## Seven steps to patient safety
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### Abstract

It is now well recognised that incidents in healthcare systems are a serious problem which requires urgent attention. This paper outlines the work of the National Patient Safety Agency (NPSA), presents an overview of the 7 key steps required to achieve a safer organisation. The first 3 steps introduce the concepts, methods, research and practical tools in relation to developing a safety culture (step 1), establishing a strong focus on patient safety throughout the organisation (step 2) and integrating risk management systems (step 3). The following steps describe national and local reporting requirements (step 4), patient and public involvement in safety (step 5), the root cause analysis approach to incident investigation (step 6) and transferring lessons to solutions (step 7).

Every day more than a million people are treated safely and successfully in the NHS. However, the advances in technology and knowledge in recent decades have created an immensely multifaceted healthcare system. Patient safety is such an important concept that taking this agenda forward might seem daunting in any area of the NHS. It is vital that healthcare staff can progress towards delivering this safety agenda. The 7 steps provide a guide to help them achieve this.
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### Introduction

Every day more than a million people are treated safely and successfully in healthcare. However, the advances in technology and knowledge in recent decades have created an immensely complex healthcare system. This complexity brings risks, and evidence shows that things will and do go wrong in healthcare. The effects of harming a patient are widespread. There can be devastating emotional and physical consequences for patients and their families. For the staff involved too, incidents can be distressing, while members of their clinical teams can become demoralised and disaffected. Safety incidents also incur costs through litigation and extra treatment.

Patient safety concerns everyone in healthcare, whether you work in a clinical or a non-clinical role. Tackling patient safety in healthcare collectively and in a systematic way can have a positive impact on the quality of care and efficiency of healthcare organisations.

Some organisations are already well advanced along the route to patient safety but many are right at the beginning of their journey. The Department of Health publication An Organisation with a Memory, mobilised the patient safety movement in the UK. The report reviewed the growing body of in-
The National Patient Safety Agency (NPSA) faces the challenge of how to best influence the health service, a complex and multi-level system, to help deliver these objectives. While some organisations have well established patient safety systems, there are a large number that are right at the beginning of their journey. The NPSA has therefore developed new guidance for all staff, entitled “Seven Steps to Patient Safety”. These steps are founded on a thorough review of literature from across the world (on patient safety, clinical governance, change management and risk management) and on experience of what works in patient safety.

It is vital that healthcare staff can assess the progress they make towards delivering this safety agenda. Seven steps can be applied at both an organisational and departmental level. They provide a checklist to help plan activities and measure performance in patient safety. Following these steps will help ensure that the care provided is as safe as possible, and that when things do go wrong the right action is taken (table 1).

**Step 1 – Build a Safety culture that is open and fair**

Creating a safety culture should be the first step towards a safer organisation. One of the key lessons learned in industry is that without proper changes in culture, perspective, and attitude towards incidents and their causes, they are unlikely to be reported and therefore any changes made. Improving a safety culture will help: reduce human cost in terms of patients suffering increased pain, disability, physical and psychological trauma and staff suffering distress, guilt, shame, loss of confidence and loss of morale; improve national targets around waiting times; reduce the requirements for extra treatment and extra beds. In the UK the cost of preventable patient safety incidents is estimated at £1 billion per annum in lost bed days alone; reduce the resources required for handling the investigations around incidents, complaints and claims; reduce the
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1. Patient safety incident: any unintended or unexpected incident that could have or did lead to harm for one or more patients receiving healthcare. The terms ‘patient safety incident’ and ‘patient safety incident (prevented)’ will be used to describe ‘adverse events’, ‘clinical errors’ and ‘near misses’ respectively.

---

2. This study also estimated that 68,000 of these incidents may contribute to the death of patients. This equates to slightly more than one incident per day per acute Trust in England. In the US studies, studies have found that between 44,000 and 98,000 incidents are estimated to contribute to patient deaths. This is viewed by many commentators as underestimating the extent of the problem. Studies in Australia, New Zealand and Denmark have suggested similar findings.

---

3. The analysis of international evidence in the United States led to 2 important conclusions:

1. The potential for error in healthcare systems represents a significant and serious challenge that needs concerted effort to manage.
2. The best way of improving reporting and reducing error rates is to target the underlying systems failures rather than take action against individual members of staff.

---

4. An organisation with a memory proposed solutions based on developing a culture of openness, reporting and safety consciousness within healthcare organisations. The report identified four key areas that need to be addressed if healthcare is to modernise successfully its approach to learning from failure:

1. Unified mechanisms for reporting, and analysis when things go wrong.
2. A more open culture in which incidents or service failures can be reported and discussed.
3. Systems and monitoring processes to ensure that where lessons are identified the necessary changes are put into practice.
4. A much wider appreciation of the value of the systems approach in preventing, analysing and learning from patient safety incidents.
estimated wider financial and social costs which include lost working time, and disability benefits. In order to help achieve a safety culture the NPSA organisations should undertake a baseline assessment of their safety culture and then repeat over time to measure improve-
ment. The NPSA has also recruited a network of Patient Safety Managers who will work across England and Wales within geographical areas of each Strategic Health Authority or Region. They will provide support and coordination in the development and introduction of the national reporting and learning system, the root cause analysis toolkits and training and provide a crucial 2 way link between national and local perspectives. A key aim will be in translating national policy into local action.

Step 2 – Provide leadership and support your staff

Effective team working is fundamental to the success of patient safety. There needs to be a multi-disciplinary approach to patient safety where the whole group are equal and interactive players. A guiding principle is “if you’re not sure it’s safe, then it is not safe” and irrespective of your position you tell your superiors you are not sure it is safe by whatever means are available.

Crucially, in healthcare there are 2 key myths which need to be dispelled:

– the perfection myth; if we try hard enough we will not make any errors;

– the punishment myth; if we punish people when they make errors they will make fewer of them.

However, the truths are:

– moving beyond a culture of blame does not mean an absence of accountability;

– incidents are caused by complex systems, and factors which affect human beings and the way they work such as interruptions, short term memory, attention span, pressure to hurry, fatigue, anxiety, fear, boredom, complacency and habit;

– despite some high profile cases, the overwhelming majority of patient safety incidents are not caused by malicious intent or even lack of competence on the part of the individuals involved. The tool kit can be found at www.npsa.nhs.uk/idt.

Step 3 – Integrate your risk management systems

To be most effective, patient safety needs to be a fundamental part of the normal working processes of the organisation. Patient safety should cover all levels of an organisation’s activities from strategic to operational. To aid the achievement of goals and objectives. In practice, integrated risk management means:

– Ensuring that health care organisations use the same systems for managing all their risk management functions, such as patient safety, health and safety, complaints, clinical litigation, employment litigation, financial and environmental risk.

– When improvement, modernisation and clinical governance are considered, risk management is a key component to any project design.

– Bringing together all sources of information related to risk and safety, for example “reactive data”, such as patient safety incidents, clinical litigation claims, complaints and health and safety incidents, as well as “proactive data”, such as the results of risk assessments.

– Using a consistent approach to the training, management, analysis and investigation for all potential risks and actual incidents.

– Using a consistent approach and unifying all risk assessments of all types of risks for an organisation at every level. Incorporating all risks into an organisation risk management programme and risk register. This will mean organisations can plan more effectively and develop controls to reduce the effects of the risks identified.

– Using the information gained through incidents and risk assessments to develop future business and strategic plans.

Step 4 – Promote incident reporting

The first step in the pursuit of excellence in patient safety is to address the most critical question of “why do things go wrong in healthcare?”. Incident reporting is therefore a fundamental component of patient safety, however, to do it well it the management, review and understanding of incidents requires clinical expertise and a good understanding of the healthcare environment and the many factors which may contribute to a poor outcome. To create a safer healthcare system the NPSA was actioned with establishing a national reporting and learning system (NRLS) for patient safety incidents. This will provide us with information about patient safety problems across the health service with a view to learning lessons from these and developing solutions to minimise the risk of the same incident happening again.

A patient safety incident is defined as “Any unintended or unexpected incident(s) which could have or did lead to harm for one or more patients receiving NHS funded healthcare”. It could be a single incident or a series of incidents over time and includes incidents in relation to direct patient
care, and indirect patient care such as equipment issues, staff shortages, confusing labels and so on. A patient safety incident which impacts on patients is then graded according to the severity of impact; no harm, low, moderate, severe, death, shown below. Key factors for severity categorisation are the patient’s actual condition, i.e. the extent of the injury or harm, and the level of care required following the incident.

Step 5 - Involve and communication with your patients and their families

In the normal course of events if the patient is harmed in any way, then this information should be shared with the patient and or carers and relatives. Openness is a fundamental part of the partnership between patients and their care providers. “Being open” is defined as “the discussion between staff and patients and their relatives when a patient safety incident has led to harm”. Incidents which were prevented from impacting on patients do not need to be disclosed to patients but nonetheless are essential for learning. The NPSA is developing a model policy which can be used to provide a framework for local organisations as a basis for developing their own policies and procedures for open disclosure. The development of local disclosure policies will help to facilitate cultural change and improve patient and public confidence:

The NPSA open disclosure policy advocates:

– An acknowledgment and a factual explanation of what happened;
– An apology;
– An explanation as to the potential consequences and what steps are being taken to manage the incident;
– Reassurance for patients and their families that lessons will be learnt from the incident to reduce the chance of a reoccurrence.

Step 6 – Learn from your incidents

Reporting when things go wrong is essential in healthcare. But it is only part of the process of improving patient safety. It is equally important that health care organisations look at the underlying causes of patient safety incidents and learn how to prevent them from happening again. Often there are many underlying causes and in the majority of cases these extend beyond the individual staff member or team involved.

Research has shown that an RCA approach to incident investigation will achieve a number of patient safety benefits.1,4,6 These include:

– providing a structured and consistent approach to incident investigation across all care settings;
– shifting the focus away from individuals and on to the system to help build an open and fair culture;
– increasing awareness of patient safety issues and demonstrating the benefits of reporting incidents;
– helping engage patients in the investigation;

Table 2. Levels of investigation per severity of incident

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Level of Investigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No harm: impact prevented.</td>
<td>Local organisations and practices may want to pick up to 10 of these incidents each year to identify lessons learned. These are useful for lessons in preventative measures. They can also be used as incidents for training staff in the root cause analysis (RCA) approach to investigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact not prevented</td>
<td>Local organisations and practices may want to pick up to 10 of these incidents each year to identify lessons learned. These are useful for lessons in preventative measures. They can also be used as incidents for training staff in the root cause analysis (RCA) approach to investigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>A low-level investigation is required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Organisations should assess their capacity to investigate and undertake a level of investigation accordingly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>A full RCA should be undertaken. The patient and carers should be informed and involved in any investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>A full RCA should be undertaken. The patient’s carers should be informed and involved in any investigation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step 7 – Implement solutions to prevent harm

NHS organisations, staff that work in them and patients that experience them first hand, have a wealth of information about how systems are failing to provide optimum care. The aim of solutions development is to make it easy to do things right and difficult to do things wrong. The local analysis and investigation of patient safety incidents should lead to a local action plan to ensure lessons are applied throughout the organisation. Local and national solutions to improve patient safety need to be realistic, sustainable and cost effective. They also need to be validated to make sure they work. Simple changes generally spread faster than complicated ones. Staff should work through each potential recommendation for change or each potential risk and prioritise them. It is all too easy to list over 30 recommendations following an investigation when in reality only three or four can be implemen-
Four types of barriers

1. Physical barriers (an actual physical hindrance)
   - bar coding;
   - keypad-controlled doors;
   - computer programmes that prevent a reporter from continuing
     if a field is not completed;
   - controlled drugs kept in double-locked cabinets that require
     two keys, usually kept separately
2. Natural barriers (barriers of distance, time or placement)
   - a system for checking prescriptions in a community pharmacy,
     is a 10-minute break between the first check and the
     dispensing of the drug
3. Human action barriers
   - checking the temperature of a bath before immersing an
     elderly patient;
   - checking patients' identification with another staff member;
   - checking patients' identification with the patient, carer or
     relative
4. Administrative barriers
   - protocols and procedures;
   - checklists;
   - alert notices;
   - professional registers

Table 3. Four types of barriers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Barriers</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical barriers</td>
<td>Bar coding, keypad-controlled doors, computer programmes, controlled drugs in double-locked cabinets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural barriers</td>
<td>System for checking prescriptions, 10-minute break between first check and dispensing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human action barriers</td>
<td>Checking temperature, checking identification with another staff member, checking identification with the patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative barriers</td>
<td>Protocols, checklists, alert notices, professional registers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This could include redesigning systems and processes, actual examples include effective use packaging of medication to prompt compliance or to alert dispensing staff and patients to different dose strengths; of computer-based support systems such as computerised records, and medication systems; new labelling techniques, and redesigning care delivery by reducing delays and improving patient flow and access; and training including electronic learning packages, face to face training, simulation training. Other examples are in the form of barriers to error. A barrier is a defence or control measure to prevent harm or vulnerable or valuable objects. A barrier in healthcare is either an obstruction (e.g. locked controlled drug cupboards) or preventative action (e.g. using a checklist). The fact that a patient safety incident has taken place means that one or more of the barriers have failed. This stage of solution development is known as ‘barrier analysis’ [8][12], and is designed to identify: which barriers should have been in place to prevent the incident; why the barrier failed; which barriers could be used to prevent the incident happening again.

It offers a structured way to visualise the events related to system failure and can be used reactively to solve problems or proactively to evaluate existing barriers. Physical barriers are the most reliable in terms of providing failsafe solutions to safety problems. Natural barriers, while less effective, generally provide a more robust solution than human action and administrative barriers. These are considered the least reliable barriers because they rely on human action and behaviour, and mistakes can be made (table 3).

Conclusion

Patient safety means different things to different people. There is also a huge variation in management and implementation of patient safety practices. The argument for patient safety is compelling. It is hoped that the NPSA guidance “Seven Steps to Patient Safety” will offer something useful to those who are the start of the patient safety journey as well as those who have travelled quite some way in the pursuit of excellence in patient safety.
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