Case report

Managing hypertriglyceridemia in children with systemic lupus erythematosus: Two sides of the same coin
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ABSTRACT

Hypertriglyceridemia is common in children with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). A retrospective analysis of the baseline clinical–pathological presentation and treatment outcome (status of lipid profiles) was performed in two children with SLE, who presented with extreme hypertriglyceridemia over a follow-up period of four weeks. The children were treated with prednisolone, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), hydroxychloroquine and hypolipidemic agents, depending on their disease status. On serial follow-up, the first child showed a significantly raised serum triglyceride level after receiving one week of oral prednisolone therapy. Anti-lipoprotein-lipase (LPL) autoantibody was absent. Lipid profile levels of this child gradually improved after replacing oral prednisolone with another immunosuppressant, namely MMF. The second child presented with extreme hypertriglyceridemia with positive anti-LPL autoantibody. She responded to plasmapheresis followed by increasing the dose of immunosuppressant. So, extreme hypertriglyceridemia in children with SLE may be steroid induced or due to presence of anti-LPL auto antibody. Management should be individualized depending on the etiology.

© 2016 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and Sociedad Española de Reumatología y Colegio Mexicano de Reumatología. All rights reserved.

Manejo de la hipertriglicéridemia en niños con lupus eritematoso sistémico: 2 caras de la misma moneda

RESUMEN

La hipertriglicéridemia es común en niños con lupus eritematoso sistémico. Un análisis retrospectivo de la presentación clínico-patológica al inicio del estudio y los resultados del tratamiento (estado de los perfiles lipídicos) se llevó a cabo en 2 niños con lupus eritematoso sistémico, que presentaron hipertriglicéridemia extrema durante un período de seguimiento de 4 semanas. Los niños fueron tratados con prednisolona, micofenolato mofetil, hidroxicloroquina y agentes hipolipemiantes, dependiendo de su estado de salud. En el seguimiento, el primer niño mostró un nivel de triglicéridos en suero significativamente elevado después de recibir una semana de tratamiento con prednisolona oral. No existían anticuerpos antilipoproteína lipasa. Los niveles de perfil de lípidos de este niño mejoraron gradualmente después de sustituir la prednisolona oral con otro inmunosupresor, a saber micofenolatomofetil. El segundo niño presentó hipertriglicéridemia extrema con autoanticuerpos antilipoproteína lipasa-positivos. Ella respondió a la plasmaderesis seguida del incremento de la dosis de inmunosupresores. Por lo tanto, la hipertriglicéridemia extrema en niños con lupus eritematoso sistémico puede ser inducida por esteroides o debido a la presencia de autoanticuerpos antilipoproteína lipasa. El manejo debe ser individualizado en función de la etiología.

© 2016 Elsevier España, S.L.U. y Sociedad Española de Reumatología y Colegio Mexicano de Reumatología. Todos los derechos reservados.
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Introduction

Patients suffering from systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) may present with extreme hypertriglyceridemia either due to disease itself or due to drug-related toxicity, though exact prevalence is unknown and extreme hypertriglyceridemia is rarely reported and generally well tolerated in children with SLE. Here, we describe two case scenarios belonging to two ends of the same spectrum, i.e., hypertriglyceridemia in children with SLE, necessitating completely different treatments. Appropriate approval of our institutes' ethical committee was obtained. Informed consent was obtained from parents of all individual participants included in the study.

Case scenarios

Case scenario 1

An 11-year-old girl was admitted at our institute with anasarca, maculopapular rash over the face and hematuria (urine RBC plenty, urine protein–creatinine-ratio 1:7). Her blood pressure was normal for age, sex and height as per centile chart. Investigations revealed mildly deranged renal function tests (BUN 88 mg/dl, creatinine 1.2 mg/dl) along with low C3 levels (35 mg/dl) and a positive ANA (titer >1:160), but complete blood count was unremarkable. She was also shown positive for anti-double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) (3+) and anti-nucleosome (3+) antibodies by immunofluorescence assay. Renal biopsy specimen confirmed changes of lupus nephritis stage II. Her lipid profile at presentation was serum triglyceride 172 mg/dl and serum cholesterol 233 mg/dl (HDL 44 mg/dl, LDL 106 mg/dl, VLDL 38 mg/dl). She was started on oral prednisolone (2 mg/kg/day), along with hydroxychloroquine (7 mg/kg/day) and rosuvastatin (5 mg/day) after obtaining due approval from her parents.

We repeated investigations after one week of oral prednisolone and rosuvastatin usage. Surprisingly, lipid profile levels were found to be markedly elevated (triglyceride 1786 mg/dl, cholesterol 350 mg/dl, LDL 275 mg/dl, HDL 30 mg/dl and VLDL 88 mg/dl). She had also developed mild cushingoid features. Considering the possibility of an autoimmune phenomena, anti-lipoprotein-lipase (LPL) antibody (reactivity of anti-LPL immunoglobulin G was determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) estimation was done to find out the cause of hyperlipidemia. The report turned out to be negative. Hence, we were left with the possibility of steroid-induced hypertriglyceridemia. Prednisolone dose was rapidly tapered to 0.5 mg/kg/day. On serial follow-up, triglyceride levels of this child gradually reduced with decreasing doses of prednisolone (Fig. 1). At 4 weeks, her triglyceride level was 356 mg/dl and cholesterol was 222 mg/dl (HDL 38 mg/dl, LDL 146 mg/dl, VLDL 48 mg/dl). Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) at a dose of 1000 mg/m²/day was added to the schedule and prednisolone was continued at 0.5 mg/kg/day.

Case scenario 2

A 10-year-old girl was admitted to our pediatric emergency with hypertensive encephalopathy. She had also been suffering from low-grade intermittent fever, joint pains and a non-pruritic rash over exposed parts of the body, which worsened on exposure to sunlight. Strikingly, routine blood samples drawn in the emergency room were lipemic. Samples sent for determining lipid-profile revealed marked hypertriglyceridemia (serum triglyceride 4696 mg/dl) with hypercholesterolemia (serum cholesterol 514 mg/dl, HDL 24 mg/dl, LDL 357 mg/dl, VLDL 133 mg/dl). Complete blood counts revealed anemia (hemoglobin 8.7 mg/dl) with thrombocytopenia (1.2 lakh/cumm). Anti-nuclear (ANA, titer >1:160) and anti-ds DNA (3+) antibodies were positive. An MRI of the brain showed changes suggestive of acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM). The child became fully conscious after successful control of hypertension over 48 h at our pediatric intensive care unit. In view of SLE with ADEM, pulse methyl-prednisolone (30 mg/kg dose) was given for five days followed by oral prednisolone (2 mg/kg/day).

Hydroxycycloroquine (7 mg/kg/day), antihypertensives namely labetalol (1 mg/kg/dose) and amlodipine (10 mg/day), along with hypolipidemic agent rosuvastatin (5 mg/day) were also started along with fat-free diet. Due approval was obtained from the parents. Renal biopsy specimen confirmed changes of lupus nephritis stage II.

In this case, repeat investigations after one week of prednisolone and rosuvastatin therapy revealed a mild improvement in lipid profile levels. Serum triglyceride reduced to 3851 mg/dl, cholesterol to 514 mg/dl, HDL to 24 mg/dl, LDL to 357 mg/dl and VLDL to 133 mg/dl. Another hypolipidemic agent, namely fenofibrate (45 mg/day) was added. Plasmapheresis was done to rapidly reduce the levels of circulating triglyceride levels to prevent inflammation of the pancreas. Anti-LPL antibody turned out to be positive (samples were considered positive if optical density was ≥3 standard deviations above the mean optical density obtained for the 20 control samples from healthy individuals included in each assay) this time. The dose of oral prednisolone was hiked to 3 mg/kg/day and MMF (800 mg/m²/day) was also added. The child showed significant improvement in her lipid profile levels at the end of the second week (serum triglyceride 1435 mg/dl, cholesterol 234 mg/dl, HDL 54 mg/dl, LDL 237 mg/dl, VLDL 93 mg/dl) (Fig. 1). However, there was worsening of hypertension along some cushingoid-features due to the high-dose of prednisolone. So, we tapered the dose of prednisolone and increased the dose of MMF to 1200 mg/m²/day. At 4-week follow-up, the child was normotensive on two antihypertensives and her lipid profiles significantly improved. Her serum triglyceride was 457 mg/dl and serum cholesterol was 184 mg/dl (HDL 74 mg/dl, LDL 137 mg/dl, VLDL 83 mg/dl) with decrease in the titer of anti-LPL antibody. Prednisolone was continued at a maintenance dose of 0.8 mg/kg/day.

Discussion

In this report, we have described two case scenarios with extreme hypertriglyceridemia in children with SLE. The first scenario was the more commonly encountered situation of steroid-induced hypertriglyceridemia, which was managed by tapering steroids and adding another immunosuppressant, MMF. The initial mild hyperlipidemia in this case may have been due to increased hepatic lipoprotein synthesis secondary to albuminuria. Although it is common, the exact prevalence of steroid-induced
hypertriglyceridemia is yet to be determined in the pediatric population. Studies show an increased tendency of lipid profiles in post-transplant patients treated with cyclosporine-prednisolone combination. An experimental study on primates suggests that glucocorticoid-induced hypertriglyceridemia is primarily a consequence of increased hepatic-triglyceride production rates, through elevated plasma-free fatty acid and glucose levels. However, exact mechanism of steroid-induced hypertriglyceridemia has not been definitely established.

The second scenario depicts a situation where hypertriglyceridemia developed secondary to anti-LPL antibody. De Carvalho et al. found a prevalence of 46.7% of anti-LPL-antibodies in SLE in their study. Anti-LPL antibodies have been closely linked to elevated triglyceride levels and implicated in mechanisms of atherosclerosis in SLE and other autoimmune inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and systemic sclerosis. This observation was explained on the basis of decreased LPL activity in these patients, which in turn corroborates with triglyceride levels. Experimental studies have demonstrated that the association between autoimmune disease and LPL can lead to circulating LPL inhibitors, resulting in hyperchylomicronemia in patients with such diseases. One study speculates that anti-LPL antibodies may be associated with disease activity and markers of inflammation in SLE.

The present literature gives very little data on extreme hypertriglyceridemia in children with SLE. While most are iatrogenic and drug related, many can be due to developing autoantibodies against LPL. Our cases reveal two very different mechanisms as well as management for the same disease presentation. This report suggests that estimation of anti-LPL antibody is crucial in managing extreme hypertriglyceridemia with SLE. Detection of anti-LPL antibody level above the cut-off titer necessitates an increase in the dose of prednisolone or addition of another immunosuppressant. On the flip side, if the antibody titer is below cut-off value, then we may have to reduce the dose of prednisolone. Although extreme hypertriglyceridemia is generally well tolerated by most SLE patients, there is always the possibility of complications such as pancreatitis. One other point of interest is the difficulty in controlling hypertriglyceridemia in these children with classical oral hypolipidemic agents.

To conclude, hypertriglyceridemia in SLE can be due to two very different causes. An estimation of anti-LPL autoantibody level is useful in determining the next step of management.
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