Aims. To compare transdermal nitroglycerin (NTG) and corticosteroid infiltration in patients with rotator cuff tendinitis (RCT).

Design. Experimental, randomized controlled study.

Setting. Semirural basic health area in the Garraf region of Barcelona province, Spain, with a population of public health service users of 12000.

Patients and methods. Patients diagnosed as having RCT of less than 6 weeks’ evolution who had not responded to treatment with oral nonsteroid antiinflammatory drugs. The patients were distributed randomly into two groups: a) group A, local infiltration via a posterior approach with a depot corticosteroid and local anesthesia, and b) group B, treated for 3 days with a 5-mg NTC patch.

Main measures. Age, sex, pain (measured with an analog visual scale) and adverse events. In patients who showed a partial response, treatment was repeated up to 3 times at 15-day intervals. Pain was tested after 7-10 days of treatment. Complete improvement was considered a reduction in pain of more than 5 points on the analog visual scale; partial improvement was considered a reduction of 3-5 points, and treatment failure was recorded when there was no improvement in pain or when there was a decrease of less than 3 points.

Results. A total of 48 patients were included; 33 (69%) were women and 15 (31%) were men. Mean age was 61 years. In group A, complete improvement was seen in 19 patients and partial improvement in 3; treatment failed in 2 patients. In group B complete improvement was seen in 5 patients, partial improvement in 5, and failure of treatment in 14. The difference between groups was statistically significant. Adverse events were mild pain at the injection site in 4 patients from group A, and headache in 15 patients from group B, 8 of whom abandoned treatment for this reason.

Conclusion. Treatment with NTG is not a clear alternative to infiltration of corticosteroids in patients with RCT, because of its lack of effectiveness and because of the greater number of patients who had adverse events that lead them to abandon treatment.
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Introduction

"Painful shoulder", which can significantly limit the patient’s quality of life, is a frequent complaint in primary health care with an estimated yearly incidence of 11.2/1000 patients. The most frequent cause of this syndrome is rotator cuff tendinitis (RCT), although it can also arise from other disorders such as muscular injury, bursitis, or, less often, from joint problems. Treatment of this disorder is usually provided by the primary care physician, and the choice of treatment depends on the practitioner’s preference, based mainly on acquired knowledge and personal experience. No clearly established criteria for choosing the most suitable treatment are available; despite the many published studies on this topic, there is little scientific evidence to support one option over another.

The three potential pharmacological treatments consist of transdermal nitroglycerin, infiltration with corticosteroids and local anesthesia, and nonsteroid antiinflammatory drugs. Transdermal nitroglycerin has been shown to be effective as an antiinflammatory and analgesic for different indications such as thrombophlebitis, dysmenorrhea and RCT. Advocates of this approach explain these effects on the basis that nitroglycerin is transformed into nitric oxide in the vascular smooth muscle, and thus imitates the action of endogenous nitric oxide (produced in the endothelium) on the peripheral nervous system, and on the modulation of the inflammatory process. On the other hand, infiltration of corticosteroids with local anesthesia has been found more effective than oral nonsteroid antiinflammatory drugs for RCT.

Given the high prevalence of RCT in our setting and the contraindications, the potential adverse effects of some treatments (i.e., oral antiinflammatory drugs) and the invasive nature of other treatments (i.e., local infiltration), we believed it worthwhile to investigate the efficacy of transdermal nitroglycerin for the treatment of pain in RCT. If this treatment were found to be at least as effective as conventional treatments, it might offer advantages (ease of application, low cost, nonaggressive nature) that would make nitroglycerin an attractive alternative.

The aim of this study was to compare the effects of transdermal nitroglycerin and local infiltration of corticosteroids in controlling the pain of RCT in patients who had not responded to oral nonsteroid antiinflammatory drugs.

Material and methods

This experimental study, in which patients were assigned randomly to receive one treatment or the other, was done at the primary health care center of a semirural basic health care area in the Garraf region, Barcelona province, Spain. The health care center serves a population of approximately 12000 inhabitants, most of whom have received a moderate to low level of formal schooling. About 60% of the population are of legal working age, and the main types of employment are construction work (men) and cleaning work (women).

The participants were selected during a visit to the health center at which they were seen by members of one of three different primary care teams. The inclusion criteria were: a) clinical diagnosis of RCT, defined as pain on moving the arm through a 60–120° arc, positive impingement test and positive Jove test, Gerbe test, or Pate test; b) symptoms present for less than 6 weeks at the time of diagnosis; and c) no response to previous treatment for one week with oral nonsteroid antiinflammatory drugs. Patients were excluded if they had adhesive capsulitis, limited passive mobility of the shoulder, biceps tendinitis, positive palm up test or Yergason test, allergy or intolerance of any of the drugs used in the study, or if they were receiving treatment with nitroglycerin patches for heart disease.

Sample size was calculated at 24 patients per group according to a comparison of proportions test for a unilateral hypothesis. Alpha error was set at 0.05, and beta error at 0.20. Keeping in mind that the efficacy of infiltration is 70–90%, we assumed that a difference of 30% or less would be clinically relevant.

The main variable in the study was pain, measured with a visual analog scale (VAS) at the time of inclusion in the study and after 7 days of treatment. Other variables studied were age, sex and adverse effects of both treatments.

From May 1999 to April 2000 all patients who came to the center and fulfilled the inclusion criteria were assigned to one of two treatment groups: randomly to receive local infiltration of corticosteroids or transdermal nitroglycerin. The randomization scheme and the sample size are shown in the figure.

Scheme of the study design

Experimental study with randomized distribution of participants.
groups (A or B) according to a random number table, if they gave their consent to take part after receiving verbal information about the study. Group A patients received infiltration via a posterior approach (1 cm below the outer edge of the posterior spine of the scapula) of 1 ml triamcinolone acetonide and 1 ml 2% mepivacaine delivered with a 21 G intramuscular needle. Group B patients were given a set of 5-mg nitroglycerin patches to be placed over the area of worse pain (lateral face of the shoulder) for 3 days. Each patient was trained in the proper way to apply the patch daily. During the treatment period no oral analgesics or antiinflammatories were allowed.

After 7 days of treatment we evaluated the dependent variables pain and adverse events. Complete improvement was considered a reduction in pain of more than 5 points on the VAS; partial improvement was considered a reduction of 3-5 points, and treatment failure was recorded when there was no improvement in pain or when the decrease was less than 3 points. Adverse effects were also recorded. Patients whose pain did not show complete improvement were given an appointment 15 days after treatment to receive nitroglycerin or infiltration depending on their initially assigned treatment, and pain and adverse events were again recorded one week later. This procedure was repeated up to 3 times. Scheme illustrates the overall design of the study. The results were analyzed statistically by comparison of proportions with the SPSS. The results were expressed together with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

**Results**

A total of 48 patients (33 women, 69%, and 15 men, 31%) were studied. Mean age was 61 years. There were no significant differences between the groups in age or sex. In group A complete improvement was seen in 19 patients (79%), partial improvement in 3 (12%) and treatment failure in 2 (8%). Of the 19 patients with complete improvement, this result was obtained with one infiltration in 10 participants, 2 infiltrations in 3, and 3 infiltrations in 6. In group B complete improvement was seen in 5 patients (21%), partial improvement in 5 (21%) and treatment failure in 14 (58%). Of the 5 patients with complete improvement, this result was obtained with one 3-day set of patches in 3, and with 3 sets of patches in 2 (fig. 1).

With regard to adverse effects, 4 patients (16%) in group A reported mild pain at the injection site, whereas in group B 15 patients (62%) reported headaches, which led 8 of them to abandon treatment (fig. 2).

When we compared patients who showed complete improvement with the other two outcome groups (partial improvement and treatment failure), we found a difference in the proportion of each treatment group of 0.58 (95%
The available treatments are oral nonsteroid antiinflammatory drugs, local corticosteroid infiltration and transdermal nitroglycerin. Different treatments are often needed to attain complete improvement, 29% partial improvement, and 48% failed to respond. Moreover, 50% of the patients abandoned treatment because they could not tolerate the adverse effects (mainly headache). These findings are broadly similar to the results of the present study. However, a study by Berrazueta et al. that compared topical nitroglycerin and a placebo for RCT found significant differences in favor of nitroglycerin. It should nonetheless be noted that the statistical power and design of their study were problematic: only 10 patients were included in each group, and the response was evaluated after a very short treatment period (24 and 48 h).

In the present study, randomization bias was significantly reduced by a controlled, random process of assignment; thus our groups were comparable for prognostic factors. However, some limitations should be noted. The variable we used to measure treatment efficacy (decrease or no change in pain) is difficult to measure. It would be worthwhile to obtain information on other parameters such as functional improvement in the joint mobility. In addition, the best way to evaluate treatment is known to be by comparison against a placebo; hence our results should be interpreted with caution, despite the fact that other studies have reported very similar findings. Finally, the high percentage of drop-outs as a result of adverse events needs to be taken into account in the evaluation of the response to treatment in the group that used transdermal nitroglycerin.
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The painful shoulder syndrome is a frequent cause of visits to primary care physicians. Between 10% and 20% of the population can expect to have at least one episode of this disorder. The etiopathogenic mechanisms of painful shoulder have not been adequately established. In some cases there are clear antecedents of mechanical overload or traumatic injury, but in others these antecedents are lacking, or the patient has no recollection of any cause that might account for the pain. In addition, the disorder is more common against a background of certain clinical entities such as diabetes, although the causes of this relationship are unknown.

Conservative approaches to treatment offers several options. Pharmacological treatment is based mainly on nonsteroid antiinflammatories and analgesics, and on the infiltration of depot corticosteroids and anesthetics. Physiotherapy is another available option.

As yet there are no clear clinical criteria for choosing one option over another, for combining different treatments, or for deciding in which order to use different options. None of the treatments individually is infallible, and practitioners must rely on their own training and experience in deciding which option to use. Because of these uncertainties, new lines of research are being tried (and further possibilities also merit study) with the aim of developing appropriate treatments with lower risks.

Surprisingly, despite the high incidence of this disorder there are few well-structured studies that compare treatment alternatives. The article in this issue by Pons et al. compares one treatment previously found to be superior to a placebo, i.e., local infiltration of corticosteroids, with a newer option: nitroglycerin patches placed over the painful area. The results of their study show that nitroglycerin patches cannot be considered an additional treatment option: the hoped-for clinical benefits were not obtained, and moreover many patients abandoned treatment because of adverse effects.
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