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Inflammatory Back Pain in a 44 Year Old Male

Lumbalgia inflamatoria en varón de 44 años
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Clinical Case

The patient in question is a 44 year old male with a history of alcohol abuse. He came to the consultation due to episodes of mono/oligoarthritis of the hands and ankles, recurring every week for 5 years, and inflammatory back pain of 10 years of evolution, with alternating buttock pain. He reported no other accompanying symptoms. Examination revealed the presence of arthritis of the right wrist, left 4th and 5th PIP with accompanying nodules. Laboratory tests showed uric acid 13.2 mg/dl, ESR 44 mm/h (0–20) CRP 15 mg/l (<5 mg/l) and a Mantoux test of 20 mm. The remaining tests (CBC, TSH, CPK, rheumatoid factor, HLA-B27, anticitrullinated-peptide antibodies, immunoglobulins, antibodies for hepatotropic virus, HIV, syphilis, Brucella, renal function, liver, urine sediment and uricosuria 24h) were normal. Chest, hands and feet X rays showed no pathological findings. The lumbar spine radiograph (Fig. 1) demonstrated left sacroiliitis stage II and right sacroiliitis stage III. Examination with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the lumbar spine was normal and sacroiliac MRI (Fig. 2) demonstrated irregularities and erosions in both sacroiliac joints observed in the T1 sequence. STIR detected subchondral bone marrow edema, markedly in the ilium and left sacral bone, with the right sacroiliac joint being less involved.

Diagnosis and Progression

Arthrocentesis of synovial fluid (SF) of the 5th PIP joint of left hand nodule was performed, finding monosodium urate crystals

Fig. 1. AP lumbar spine X-rays showed sclerosis and irregularity of the right sacroiliac joints, compatible with stage II left sacroiliitis (arrows) and stage III right sacroiliitis.
(MSU) under polarized light microscopy with a negative culture. The patient was diagnosed with chronic tophaceous gouty arthritis. Dietary measures and medical treatment was initiated with allopurinol (300 mg/d) and colchicine 1 mg day. On the other hand, due to the coexistence in this patient of inflammatory back pain, along with radiographic bilateral sacroilitis, the presence of active sacroilitis on MRI according to the ASAS criteria and a normal lumbar spine MRI, we decided to perform the differential diagnosis with other diseases such as spondyloarthritis (the patient had no family history of psoriasis or inflammatory bowel disease) and infections. To do this, a sacroiliac joint biopsy where intraosseous tophi were demonstrated (Fig. 3A and B) was performed and MSU crystals proven to exist. Currently, the patient is asymptomatic, with a reduction of tophi and uric acid levels 5.6 mg/dl, after 5 months of treatment.

Discussion

Gout is a chronic disease caused by deposition of MSU crystals in joint, peri-articular, subcutaneous and, although infrequently, bursae and tendon tissue. Its prevalence in Western countries is estimated at about 1%-2%.2

Until recently it was thought that spinal gout was a rare entity, however some studies show that it has a prevalence of up to 35% of patients with gout when an active case is studied with sophisticated imaging techniques.3-5 This type of involvement is rare in patients under 45, as occurred in the case presented.5 The lumbar spine is the most frequently affected area,4-6 with the sacroiliac joint less often affected, 7.5%-17%.7,8 The literature has several publications on axial involvement in gout and, as predisposing factors, longstanding gout, degenerative disk disease and renal transplantation have been proposed.3,13-15

Therefore, a patient with sacroilitis should always undergo a thorough differential diagnosis. The most common causes of unilateral sacroilitis are infectious, traumatic or metastatic disease. Among the disease with asymmetric bilateral involvement, we must suspect psoriatic arthritis, osteoarthritis, or spondylitis associated with inflammatory bowel disease. As for diseases with symmetrical bilateral involvement, the most common cause is ankylosing spondylitis (AS). Therefore, in this patient a differential diagnosis of sacroilitis was gout, because of patient history, and AS. Both diseases manifest with inflammatory back pain, although in gout the onset is usually sharper and in AS it is more insidious. In both cases, laboratory tests show elevated acute phase reactants, and HLA-B27 may be negative in up to 10% of AS cases. Radiological findings regarding juxta-articular erosions find irregularities at the sacroiliac level, both in gout and AS. MRI in axial gout is unspecific.3,13-15 All this leads to the diagnosis, though in some cases a joint biopsy is required, as in the case presented.

Fig. 3. Biopsy showing the histology of the sacroiliac joint. (A) Various intraosseous tophi (arrows) are observed. (B) At higher magnification, an intraosseous tophus formed by a center with urate crystals, surrounded by inflammatory reaction and multinucleated giant cells may be seen.
The therapeutic management of sacroiliitis in gout is the same as that used for other locations. In conclusion, sacroiliitis due to gout, although rare, should be included in the differential diagnosis of patients with inflammatory back pain, especially in patients with risk factors for MSU crystal deposition disease.
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