Enhancement of tomato allergenicity after treatment with plant hormones
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ABSTRACT

Background: Practical applications to enhance the productivity of agriculture by using plants with improved resistance to pathogens are expected to increase in the near future. Although tomato has been widely investigated for breeding purposes, there have been no studies on tomato allergenicity after plant hormones treatments.

Methods: Prick by prick tests were carried out with different tomato samples (fruits grown under biological conditions without addition of chemical products, and treated with ethylene and salicylic acid) in eight patients with ages between 12 and 27 years who suffered from anaphylaxis episodes after eating raw tomatoes. An immunoblot experiment with the different tomato extracts was performed using sera from these eight patients and controls.

Results: The wheals obtained in prick tests were significantly higher with the extracts of tomato treated with ethylene and SAA ($\chi^2 = 31.3, p < 0.0001$) and the patients who presented higher wheal diameters in skin tests were those who had more severe episodes of anaphylaxis. Neither the protein stain nor the IgE immunodetection patterns clearly varied between the untreated and the hormone-treated samples.

Conclusions: In the case of anaphylaxis induced by tomato, the treatment with plant hormones induced a higher cutaneous response than with non-treated tomato, but the “in vitro” response was similar.
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RESUMEN

Información básica: Se espera que aumenten en un futuro próximo las aplicaciones prácticas para incrementar la productividad de la agricultura utilizando plantas con mayor resistencia a los patógenos. Aunque se ha investigado ampliamente el tomate para fines de producción, no se han realizado estudios sobre su alergenicidad después de tratamientos con hormonas vegetales.

Métodos: Se efectuaron pruebas de prick con diferentes muestras de tomate (frutos desarrollados en condiciones biológicas sin añadir productos químicos y tratados con etileno y ácido salicílico) en siete pacientes de 12 a 27 años que habían sufrido episodios de anafilaxia después de comer tomates crudos. Se realizó una prueba de inmunotransferencia con los distintos extractos de tomate empleando sueros de estos ocho pacientes y de controles.

Resultados: Los habones obtenidos en las pruebas de punción fueron significativamente mayores con los extractos de tomate tratados con etileno y SAA ($\chi^2 = 31.3, p < 0.0001$) y los pacientes que presentaron habones de mayor diámetro fueron los que habían sufrido episodios más graves de anafilaxia. Ni la tinción de proteínas ni los patrones de inmunodetección de IgE variaron con claridad entre las muestras tratadas con hormonas y las no tratadas.
Conclusiones: En el caso de la anafilaxia inducida por el tomate, el tratamiento con hormonas vegetales provocó una respuesta cutánea mayor que con el tomate no tratado, pero la respuesta in vitro fue parecida.


The tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) is a member of the Solanaceae family. The prevalence of tomato allergy was estimated to range from 1.5 to 16% among food-allergic populations and reached up 39.2% among grass pollen allergics\(^1\). Although tomato has been widely investigated for breeding purposes, there have been no studies on tomato allergenicity after different treatments. Tomato proteins binding to IgE from patient suffering from oral allergy syndrome have been identified as polygalacturonase, β-fructofuranosidase, superoxide dismutase and pectinesterase and their concentrations was highest in the red ripening stage with both SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. Nevertheless, few immunologic studies in patients with anaphylaxis after ingestion of tomato have been performed\(^2\). Plant hormones like ethylene and salicylic acid (SAA) are widely studied as signal molecules which induce production of defense-related proteins and their activity has been studied in different plants models\(^3\).

We attempted to evaluate the effects of plant hormone treatment on the allergenic capacity of tomato analyzing three different samples: fruits grown under biological conditions without addition of chemical products, and treated with ethylene (Ethephon, Sigma) or with SAA (Bayer) in order to compare the IgE-binding protein response.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Prick by prick tests were carried out with the three different tomato samples grown in a same area in eight patients with ages between 12 and 27 years who suffered from anaphylaxis episodes after eating raw tomatoes (table I). Six of them also presented sensitization to grass pollen and 4 to nuts. The same tests were performed in 15 control patients, obtaining negative responses in all cases. The range of specific IgE levels to tomato (CAP-FEIA, Pharmacia, Sweden) was between 7.93 and 63.2 kU/L.

An immunoblot experiment with the three different tomato extracts was performed using sera from these eight patients and controls and following the methods previously described\(^5\). Briefly, PBS extracts from untreated and ethylene and SAA-treated tomato fruits were separated by SDS-PAGE, electrotransferred onto PVDF membranes and immunoblotted with a serum pool from the tomato allergic patients or with monospecific polyclonal antibodies to plant chitinases.

**RESULTS**

The wheals obtained in prick tests were significantly higher with the extracts of tomato treated with ethylene and SAA ($\chi^2 = 31.3$, $p < 0.0001$) and the patients who presented higher wheal diameters in skin tests were those who had more severe episodes of anaphylaxis (table I). Protein bands with apparent molecular sizes from 5 to 70 kDa were found in the PBS extract, as well as 3-4 IgE-binding bands from 34 to 50 kDa. Neither the protein stain nor the IgE immunodetection patterns clearly varied between the untreated and the hormone-treated samples. A component above 45 kDa was recognized in all three extracts by the anti-chitinases antibodies showing a similar intensity in the three cases.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, practical applications to enhance the productivity of agriculture by using plants with improved resistance to pathogens are expected to increase in the near future. Defense proteins play an important role in pathogen resistance, and some defense-related proteins are significant cross-reacting

Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Symptoms after eating tomato</th>
<th>Prick* “natural” tomato mm</th>
<th>Prick* tomato SSA</th>
<th>Prick* tomato Ethylene</th>
<th>IgE to tomato fruit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>As, Ur, Ae, Hy, Sh</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>As, Ur, Ae, Hy, Th</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>As, Ur, Ae, Hy, Sh</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ur, Ae, Hy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Rh, As, Ur</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>As, Ur</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Rh, As, Ae</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Ur, As</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.56</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Asthma: As; Urticaria: Ur; Angioedema: Ae; Thrombosis: Th; Hypotension: Hy; Shock: Sh; Rhinitis: Rh.
allergens. In the case of anaphylaxis induced by tomato, the treatment with plant hormones induced a higher cutaneous response than with non-manipulated tomato, but the “in vitro” response was similar. Clinical relevance of changes in allergenicity after tomato ripening manipulation deserves further analysis.
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