Case report

Maculopathy in Patient With Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Treated With Hydroxychloroquine
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ABSTRACT

A 50-year-old woman with systemic lupus erythematosus treated for 13 years with hydroxychloroquine developed nephropathy and high blood pressure 5 years ago as well as moderate loss of vision in her right eye. Fundoscopy showed alterations of macular pigmentation only in the right eye. Visual fields 10-2 were normal in both eyes. Optical coherence tomography showed hyperreflective foveal thickening with a hyporreflective cavity underlying in the right macula, and was normal in left macula. Fluorescein angiography showed no bull’s-eye pattern, but did show microaneurysms in vascular arcades. Multifocal central electroretinogram was diminished in right eye and the electroretinogram pattern was diminished in both eyes. We concluded that the alterations of the right eye were suggestive of ischemic maculopathy, not chloroquine toxicity.
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Introduction

Synthetic antimalarials in the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) have been a major therapeutic advance and serve as immunomodulators and inhibitors of thrombosis, with few side effects, prolonging the quality of life of patients. Their withdrawal leaves the patient with SLE at the mercy of the side effects of corticosteroids and immunosuppressants, and increases the risk of flares.1

Hydroxychloroquine can cause severe retinal toxicity, requiring discontinuation of therapy, although there are few cases of chloroquine retinopathy, always related to very high cumulative drug dose.2

Synthetic antimalarial toxicity is detected by ophthalmic screening protocols focused on development of macular pathology.3 One must not forget that the patient with SLE is predisposed to retinal ischemia that may be confused with macular damage due to chloroquine. We present a case in which the ocular findings represented a challenge in the therapeutic decision.
**Case Report**

A 50-year-old woman with SLE was treated with a cumulative dose of 365 g of hydroxychloroquine for 13 years, with mild lupus nephritis and hypertension controlled 5 years prior; during her screening for ocular toxicity she presented moderate loss of vision, presenting a corrected visual acuity of 0.7 in the right eye and 1 in the left eye. In the right fundus we observed irregular areas of hyperpigmentation and depigmentation in the macula, without apparent serous uprising, and some arcades microhemorrhages. The left fundus appeared normal. 10-2 the visual field was normal in both eyes. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) of the right eye showed hyperreflective foveal thickening and hyporeflective cavities in deep layers (Fig. 1). The OCT of the left eye was normal. Fluorescein angiography (FA) showed no bull’s-eye pattern, but microaneurysms in both eyes (not detected on fundoscopy), sectoral distribution and hyper-and hypofluorescence late macular leakage (Fig. 2). In the multifocal electroretinogram (mfERG) there were low amplitude responses in both peripheral retinas, low power density in the foveal region of the right eye and normal foveolar activity in the left eye (Fig. 3). The alteration of the N95 wave of the electroretinogram pattern reflected a dysfunction of the ganglion cells of both retinas, probably causing the disease.

The neurophysiological findings, the OCT and FA suggested a vascular origin rather than a toxic effect, so it toxicity was ruled out and hydroxychloroquine retinopathy was diagnosed as lupus, recommending the maintenance of the antimalarial but with close monitoring of the patient to prevent maculopathy as a risk factor.

---

**Fig. 1.** Optical coherence tomography of the right eye of the patient showing discrete thickening of the fovea, with a hyporeflective space in the outer layers of the retina (arrows).
Fig. 2. Central multifocal electroretinogram with 100 hexagons. We observe a moderate decrease in the power density of the foveal region of the right eye (no white areas).

Fig. 3. Angiography of the right eye. Microaneurysms are seen in inferior temporal region and a small temporal macular area (arrows). Hypo- and hyperfluorescent images of the fovea (asterisk) are not modified during the angiogram.

Discussion

In the early alterations of the visual field as a sign of chloroquine maculopathy, central mfERG, OCT and autofluorescence have recently been added as diagnostic tests, with their use recommended for routine screening. Central mfERG shows very early alterations, but its availability is scarce. The field of view of our patient was normal in both eyes, which ruled chloroquine maculopathy. The mfERG showed macular dysfunction only in the right eye and asymmetry is rare in drug toxicity. The results of the AGF and the rest of neurophysiological studies suggested an episode of retinal ischemia. The OCT image was reminiscent of a rare form of central serous chorioretinopathy, lupus choroidopathy, while microaneurysms in cuneiform distributions were more typical of hypertensive episodes.

Faced with a maculopathy in a patient with SLE treated with hydroxychloroquine, we must not forget that they are patients exposed to thrombotic events that can generate retinal confusion, so that additional tests may be necessary to make an adequate differential diagnosis, because without maculopathy there is no need for drug withdrawal, even to assess an increase in dosage.
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