Specific immune response to *Phleum pratense* plant profilin in atopic patients and control subjects
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**SUMMARY**

*Background:* *Phleum pratense* (Phl p) pollen is a known cause of allergic disease worldwide. Profilins have been identified as functional plant pan-allergens. The role of Phl p profilin in the specific immune response in sensitized Phl p patients is unknown.

*Methods:* skin prick test and specific serum IgE levels were performed in 26 patients allergic to Phl p and in 18 nonallergic control donors. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were isolated from both groups and stimulated with crude extract or highly purified Phl p profilin, and the production of type I and type II cytokines was determined in patients and controls stimulated with specific and polyclonal stimulus. T-cell lines specific to Phl p profilin were established from PBMCs and cross-reactivity with another highly purified profilin from *Parietaria judaica* (Pj) was evaluated.

*Results:* patients allergic to Phl p profilin showed increased T-cell-proliferative responses to this profilin compared with control subjects. The production of IL-4 and IFN-γ in response to the specific stimulus was undetectable. However, the production of IL-4 and IFN-γ in response to a polyclonal stimulus (PHA) was measurable and different for atopic patients and control subjects: IL-4 was higher (p < 0.001) in allergic patients and IFN-γ lower (although not significant) in controls. Neither the T-cell responses nor the production of IL-4 in response to a polyclonal stimulus (PHA) correlated with the individual degree of cutaneous response to Phl p profilin or to the levels of specific Phl p IgE. The T-cell lines tested did not show any cross-reactivity with Pj profilin.

*Conclusions:* Phl p profilin is in part responsible for the T-cell mediated immunological response in patients allergic to Phl p. The response is very specific since Phl p profilin specific T-cell lines did not show cross-reactivity with a highly homologous profilin from *Parietaria judaica* (Pj). The lack of correlation between the proliferative T-cell response and polyclonal IL-4 production with allergen-specific serum IgE and SPT probably indicates that some of the responding T-cells may be involved in immune reactions other than the support of IgE production.


**INTRODUCTION**

Pollen from *Phleum pratense* (Phl p) is a major airborne allergen worldwide, inducing seasonal rhinitis, conjunctivitis and asthma. Profilins constitute an ubiquitous family of proteins that control actin polymeri-
zation in eukaryotic cells (1) and have been identified as allergens in several species of tree, grass, and weed pollens and in some fruits and vegetables. Profilins are described as pan-allergens, because they are recognized by 20 % of all pollen-allergic patients (2-4) and have been considered for combined allergies to pollen and food (4).

It is well established that allergen-specific T<sub>eff</sub>-like cells play an important role in the induction of IgE synthesis (IL-4) and eosinophilia (IL-5) (5-7). Furthermore, a higher frequency of infiltrating T-cells producing T<sub>inf</sub> cytokines (IFN-γ) (8-10) and an increase in allergen specific IgG (11) are found after successful immunotherapy. This stresses the importance of different subsets of T-cells in the allergic immune responsiveness. Whereas skin prick test (SPT) results and allergen-specific IgE measurements are well correlated (12, 13), no relationship between allergen-specific T-cell proliferation, cytokine production, allergen-specific IgE, and SPT, has been found (14).

In this study we examine the specific immune response to Phl p plant profilin in allergic patients and non-allergic subjects. We analyze the possible correlation between allergen-specific T-cell proliferation, cytokine production, allergen-specific IgE and SPT in atopic patients to Phl p profilin and nonatopic donors. In addition, we address the question of the cross-reactivity between Phl p and Pj profilins.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Characterization of blood donors

Blood samples from 26 Phl p allergic patients (mean age 24 years, range 19-28) and 18 nonatopic subjects (mean age 39 years, range 25-53) were collected. All patients had a clinical history of seasonal allergic rhinitis and/or asthma, positive SPT (> 4 mm of wheal diameter) to Phl p pollen extracts and to Phl p profilin, and all of them have positive serum Phl p pollen specific IgE (CAP-system, Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) levels. None of the patients had received specific immunotherapy. Histamine chloride, 10 mg/ml and saline were used as positive and negative controls, for skin test, in all subjects.

None of the control donors had a clinical history of allergic disease, and none had specific serum IgE or a positive SPT reaction to any of the common allergens of the Mediterranean area.

Allergens

Pollen from Phl p (Allergon AB, Välinge, Sweden) was extracted as previously described (15) and stored lyophilized at −20 °C until used. Working solutions (1 mg lyophilized material/ml RPMI 1640 medium (BioWhittaker, Walkersville, Maryland) were stored at −20 °C until used. The extracts contained all important allergens as evaluated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis immunoblotting. Chromatographic purification of profilins was done as previously described (16). Proteins co-eluted with profilin were removed by high-resolution chromatography in the SMART™ system (Pharmacia), as also described (16).

PBMC stimulation assay

PBMCs were isolated from freshly drawn heparinized blood by gradient centrifugation on Lymphoprep (Nycomed Pharma, Oslo, Norway), washed twice with PBS (bioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France), and resuspended in X-VIVO 10 medium (BioWhittaker, Walkersville, Maryland) with L-glutamine, without antibiotics (referred to as medium). The PBMCs were stimulated with 10 μg/ml of Phl p profilin, in 96-well, round-bottomed plates with 1 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells/well in 200 μl medium/well. Optimal conditions for the proliferation assays were determined by pilot studies with allergen concentrations from 100 to 0.01 μg/ml and harvest of the cells at day 3, 6 or 10 with PBMCs from patients and nonatopic donors. PBMCs in cultures without allergen were used as negative controls; PBMCs stimulated with 5 μg/ml tetanus toxoid (TT) (Rhône-Poulenc, Madrid, Spain) or with 1/100 PHA (Wellcome, Beckenham, U.K.) were used as positive controls. Cells were cultured for 6 days in a humidified atmosphere at 37 °C, 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> followed by an 18-hour pulse in the presence of 0.5 μCi of 3<sup>H</sup>-thymidine (Amersham, U.K.) per well. The cells were harvested onto nitrocellulose filters and thymidine incorporation was determined by liquid scintillation counting (Betal, Wallack). Stimulation index (SI) values were calculated as the ratio of cpm at the optimal antigen concentration in the stimulated cultures relative to the cpm in the unstimulated cultures. All results represent the mean values of triplicate cultures.

Cytokine production

PBMCs were thawed and stimulated in medium with 25 μg/ml of Phl p profilin or 1/100 PHA (Wellcome, Beckenham, U.K.), in 96-well, flat-bottomed pla-
tes, $3 \times 10^6$ cells/well in 300 μl medium/well were used. The cells were cultured in humidified atmosphere at $37 \, ^\circ \mathrm{C}$, 5% CO$_2$; PHA and Phl p profilin supernatants were collected at days 2 and 7 respectively. IL-4 and IFN-γ concentrations in supernatants were determined by ELISA (Bender, Vienna, Austria) according to the manufacturer’s description.

**Establishment of Phl p specific T-cell lines**

T-cell lines specific to Phl p profilin were established from PBMCs of 3 patients allergic to this profilin. Isolated PBMCs (1 $\times 10^6$/ml) were stimulated in 8 $\times$ 1 ml bulk cultures in 24-well flat-bottomed culture plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) with 10 μg/ml of profilin for 7 days. Subsequently, recombinant IL-2 (rIL-2) (10 U/ml; Roche, Nutley, New Jersey, USA) was added and kept in culture for an additional 7 days.

**T-cell stimulation assay**

On day 14 after stimulation, the proliferative capacity of the T-cell lines was assessed: $2 \times 10^4$ T-cell blasts were incubated in triplicate 200-μl cultures in the presence of 10$^5$ irradiated (2,500 rads) autologous PBMCs plus the appropriate profilin (10 μg/ml) in 96-well round bottomed microtiter plates for 48 hr at $37 \, ^\circ \mathrm{C}$, in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$, followed by an 18-hour pulse with 0.5 μCi of $^3$H-thymidine per well (Amersham), radionuclide uptake was measured by scintillation counting. Cultures with positive SI were selected.

**Statistical analysis**

Comparison of T-cell proliferation (expressed as SI) and cytokine production (expressed as pg/ml) between groups was done by Student’s t test or Mann Whitney U test to compare groups of data with non-normal distribution. Spearman rank order correlation was used to detect correlation between different data sets. All stochastic probabilities less than or equal to 0.05 were considered significant. Analyses were performed with SPSSWIN 6.1.3 (SPSS Inc., 1989-1995).

**RESULTS**

All patients had a positive SPT to both Phl p crude extract and Phl p highly purified profilin and all of them had Phl p specific IgE. No correlation was found between the patients’ SPT wheal diameter (mean 11.3 ± 4.1 SD) and the concentration of serum specific IgE (Fig. 1). Total serum IgE levels ranged from 31 to 2,404 KU/ml (mean 330 ± 516.4 SD). Phl p specific IgE levels ranged from 1.4 to 100 KU/l (mean 36.1 ± 31 SD).

![Figure 1](http://www.elsevier.es) —SPT versus specific IgE. SPT results are expressed as Phl p profilin wheal diameter in mm. Phl p-specific IgE concentrations were determined in a CAP-system. (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) and expressed as KU/l. Spearman rank order correlation: $r = 0.06$, $p = 0.78$.

![Figure 2](http://www.elsevier.es) —Specific T-cell responses to profilin and crude extract from Phl p. Results are expressed as SI obtained by stimulation of PBMCs from patients (closed figures) or non-atopic controls (open figures). Mean values are significantly higher for Phl p profilin in atotics (mean 5.2 ± 4.5 SD) than in controls (mean 1.4 ± 0.3 SD) ($p < 0.001$). Also, mean values are significantly higher for Phl p crude extract in patients (mean 4.4 ± 2.5 SD) than in controls (mean 1.5 ± 0.6 SD) ($p < 0.001$). Mean values are non significantly higher for TT in controls (mean 11.4 ± 6.07 SD) than in patients (mean 9.6 ± 7.07 SD) ($p = 0.403$). Also, mean values are non significantly higher for PHA in controls (mean 158 ± 57 SD) than in patients (mean 83 ± 71 SD) ($p = 0.361$).
Proliferative T-cell responses to allergens

Both patients and controls exhibited proliferative T-cell responses to the allergen extract with maximal stimulation ranging 1 to 100 pg/ml. To ensure that the results were not influenced by the variation in background counts, they were expressed as SI. The responses expressed as mean SI to highly purified profilin were higher in the group of patients than in controls (Fig. 2). Proliferative responses to TT and PHA were higher in the group of controls than in patients, but the difference was not significantly (Fig. 2).

The level of profilin-specific T-cell proliferation did not correlate with specific Phl p IgE concentrations (Fig. 3A) or with Phl p profilin SPT (Fig. 3B) of the individual patients.

Cytokine profiles of the allergen-specific T-cell response

The production of IL-4 on PHA-stimulated PBMCs from allergic patients was higher than from controls (p < 0.001) (Fig. 4), whereas the production of IFN-γ on the same conditions was higher in controls (p = 0.093, results not shown). The production of IL-4 and IFN-γ by profilin-stimulated PBMCs was undetectable in the majority of patients and controls (results not shown). IL-4 polyclonal production level did not correlate with specific Phl p IgE concentrations (Fig. 5A) or the degree of Phl p profilin SPT response (Fig. 5B) in the allergic patients.

Cross-reactivity of Phl p and Pj profilins

No cross-reactivity with another highly purified profilin (Pj profilin) (Fig. 6) was observed in the 8 Phl p profilin specific T-cell lines raised from the PBMCs of three patients.

DISCUSSION

The main findings of our study are: (1) The patients allergic to Phl p profilin had a significantly increased T-cell proliferative response to this profilin compared with nonatopic subjects. (2) The enhanced T-cell proliferation of the allergic patients could not be correlated to the degree of skin reactivity or to specific serum IgE levels. (3) Specific Phl p profilin T-cell lines did not show cross-reactivity with another highly purified profilin (Pj profilin). (4) The group of allergic patients has a significantly increased polyclonal (PHA) production of IL-4, compared with nonatopic sub-
jects and (5) this increased production of IL-4 did not correlate with skin reactivity or specific serum IgE levels.

The enhanced T-cell responses to profilins in the allergic patients indicate an increased frequency of allergen-specific T-cells (17). The fact that enhanced profilin specific T-cell responses are found in the peripheral blood of patients with allergies suggests that the responding T-cells are inducing the production of the allergen specific IgE by B cells and the subsequent skin reactivity. Therefore a direct correlation between the level of T-cell reactivity and allergen-specific serum IgE and SPT response could be expected. However, in this study neither specific serum IgE levels nor SPT could be correlated to the level of profilin specific T-cell responses of the individual patients.

In a similar study Würtzen et al. (14) working with grass allergen extracts and immunoaffinity-purified group 5 allergens of the Poaceae family (Philp, Poa pratensis, Lolium perenne) reached very similar conclusions. They also did not find any correlation between the magnitude of the patients’ T-cell responses and the skin prick test or specific serum IgE levels.

We were unable to detect the production of IL-4 (a typical TH2 cytokine) and IFN-γ (a typical TH1 cytokine) when PBMCs from allergic patients and nonatopic controls were stimulated by Philp profilin because the levels of the cytokines in the supernatants of the cultures were too low for the sensitivity of the method. However, the increased production of IL-4 and the tendency to a reduced production of IFN-γ, due to a polyclonal stimulation (PHA) of peripheral T-cells of the allergic patients, reflects their allergic phenotype. Several investigators have reported cytokine production patterns in PBMCs activated by non-specific stimulation in allergic diseases. It was shown that in vitro IFN-γ production by PHA-stimulated PBMCs is greatly diminished in atopic dermatitis patients compared with nonatopic healthy controls (18). In addition, it has also been demonstrated that the activation of PBMCs from atopic patients with high serum IgE induce higher levels of IL-4 synthesis and lower levels of IFN-γ than the levels produced by PBMCs from healthy controls, suggesting that enhanced IL-4 production and reduced IFN-γ production are associated with the elevated serum IgE levels in patients (19, 20).

Recently, several plant profilins has been cloned and sequenced (21-25). In fact, there is a 76.5% of homology between Philp and Philp profilins. In the selected Philp profilin specific T-cell lines with better SI re-stimulated with Philp or Philp profilins no cross-reactivity with Philp profilin was observed. Even though these data were obtained from experiments with a limited number of T-cell lines, the results indicate the impor...
tance of using T-cell lines (or clones) and highly purified (or recombinant) allergens in order to stabilize the cross-reactivity between allergens at the T-cell level.

In conclusion, the results of our work show that Phl p profilin specific proliferative T-cell response and the polyclonal production of IL-4 are higher in patients than in controls. This result suggests a role for Phl p profilin in the induction of T-cell driven immunological reactions. The lack of correlation between the skin reactivity and IgE specific concentration, T-cell reactivity or IL-4 production level is most likely to reflect the complexity of the skin reactivity, whereas the fact that the proliferative T-cell response did not correlate to the patients serum IgE indicates that at least some of the responding T-cells may be involved in immune reactions other than the support of IgE production. Phl p profilin specific T-cell lines did not show cross-reactivity with a highly homologous profilin from Pj.
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RESUMEN

Antecedentes: el polen de Phleum pratense (Phl p) es una de las causas más frecuentes de alergia respiratoria en el mundo occidental. Las profilinas han sido identificadas como proteínas pan-alergénicas con una amplia distribución en el reino vegetal. El papel de la profilina de Phl p en la respuesta inmunológica específica en pacientes sensibilizados al Phl p es desconocida.

Métodos: se practicaron a 26 pacientes alérgicos a Phl p y a 18 controles no alérgicos pruebas cutáneas cuantificadas a profilina de Phl p, así como determinación de IgE específica a polen de Phl p. Se aislaron células mononucleares de sangre periférica (PBMC) en ambos grupos y tras estímulo con el extracto crudo o con la profilina altamente purificada de Phl p, se determinó la producción de citocinas de tipo I y de tipo II, por medio de estimulaciones específicas o policlonales tanto en pacientes como en controles. Se establecieron líneas de células T específicas por la profilina de Phl p y se evaluó la posible reactividad cruzada con otra profilina altamente purificada de Parietaria judaica (Pj).

Resultados: los pacientes sensibilizados a la profilina de Phl p mostraron un incremento en la respuesta proliferativa T frente la profilina respecto al grupo de controles no alérgicos. La producción de IL-4 e IFN-γ en respuesta al estímulo específico fue indetectable. Sin embargo, la producción de IL-4 en respuesta a un estímulo policlonal (PHA) fue significativamente mayor en pacientes alérgicos que en los controles y la producción de IFN-γ fue menor en el grupo de alérgicos que en los controles. Ni la respuesta celular T ni la producción de IL-4 frente a un estímulo policlonal se corresponden con la magnitud de la respuesta en la reactividad cutánea a la profilina de Phl p, o con los niveles de IgE específica a Phl p en suero. Las líneas específicas T testadas no mostraron ninguna reactividad cruzada con la profilina de Pj.

Conclusiones: nuestros resultados sugieren que la profilina de Phl p es responsable en parte de la respuesta mediada por células T en pacientes alérgicos a Phl p. La respuesta es muy específica porque las líneas de células T no presentaron respuesta cruzada con la profilina altamente homóloga de Pj. La falta de correlación entre la respuesta proliferativa de las células T y la producción policlonal de IL-4 con los niveles de IgE específicos en suero y la reactividad cutánea probablemente indica que algunas de las células T respondedoras podrían estar involucradas en reacciones inmunitarias distintas de las que promueven la producción de IgE.
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