample evidence for the mechanical effect of low-intensity and pulse ultrasound which favors cell metabolism and the capacity of tissue regeneration.6–9

Studies in humans have shown a beneficial effect on bone healing in fractures when using this treatment modality,6,7 making the application of pulse therapeutic ultrasound (PTUS) useful in tissues such as joint cartilage by producing a regenerative effect.

On the other hand it is important to mention that TUS in general is better diffused in liquid environments (with a high water content), such as in the case of the knee.10

Several studies have shown a positive effect of PTUS on the proliferation of stromal cells and chondrocytes, as well as in the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells11–13; there is also an effect on metabolic stimulation and the formation of extracellular matrix in chondral tissues and an improvement in the histological appearance of total osteochondral damage in animals.13–15

Cook et al demonstrated a positive effect of treatment with PTUS on joint cartilage in the repair of osteochondral defects induced in the knees of rabbits: they applied a dose of 36 to 72 J/cm² daily for 3 months and reported both macroscopic and histological benefits.14

Until today there are no studies on the effect of PTUS on joint cartilage in patients with knee osteoarthritis. Therefore, the objective of this study is to investigate the effect of this treatment modality on the thickness of the joint cartilage, pain and function of patients with knee osteoarthritis stage 2 and 3 according to the classification of Kellgren and Lawrence.16

Material and methods

Design

Observational, before and after study, without a control group.

Subjects

The study group was composed on 10 patients who belonged to the Instituto de Seguridad y Servicios Sociales de los Trabajadores del Estado (ISSSTE) health system: nine women and 1 man, mean age 67.18 years with an age range of 56 to 81 years of age, with a diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis (according to the criteria of the American College of Rheumatology) stages 2 and 3 (according to the classification by Kellgren and Lawrence)16, recruited in the period between March 1, 2007 and May 30, 2007, sent by the departments of Rheumatology, Geriatrics, Orthopedics and Physical Rehabilitation of the Centro Médico Nacional (CMN) 20 de Noviembre.

The exclusion criteria were to be carriers of an inflammatory rheumatic disease, having undergone a knee infiltration in the 12 weeks prior to the study and to have any formal contraindication for the performance of a Magnetic Resonance (MR) imaging study.

This study was approved by the Ethics committee of the CMN 20 de Noviembre.

Measurements

Pain

Measured using a 10 cm visual analog scale (VAS) (EVA) on 2 occasions: 10 cm on 2 occasions: one day before the start of treatment and one after the application of session number 36 of PTUS.

Function

A severity index of Lequesne18 was employed one day before the start of treatment and one day after session 36 of PTUS. A reduction in 3 points was considered as important clinical improvement, in accordance to what has been reported in the literature.19

Thickness of joint cartilage

Two images were performed (pretreatment and post-treatment) using MR with an Intera set of 1.5 Tesla, 3D/WATSc sequence in a coronal projection, T1 FFE TR 20 TE 10 and Flip 25 technique, obtaining 30 coronal slices of 3 mm thickness on the examined knee. Position of the knees was taken into account (flexion and rotation angles) with the objective of obtaining post-treatment images comparable to the initial ones (using real-time comparisons).

Once the images had been obtained, they were printed on photographic paper but not labeled and taken to 2 independent observers of the Hospital General de México (J.C. and C.H.C), who did not know the origin, pretreatment or post-treatment stages of the images, and to an expert in the interpretation of MR in soft-tissues (Judith Vázquez Zamudio) at the CMN 20 de Noviembre.

These observers performed the measurement of joint cartilage thickness in randomly assigned but symmetrical areas in the images corresponding to the same patient and on paper using scale measuring
Correlation between cartilage thickness measurements performed by rheumatologist in consensus and the measurements performed by the expert

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MBFT</th>
<th>MCFT</th>
<th>LBFT</th>
<th>LCFT</th>
<th>MBTT</th>
<th>MCTT</th>
<th>LBTT</th>
<th>LCTT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spearman Rho</td>
<td>.731</td>
<td>.229</td>
<td>.248</td>
<td>.243</td>
<td>.407</td>
<td>-.170</td>
<td>-.086</td>
<td>.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>.498</td>
<td>.462</td>
<td>.472</td>
<td>.214</td>
<td>.617</td>
<td>.617</td>
<td>.973</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LBFT indicates lateral baseline femoral thickness; LBTT, lateral baseline tibial thickness; LCFT, lateral control femoral thickness; LCTT, lateral control tibial thickness; MBFT, medial baseline femoral thickness; MBTT, medial baseline tibial thickness; MCTT, medial control femoral thickness; MCTT, medial control tibial thickness.

A possible secondary effect of TUS application, due to a phenomenon known as cavitation, consist in the creation of a vacuum between the tissues that lead to inflammation and is manifested as pain and edema.

Statistical analysis

Wilcoxon’s test for related variables was employed in order to compare the earlier variables of pain, severity and thickness in millimeters with the later ones. In addition, Spearman’s correlation test was employed for observations performed by rheumatologists (J.C.) and the expert radiologist (J.V.Z.). For this we employed the SPSS version 12 statistical software.

Results

A group of 10 patients (11 knees) was studied, formed by 9 women and 1 man, with a mean age of 68 years (standard deviation [SD], 8.7), a mean weight 72 kg (SD, 9.86), a mean height of 153 cm (SD, 6.14) and a body mass index of 30 (SD, 5.8).

Within the study group there was a severity (according to the classification of Kellgren and Lawrence) of stage 2 in 5 patients (50%) and stage 3 in 5 patients (50%).

With respect to the thickness of the cartilage, measured in millimeters, Spearman correlation test showed an absence of this with the exception of the cartilage measured in the medial femoral compartment in the initial image (r=0.73; P=0.011) (Table 1).

No significant differences were seen between the baseline and post-treatment cartilage thickness measurements, with the exception of the lateral tibial compartment where a decrease of this was seen in the observations performed by the rheumatologists. (P=0.028) (Table 2).

Joint pain (measured by VAS) showed a significant reduction (initial mean, 7.09; final mean, 4.18) with a significant P of .005.

The Lequesne degree of severity showed a significant reduction (initial mean, 10.55; final mean, 5) with a significant P of .008, interpreted as clinical improvement (Table 2).

Discussion

Knee osteoarthritis has an important impact on the quality of life and functionality of patients that present it, and there is a tendency towards an increase in prevalence of this disease explained by the increase in life expectancy of the general population.

To date there are no effective therapeutic interventions proven to halt the progression or invert the loss of joint cartilage in patients with knee osteoarthritis. Therefore, pain secondary to this affection will continue to impact the quality of life of patients presenting it.

The MR technique described in this study is within the recommendations suggested by OMERACT (Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Clinical Trials) and OARSI (Osteoarthritis Research Society International) used to define the most useful and reproducible techniques for the measurement of joint cartilage in the knees.

Without a doubt, one of the main problems for the evaluation of the different treatments of knee osteoarthritis is how to measure the amount of joint cartilage. Within the available diagnostic tools, MR...
Table 2
Wilcoxon's test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initial mean (SD)</th>
<th>Final mean (SD)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functionality (Lequesne)</td>
<td>10.55 (5.42)</td>
<td>5 (4.45)</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain (VAS, cm)</td>
<td>7.09 (2.54)</td>
<td>4.18 (2.22)</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consensus measurements on the part of the rheumatologists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Initial mean (SD)</th>
<th>Final mean (SD)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Femoral medial thickness</td>
<td>1.51 (0.58)</td>
<td>1.49 (0.52)</td>
<td>.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral femoral thickness</td>
<td>1.93 (0.74)</td>
<td>1.76 (0.71)</td>
<td>.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial tibial thickness</td>
<td>1.27 (0.52)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.53)</td>
<td>.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral tibial thickness</td>
<td>2.06 (0.51)</td>
<td>1.92 (0.58)</td>
<td>.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measurements performed by the expert radiologist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Initial mean (SD)</th>
<th>Final mean (SD)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Femoral medial thickness</td>
<td>1.36 (0.54)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.55)</td>
<td>.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral femoral thickness</td>
<td>0.89 (0.38)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.26)</td>
<td>.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial tibial thickness</td>
<td>1.25 (0.42)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.38)</td>
<td>.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral tibial thickness</td>
<td>1.10 (0.37)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.45)</td>
<td>.754</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Leq indicates Lequesne severity index; VAS, visual analog scale (1-10).
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