Aeroallergens sensitization in an allergic paediatric population of Cova da Beira, Portugal
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ABSTRACT

Cova da Beira is an interior central region of Portugal, with a population of 93,000 inhabitants. The first pollen counts performed in Portugal revealed the highest values of the country in this area. The aim of this study was to assess the aeroallergens sensitization in an allergic population, according to the age groups. In a 5 year period (1995-2000) 1790 consecutive outpatients were observed for suspected allergic symptoms. We included in this study all the 557 paediatric (≤ 15 years old) observed patients (317 male (57 %) and 240 female (43 %)) with an average age of 7.6 ± 4.2 years old). They were divided in three age groups (Group I: ≤ 5yr; Group II: 6-10yr; Group III: 11-15yr).

371 patients were submitted to skin prick tests to aeroallergens. 86.5 % of these patients were sensitised to at least one allergen extract. The most representative aeroallergens sensitization were grasses mixture (44.9 %), D. pteronyssinus (32.5 %), D. farinae (29.1 %), Olea europea (27.5 %), Parietaria judaica (17.6 %), Robinia pseudoacacia (12.2 %), Platanus acerifolia (11.4 %), Tilia cordata (11.4 %) moulds mixture (11.2 %), Plantago lanceolata (10.6 %), dog dander (10.4 %), and Pinus radiata (7.5 %).

The sensitisation to indoor aeroallergens, was similar in all age groups and it was less important than that of pollens. The prevalence of sensitisation to grasses was the greatest in all ages and the house dust mites sensitization was the second most prevalent. The highest pollens counts in this region could explain the early sensitisation even in young children.
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RESUMEN

Cova da Beira es una región interior del centro de Portugal con una población de 93,000 habitantes. Los primeros recuentos de polen realizados en Portugal revelaron que en esta zona se hallan los valores más elevados del país. El objetivo del presente estudio fue evaluar la sensibilización a los aeroalérgenos en una población alérgica según los grupos de edad. En un período de 5 años (1995-2000) se observaron 1,790 pacientes ambulatorios consecutivos con posibles síntomas alérgicos.

En el estudio incluimos a los 557 pacientes pediátricos (de ≤ 15 años) observados (317 niños (57 %) y 240 niñas (43 %), con una edad media de 7,6 ± 4,2 años). Se dividieron en tres grupos de edad (grupo I: ≤ 5 años; grupo II: 6-10 años; grupo III: 11-15 años).

Se sometieron 371 pacientes a pruebas cutáneas con aeroalérgenos. El 86,5 % de los mismos estaban sensibilizados como mínimo a un extracto de alérgeno. Los alérgenos más significativos frente a los que se observó sensibilización fueron: mezcla de hierbas (44,9 %), D. pteronyssinus (32,5 %), D. farinae (29,1 %), Olea europea (27,5 %), Parietaria judaica
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INTRODUCTION

Cova da Beira is an inland central region of Portugal, located in the latitude 40° 16', the longitude 7° 30' and in the altitude of 600 m above the sea level and has a continental climate.

The local flora includes Castanea, Olea, Pinus, Ficus, Eriobotrya, Acacia, Prunus, Platanus, Pseudotsuga, Tilia, Rubus, Ailanthus, Cytisus, Umbilicus, Rumex, Parietaria, Mercurialis, Polypodium and Sedum. The gardens in this city includes Vitis, Arundo and Anagallis, Brassicaceae Labiatae, Compositae, Tiliaeaceae and Platanaceae. In the valley of this region there are cultivated fruit trees.

In the first polinic map carried out throughout the whole country (March 1999-March 2000), this area had the highest pollen counts in Portugal (SPAIC/PoSAIC). It was considered positive for a wheal greater than 3 mm above the negative control.

METHODS

During a five year period, from May 1995 to May 2000, 1790 consecutive outpatients were observed for suspected allergic symptoms. In the first observation some patients were submitted to skin prick tests (SPT) to aeroallergens (ALK-Abelló, Spain), including at least 20 local flora species: Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, Dermatophagoides farinae, Acarus siro, Blatella, moulds mixture, Candida albicans, cat and dog dander, grasses mixture, Parietaria judaica, Artemisia vulgaris, Plantago lanceolata, Chamaenopodium album, Olea europea, Robinia pseudacacia, Platanus acerifolia, Tilia cordata, Pinus radiata and Betula pubescens. According to the clinical history there were performed SPT with other aeroallergens such as storage mites and another pollen allergens including: Dactylis glomerata, Festuca pratensis, Lolium perenne, Phleum pratense, Senecio vulgaris, Veronica officinalis, Ambrosia trifida, Urtica dioica, Alnus glutinosa, Eucalyptus globulus, Fraxinus excelsior, Quercus robur, Amygdalus communis, Mallus pumilla, Pyrus communis, Prunus cerasus and Prunus persica. SPT were performed according to international guidelines. It was considered positive for a wheal > 3 mm above the negative control.

We included in this study all the patients who were submitted to SPT. We divided the total popula-
tion in two groups of patients, according to age. The paedi-
tric patients (≤15 years old) were divided in three age sub-
groups: group I including children ≤5 years old; group II including children from 6 to 10 years old; and group III including children from 11 to 15 years old.

We analysed the aeroallergens sensitization preva-
lence of the total population and according to the age groups.

RESULTS

During a 5 year period (1995-2000), 1790 consecu-
tive outpatients were observed for suspected allergic symptoms. 557 patients were included in the paediatric group (≤15 years old) (table I). 1233 patients were divided in three age subgroups: group I included 85 children, group II included 165 school-
children and group III included 121 adolescent patients.

We analysed the aeroallergens sensitization prev-

Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M:F</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>0.7:1</td>
<td>30.5 ± 20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤15 years</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>1.3:1</td>
<td>7.6 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15 years</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>0.5:1</td>
<td>40.8 ± 16.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M:F</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1096</td>
<td>0.68:1</td>
<td>26.5 ± 17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤15 years</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>1.2:1</td>
<td>8.6 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.5:1</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1.1:1</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1.1:1</td>
<td>12.9 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15 years</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>0.49:1</td>
<td>35.7 ± 13.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M:F</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.5:1</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1.1:1</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1.1:1</td>
<td>12.9 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1—Aeroallergens sensitisation prevalence in total population (%).
is not represented in the graphics because it is under 2 %, in total population and also in the age subgroups.

**DISCUSSION**

The population studied showed a high aeroallergens sensitisation (83 %) with the paediatric population presenting the highest values (86.5 %) compared to the adult one (81.3 %).

The cutaneous reactivity to the tested aeroallergens revealed a sensitisation prevalence in the general population: grasses mixture (44.9 %), *D. pteronyssinus* (32.5 %), *D. farinae* (29.1 %), *Olea europea* (27.5 %), *Parietaria judaica* (26.2 %), cat dander (16.1 %), *Artemisia vulgaris* (17.6 %), *Robinia pseudoacacia* (12.2 %), *Platanus acerifolia* (11.4 %), *Tilia cordata* (11.4 %) moulds mixture (11.2 %), *Plantago lanceolata* (10.6 %), Dog dander (10.4 %), and *Pinus radiata* (7.5 %).

Grasses sensitisation prevalence was the highest in the general population. The most relevant grasses species were *Dactilys glomerata* (31.8 %), *Festuca pratensis* (31.3 %), *Lolium perrenne* (28.3 %), *Secale cereale* (25.3 %), *Phleum pratense* (18.3 %) and *Poa pratensis* (14.6 %). In several studies performed in other regions in Portugal the grasses sensitisation prevalence was lower 13-16, ranging from 12.6 % to 36 %, except in one study performed in Alentejo 17.
Grasses are important aeroallergens all over Europe\(^3\). And they are the most relevant polinic aeroallergens in Portugal\(^13-21\), and in Southern Europe\(^4\).

Sensitisation to HDM was the second most important in this population. In other studies performed in other regions of Portugal\(^13-17,22,23\), HDM sensitisation prevalence is the highest (from 14.3 % to 97 %\(^13-17,22-28\)) followed by grasses sensitisation.

The \textit{Olea europea} sensitisation prevalence was the third most relevant (27.5 %) in this population. It was higher than in other portuguese studies\(^13,14,16\), but similar to studies performed in Mediterranean areas\(^1,29,30\).

Sensitisation to \textit{Parietaria} was higher (23.4 %) than in other portuguese\(^13-15\) and spanish\(^4,29\) studies, but lower than in italian studies\(^4,30\). \textit{Parietaria} is a widespread plant in the south of Europe, mainly in the coastal areas reaching the highest ratios of sensitisation, and inducing bronchial symptoms usually severe in adolescent and young adult patients. However, there has been an obvious increase in children\(^31\).

Sensitisation to moulds showed lower values compared to pollens, HDM and dander. Moulds sensitisation prevalence was 11.2 %, a similar value to those found by other authors\(^21,22\). However this result is very discrepant from other portuguese studies\(^4,16,33,34\) showing lower values (3 %).

The polinic sensitisation has not been assumed to be relevant in paediatric patients. In this study we...
analysed the aeroallergens sensitisation according to age groups. We observed that sensitisation to grasses was the most relevant in the paediatric group (< 15 years old), followed by HDM, *Olea europea*, *Parietaria judaica*, cat and dog dander and moulds, in decrescent order. The same pattern was observed in the total population.

Comparing the paediatric and the adult groups (figs. 2-5) we observed that grasses sensitisation was greater in paediatric group (55.7 % versus 40.2 % in adult patients). *Olea europea* sensitisation was similar in paediatric and adult groups. *Parietaria judaica* sensitisation was lower in the paediatric patients. HDM, cat and dog dander and moulds sensitisation was similar in both age groups.

Then we analysed the aeroallergens sensitisation in age subgroups. HDM, moulds and cat and dog dander sensitisation was similar in each age subgroup (fig. 6). We found that grasses sensitisation occurred in very young patients (41.1 % in subgroup I), and that grasses were the most relevant aeroallergen in this subgroup, followed by HDM. However grasses sensitisation becomes more important in subgroup II (67.5 %) and in subgroup III (66.5 %). This pattern is observed for each grass sensitisation (fig. 7), and also for *Olea europea* sensitisation (fig. 9) and the other trees. Concerning *Parietaria judaica*, sensitisation the subgroup II showed the lowest value and subgroup III the highest one. We observed that each weed presented a different sensitisation pattern according to age subgroups (fig. 8).

The aeroallergen sensitisation prevalence in paediatric patients revealed predominance of house dust mites (HDM) sensitisation comparing to pollen sensitisation in current and in European studies.

Pollen allergy is increasing throughout Europe in spite of a decrease in the grassland and tree land. There are obvious differences concerning pollen allergy in Europe. In the 5 main geographic areas defined by G D’Amato, birch is the main pollen inducing sensitisation in the Artic and Scandinavia; birch, grasses and pollen from trees of deciduous forests in the Central area; grasses, mugwort and ragweed in the Eastern countries; grasses and pollen trees in the Central Mountainous areas; finally in the Mediterranean area the main pollens inducing allergic diseases are grasses, *Parietaria*, *Olea* and *Cupressus*.

The ECRHS performed in 12 countries on individuals ranging from 20 to 44 years showed specific IgE levels to *Phleum pratense* ranging from 3.8 % in Ireland to 14.6 % in Switzerland. In this country a large study performed in 9651 individuals, from 1991 to 1993, revealed similar values. The skin sensitisation to grasses was similar in adults and in children, 12.7 % and 12.4 % respectively.

In an interesting study of a cohort including 1456 subjects, in the Isle of Wight (UK) from 1989 to 1990 the children were submitted to skin prick tests at the age of 4 years. Sensitisation to grasses was 7.8 % (981 out of 1218 individuals), and it was the second most relevant aeroallergen sensitisation after HDM (11.9 %).

Pollen allergy sensitisation was demonstrated in 1101 random children aged 8 to 11 years from Freiburg school (Germany). 19.7 % were sensitised to pollens. The rates of sensitisation were low in Soosnowiec, Poland, on a random sample of 2000 children, 10.3-10.8 %.

In 1995 the Spanish Allergology study evaluated the allergic sensitisation in 10 regions. Grass pollen was the major allergen inducing allergic complaints with a prevalence ranging within 22 % to 77 %. *Olea europea* allergy was more frequent in the southern areas, related to the olive tree culture, being irrelevant on the North. *Parietaria* sensitisation ranged from 0.9 % to 43.1 %, being more frequent in the coastal Southern areas. Allergy to *Compositae* (*Artemisia vulgaris*) and *Chaenopodium* pollen was reported to the Southern regions and the continental northern area of Aragon.

This study showed very low pollen sensitisation prevalence in small children (6 years old). The pollen sensitisation prevalence in children from 6 to 14 years old was: grasses 29.6 %, *Olea europea* 25.9 % and *Parietaria judaica* 5.9 %. These pollen sensitisation were greater in adults.

These studies represent the highly heterogeneity of sensitisation throughout Europe. The genetic, the climate, the geography, the local flora (changes related to the forest fires, agricultural methods and cultivation, importation of non-natives species), the pollution and the demography are probably responsible for these different patterns. It is very important to design studies that could be compared and could be representative of the different countries or regions.

We observed that pollen sensitisation has a great impact in Cova da Beira allergic population: Grasses sensitisation is the most prevalent in all age groups and sensitisation to other pollens shows high prevalence. In other studies performed in Portugal, as referred, HDM is the most relevant aeroallergen sensitisation followed by pollen sensitisation with lower values, compared to our study.

In the first pollen counts carried out throughout the whole country (March 1999-March 2000), this area had the highest values in Portugal. The weather, the local flora and the geographic characteristics could be some of the contributing factors to the long pollinic peaks observed in this region. This could ex-
plain the early pollen sensitisation observed in this paediatric population. Other environment factors, such as pollution, should be studied to characterize the aeroallergen sensitisation observed in this population. Indeed, the influence of pollution in pollen allergenicity is well described in urban areas. This is a homogeneous population, living in a well defined region of Portugal, without external influences such as migration.

In summary, the sensitisation to indoor aeroallergens, was similar in all age groups and it was less important than that of pollens. The prevalence of sensitisation to grasses was the greatest in all ages and the pollen sensitisation observed in this population, including in the paediatric groups.
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