NEW CHALLENGES FOR HIV INFECTION

Immunotherapy and therapeutic vaccines in HIV infection
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Resistance to medication, adverse effects in the medium-long term, and cost are important limitations to lifelong adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). The combination of HAART with immune therapy to restore and/or boost immune-specific responses to HIV has been proposed, with the ultimate aim of controlling viral replication in the absence of HAART over long periods. The functional defects of the cellular and humoral responses would explain the lack of control of the immune system over viral replication. Different types of immune-mediated therapy have been investigated to solve these problems, including passive immune therapy, cytokines, structured treatment interruptions, immunosuppressors and therapeutic vaccines. Our still limited knowledge of immune mechanisms which can control HIV viral replication and of the causes of the deterioration of cellular and humoral immunity have produced only modest benefits in immune-mediated therapy, and are therefore confined to research for the time being. The availability of an optimal therapeutic vaccine would be an important scientific advance which could be compared with the arrival of protease inhibitors in clinical practice. Therefore, priority should be given to research in this field.
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Immunoterapia y vacunas terapéuticas en la infección por VIH

El desarrollo de resistencia a la medicación, la aparición de efectos adversos a mediano y largo plazo y el elevado coste económico constituyen importantes limitaciones para el cumplimiento de por vida de la terapia antirretroviral (TARGA). Se ha propuesto la combinación de TARGA con inmunoterapias para restaurar y/o potenciar las respuestas inmuño-específicas frente al VIH, con el último propósito de controlar la replicación vírica en ausencia de TARGA durante períodos prolongados de tiempo. Los defectos funcionales de las respuestas celulares y humorales, así como, la interrelación entre ellas explicarían la falta de control por parte del sistema inmunológico de la replicación viral. Se han investigado distintos tipos de terapias inmunomediadas para solucionar los problemas antes mencionados, entre ellas la inmunoterapia pasiva, la utilización de citocinas, las interrupciones estructuradas de tratamiento, la utilización de inmunosupresores y las vacunas terapéuticas. Los todavía limitados conocimientos que disponemos acerca de los mecanismos inmunológicos capaces de controlar la replicación viral del VIH y de las causas del deterioro de la inmunidad celular y humoral, han producido modestos beneficios de las terapias inmunomediadas obtenidos hasta la actualidad, y en una escasa o ninguna aplicabilidad en la clínica diaria, quedando, hoy por hoy, confinados al campo de la investigación. La disponibilidad de una óptima vacuna terapéutica sería un gran avance científico, comparable a la llegada de los inhibidores de la proteasa a la clínica, por lo que actualmente debe ser una línea prioritaria de investigación.
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Introduction

The advent of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has significantly reduced the morbidity and mortality of HIV-1 infection, even in those patients affected by AIDS-defining conditions\(^{1,2}\). This benefit is obtained due to an increase in the absolute number of circulating naive CD4+ T lymphocytes, a concomitant reduction in the number of T lymphocytes with activation markers, and restoration of the response to memory antigens\(^3\). Nevertheless, despite the clinical efficacy of HAART\(^4,5\), this treatment by itself is unable to eradicate the infection, even if it were administered for more than 60 years\(^6,7\). This limitation is mainly because therapy cannot eliminate latent HIV-1 in the form of integrated proviral DNA, in addition to the existence of low levels of viral replication, which makes possible even cell-to-cell infection\(^8\). Furthermore, HAART is incapable of restoring the immune-specific response to HIV\(^9,10\), and, in fact, leads to a fall in the specific CTL response due to the lack of antigenic exposure\(^11\). Recent reports have shown that the helper proliferative response to HIV p24 Ag presented by some HAART patients does not reflect an improvement in the immune phenotype or function of CD4 or CD8 cells, but is secondary to the small increases in viremia typically observed in patients taking HAART\(^12\). This would explain the rapid “rebound” of viral load after suspending HAART, in a question of days or weeks, even after several years of effective therapy\(^13,14\).
This rebound occurs even if HAART is initiated in very early-stage HIV-infected patients, in whom the immune system is theoretically still well preserved (circulating CD4+ T lymphocytes > 500 cells/mL; viral load in plasma (PVL): 5,000-10,000 copies/ml). Similarly, these viral dynamics occur even when immune restoration is practically complete in terms of the homeostasis of T lymphocytes and their subpopulations, and in terms of the capacity for response to polyclonal stimuli and memory antigens with HAART.18-20

These findings reinforce the need for suitable long-term treatment. Resistance, adverse effects in the medium-long term, and cost are important limitations for lifelong adherence to this therapy.21-23 These concerns mean that new therapeutic strategies must be evaluated. The two possibilities being investigated at present are simplification of therapy24 and the combination of HAART with immune therapy to restore and/or boost such immune responses with the primary objective of controlling viral replication in the absence of HAART.25 The idea is that HAART-free periods could be longer if we used pre-HAART withdrawal strategies aimed at stimulating the immune system to partially control viral replication after withdrawal.26

**Pathogenic basis for the design of immune-mediated strategies**

The main question to be answered is whether the immune system can contain viral replication without HAART, even if only for limited periods. This hypothesis arises from the following facts:

1. Although in most infected patients replication leads to the progressive destruction of the immune system and evolves inevitably towards AIDS, a small number of immunologically “privileged” individuals, or “Long Term Non-Progressors” (LTNP), have a potent and sustained response of anti-HIV-1 CTLs, Th cells, and neutralizing HIV-1 antibodies. This is associated with a control of viral replication and the presence of very low or undetectable viral concentrations in plasma in the absence of HAART.27

2. The anti-HIV-1 cytotoxic response (CTL) is detected in all cases studied during the acute phase of the infection, and it is believed to reduce the peak of PVL which characterizes it to the stabilization level, or “setpoint”, of PVL, which is established at the end of the acute phase. Direct data on the critical role of the CTL response in the control of viral replication have been obtained both in the infection model with macaques devoid of CD8+ T lymphocytes28,29, and in the immunodeficient murine model30.

3. There is clear evidence that a specific helper T response against HIV is crucial in obtaining an optimal specific CTL response which can control viral replication both in human31-33 and in animal models.34 This concept is consistent with other recently reported data on chronic viral infections in murine models.35

4. Studies in primate and murine models show that high levels of neutralizing antibodies can block infection regardless of the route of exposure to the virus.36

Despite the importance of the immune response in infection, it cannot contain viral replication. Alterations of the immune system may allow us to explain this inability or dysfunction. Even though CD4+ and CD8+ cells capable of secreting interferon gamma (IFN-gamma) can be found, in most HIV-infected patients, the proliferative CD4 responses are normally absent18-20, and the CD8+ cells are defective with regard to their cytolytic activity21-23. One explanation of these functional defects of CD4 and CD8 responses would be that the antigen-presenting functions of the dendritic cells could be deteriorated in these patients, and this could contribute to the functional defects observed in the Th1 and CTL cellular responses18-20. The absence of a correct proliferation and expansion of the CD4+ responses may in turn influence the lack of cytolytic activity of the CD8+ cells.37-39. In animal models, there is a clear deficit in the secretion of cytokines by CD4+ cells which starts when PVL peaks in primary infection.37 Last, the selective infection of HIV-specific CD4+ cells in infected patients would explain why these responses are quickly lost in HIV infection40.

Apparently, therefore, cellular and immune responses, and the relationship between them, are vital for a correct functioning of the immune system. The defects would be more from alterations of these responses than from viral escape. Different types of immune-mediated therapies have been examined to solve these problems, including passive immune therapy, cytokines, structured treatment interruptions, immunosuppressors and therapeutic vaccines (table 1). This review will try to summarize the different approaches.

### TABLE 1. Immune-mediated therapies used in HIV-1 infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passive immune therapy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With lymphocyte induction</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma infusion</td>
<td>36, 37, 39, 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infusion of monoclonal antibodies</td>
<td>43, 45, 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cytokines</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 2</td>
<td>51, 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low dose interleukin 2</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-alfa</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 10</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 12</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 15</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 16</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 7</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structured treatment interruptions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary infection</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic infection</td>
<td>79, 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immunosuppressors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyurea</td>
<td>78, 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticoids</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclosporine A</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myophenolic acid</td>
<td>101,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalidomide</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Therapeutic vaccines</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete inactivated virus</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canavysos</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA vaccines</td>
<td>123, 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant adenovirus vaccines</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dendritic cell vaccines</td>
<td>113, 116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Passive immune therapy

Two types of passive immune therapy have been investigated in HIV-infected patients. The first type is based on infusion, of both CD4+ and CD8+ cells, and the second on plasma or neutralizing antibody infusion.

Passive immune therapy by cell infusion

Several studies on the infusion of specific CTL cells have been performed, although the results to date are not very promising[40-42]. Brodie et al[40-42] investigated the functional activity of HIV-specific autologous CTLs, before and after in vitro expansion and infection to the HIV patients. The transferred cells retained their lytic activity in vivo, accumulate in territories close to where HIV-infected cells are found in the lymph nodes and reduce transiently the circulating levels of HIV-infected CD4+ cells. Apart from expanding and infusing CTLs, other groups have tried to in vivo expand CD4+ cells in vitro by a strategy which allows only virus-free cells to be conserved[43]. After infusion, a moderate improvement is observed in the CD4+ lymphocyte figure with a reduction in the CCR5 co-receptor, which implies a relative reduction in the infective capacity of these cells. In summary, passive therapies based on the transfer of cells are still very experimental, and have provided us with a better knowledge of the immune pathogenesis of the disease, although with no immediate clinical application.

Passive immune therapy by infusion of plasma or neutralizing antibodies

Plasma passive immune therapy (PIT) and monoclonal neutralizing antibodies appeared many years ago (although they are no longer used) for use in daily clinical practice. PIT as therapy for aids patients was investigated during the first half of the 1980s. It was proposed and initiated by Abraham Karpas, a virologist from the University of Cambridge (UK), who published his first results in 1988. This technique involved the intravenous infusion in advanced aids patients of plasma from asymptomatic HIV+ patients. The first study used a monthly infusion of 500 ml of plasma for 3 months in 10 advanced patients (7 with AIDS and 3 with ARC “AIDS-related complex”, according to the classification criteria from this period). The plasma was previously inactivated with propiolactone to eliminate the infectivity of the donor virus. The results showed that PIT produced negative p24 antigenemia values and increased neutralizing activity with no adverse effects and that there was no treatment for the infection at this time, these studies aroused great interest in PIT as a possible therapy, with the result that PIT study groups soon began to be formed. Double-blind and placebo-controlled randomized clinical trials were started with a large number of cases led by two independent groups, one in California[44] and the other in Paris[45]. The California trial[44] studied 220 aids patients with CD4+ T cells counts of between 50 and 200 cells/μL, which were randomized into three groups to receive over 12 months a monthly infusion of (i) 500 ml of hyperimmune plasma; (ii) 500 ml of plasma diluted to 500 ml with 5% human serum albumin, and (iii) 500 ml of 5% serum albumin, each group to receive over 12 months a fortnightly infusion of (i) 300 ml of hyperimmune plasma, and (ii) 300 ml of plasma from healthy donors (non-immune) as placebo. The group treated showed a smaller incidence of AIDS-defining events (p = 0.009), a smaller accumulation of these events (3 times smaller), and a lower mortality rate (p = 0.009). The conclusion of both studies was clear, in the sense that the infusion of plasma from non-advanced patients to advanced patients had no adverse effects and was clinically useful in “curbing” progression of the disease. Later, systematic and controlled PIT clinical trials were practically abandoned, given the efficacy of the antiretroviral agents which appeared.

There has been renewed interest in passive immune therapy, but with specific monoclonal antibodies, based on studies of the macaque model, which show that passive transfer of antibodies prevents infection by oral, vaginal or intravenous inoculation of the virus[46]. This current interest in antibodies as a potential therapy or prophylaxis is beginning to be seen in human clinical care. Phase I trials have been initiated in infected patients to evaluate the pharmacokinetics and safety of human monoclonal antibodies (known as 2F5 and 2G12), which were taken some years back from two non-progressors. Unlike other human monoclonal antibodies, these can inhibit in vitro infection by R5 strains and X4 strains[47]. A recent study has shown that the administration of a neutralizing antibody called TNX-355 produces some antiviral efficacy (a fall of 0.5–1 log) and an increase in the number of CD4+ lymphocytes. This effect persisted in patients for up to four weeks after infusion of the antibody[48]. If the efficacy of these monoclonal antibodies is confirmed in humans, in vitro and experimental animal models, they may soon be clinically useful, although for now they are only a promising possibility.

Cytokines

Several studies and clinical trials have used cytokines (Table 1), all with the aim of restoring the cytokine imbalance caused by HIV infection, plasma[49] or the dose of infection using neutralizing antibodies, especially that caused by specific CTL cells. The best options for human medicine are IL-2, IL-12, IL-15, growth hormone and GM-CSF.
IL-2 infusion with different strategies, doses and routes leads to a clear increase in the CD4+ lymphocyte count\(^{56-58}\). The most widely recommended dose at present is 4.5 M IU/q 12 h x 5 days. In general, an induction phase is with 6 X 5-day cycles every 8 weeks, followed by a maintenance phase with a number of variable cycles if there is a new fall in the CD4+ count. Toxicity is dose-dependent with a frequency of grade 3-4 adverse effects in < 10% of cases. The most common local adverse effects are nodules and blisters at the injection site. The most common systemic adverse events are pseudoephedrine syndrome (90% of patients), alopecia, electrolytic alterations, and cardiovascular disorders (arrhythmias, congestive cardiac insufficiency, ischemic cardiopathy, hypotension). It is the most widely studied and clinically advanced drug used in immune therapy. Nevertheless, after years of research, it remains unclear whether the increase in CD4+ T cells affects clinical progression positively, although there are studies in progress which try to answer these questions.

Apart from increasing total CD4+ lymphocytes, IL-2 has been used with at least three other objectives:

1. The first is as a cytosine which tries to restore the T cell repertoire by increasing the total CD4+ lymphocyte count. Progression of HIV infection is known to be associated with a more rapid loss of naive cells than of memory cells. Immune control of viral infections depends on the immunocompetent cells having a wide repertoire, and HIV infection leads to the loss of important parts of this repertoire. Administration of IL-2 is associated with polyclonal increases both of naive cells and of memory cells in HIV-infected patients, but analysis of repertoire has shown that defects are not corrected by the administration of IL-2\(^6\).

2. Therefore, the combination of IL-2 and other immune-mediated therapies has been proposed to restore the dysfunction of the helper response (perhaps due to a lack of sufficient endogenous IL-2) in HIV-infected patients. Nevertheless, several pilot clinical trials have failed to show the usefulness of IL-2, at least when it is combined with structured treatment interruptions\(^6\), or a canarypox vaccine (ALVAC-HIV-HPV 1433)\(^6\).

3. Some years ago, an attempt was made to eliminate the virus from the reservoirs by stimulating the IL-2 of quiescent HIV-infected cells which, when stimulated, produce viruses, which would be inactivated by HAART. In one clinical trial, patients who received HAART and IL-2 showed a lower quantity of detectable infectious viruses than the control group, which only received HAART\(^7\). Nevertheless, on withdrawing therapy in both groups, the viral rebound displayed similar dynamics, which would suggest that IL-2 had little effect on the viral reservoir\(^8\).

Other cytokines have been proposed in human medicine (Table 1). The most important are IL-12 and IL-15, which lead to an increase in the specific CTL response in vitro\(^9\). Both are produced mainly in activated antigen-presenting cells and are thought to promote the development of TH-1 type cellular responses. This type of response is essential for stimulating CTL responses. Other effects of these cytokines are the increase in lytic activity by the natural killer cells and the increase in the HIV-specific proliferative capacity\(^10\). Petrovas et al\(^11\) recently reported that IL-15, administered twice a week for four weeks to SIV-infected cynomolgus macaques, increased the proliferation and expansion of CD8+ cells without affecting viral replication.

The growth hormone (GH) has been suggested in clinical practice for HIV-infected patients to promote the T cell response and generate a lymphopoietic effect and induce effects in peripheral blood mononuclear cells\(^12\), and in the differentiation of T cells from functional memory effector cells\(^13\). These results suggest that GH produces an increase in thymopoiesis and therefore that it has important effects on the human immune system, including regression of thymic atrophy in HIV-1-infected adults.

Finally, GM-CSF has been combined with treatment interruptions, and a slight improvement in virological control and a smaller fall in CD4+ lymphocytes after definitive interruption of treatment have been observed. Nevertheless, the results are currently unacceptable in terms of toxicity, given that more than 80% of patients had local and general reactions\(^14\).

### Structured treatment interruption (STI)

Since the description of the anecdotal cases presented by Franco Lori and Douglas Nixon\(^15\), the concept of antiretroviral therapy interruption as a therapeutic strategy has been investigated with interest by several groups. Initially, this strategy was considered as “autovaccination” with an attenuated autologous virus, in which the attenuation came from the gradual reintroduction of antiretroviral therapy. With time, other objectives of STI, which were not important initially (e.g. savings in medication, reduction of secondary effects, etc.), have come to the fore and are currently among the most widely investigated strategies\(^16\).

Nevertheless, it must be stressed that one of the most important concerns in the application of STI in HIV+ patients receiving treatment is the risk of selecting resistance\(^17\). This review will examine neither the latter type of interruptions nor the so-called “therapy vacations” used in patients experiencing therapeutic failure\(^18\), rather we shall concentrate on STI as an immune-mediated strategy.

Several studies show that STI in patients who started antiretroviral therapy during the acute phase of HIV infection allow viral replication to be controlled transiently, and stress the intrinsic potential of the immune system to adequately control the disease\(^19\). However, these data have not been confirmed by other groups\(^20\), and it has been observed that the virological response started during acute infection is lost over time, therefore more research is necessary into whether starting therapy (with or without ART) during the acute phase is beneficial for long-term patients\(^21\).
Re-exposure to viral antigens boosts and stimulates virus-specific immune responses, although only 20% of chronic patients who use this strategy manage to effectively control viral replication in the short-medium term\(^{77}\). It is important to understand why there is a lack of control of viral replication despite the induction of CTL and helper responses in chronic HIV-infected patients. These conclusions serve to design other immune-mediated strategies which allow more effective control of viral replication for a longer period.

1. First, during the interruption, we can observe very high peaks of viral load in some patients and, given that the CD4\(^+\) cells with an HIV-specific response are more affected after viral rebound\(^{78}\), a clonal deletion of these cells could occur, which may explain the lack of response\(^{79,80}\). Planas et al recently studied a group of 40 patients with intermittent therapy. In these patients, the helper response was shown to be induced weakly during the interruption cycles, and it is lost during definitive interruption of therapy\(^{81}\). This would explain the inability of the CTL response to control viral replication\(^{82,83}\). Contrary to the helper response, the CTL response is induced considerably both in magnitude and amplitude after definitive interruption of antiretroviral therapy\(^{84,85}\), but it is incapable of controlling viral replication. Some authors report that CTLs induced after discontinuation of therapy would not be functional (they would be in a pre-terminal stage and would produce fewer perforins), and they attribute the inability of these strategies to stimulate an efficacious CTL response to the loss of a specific T helper response\(^{86}\). Strategies aimed at avoiding clonal deletion of T cells with the capacity of an HIV-specific response caused by STI, could be predicted to improve the control of viral replication by inducing a functional CTL-specific response.

2. Second, many authors have contributed data from sequencing and cloning of the env gene. They suggest that, in a viral rebound, the virus which appears may be very different from that observed in other rebounds or that which appears at baseline\(^{87,88}\), and that the specific immurity recovered is no more than an increase in the existing immunity\(^{89}\); probably due to the fact that they are repeatedly exposed to the same antigen\(^{90}\). This mobilization of viruses from different reservoirs, and the inability to create a new specific response may be what prevents efficacious control of viral replication. Therefore, we should use immunogens capable of inducing new efficacious HIV-specific responses, both functionally, since this would prevent the clonal disappearance of helper T cells and improve the CTL response, and in amplitude, so that they could control replication of ancore viral viruses present in memory cells, which would finish by replicating once HAART was interrupted.

**Immunosuppressors**

Parallel to the fall in the CD4 count, HIV infection is characterized by an intense and sustained state of immune activation manifested by a high number of T and B lymphocytes, natural killer cells, and a marked release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-7 and TNF-\(\alpha\)\(^{91}\). A constant element of this process is the high count of activated T-CD8 clones which express surface receptors, DBE+CD38+, a phenomenon which is today considered as a true marker of disease progression\(^{92,93}\). This sustained activation process can lead to an exhaustion of the immune system, similar to an increase in cellular infectivity, thus allowing dissemination. This phenomenon of immune activation lays the foundations for the use of immunosuppressors such as corticoids, hydroxyurea (HU), mycophenolate mophetil (MPM), thalidomide and cyclosporine A, as adjuvants to antiretrovirals.

The results obtained on the control of viremia in macaques, treated since acute infection by SIV, using HU as an adjuvant and treatment interruption cycles, lead us to consider this drug as a clinically useful immunosuppressor in the future\(^{94}\). We can, therefore, formulate our hypothesis that HU inhibits activation of T lymphocytes during interruption cycles, thus preventing infection in the target cells and the production of high peaks of viral replication without destroying the specific immune response. Although it is well known and clinically proven that HU inhibits the ribonucleotide-reductase enzyme\(^{95,96}\), it also induces a cytostatic effect by halting the cellular cycle at the beginning of the S phase, and a reduction in cellular activity. It was this property that led Garcia et al\(^{97}\) to evaluate the usefulness of the drug in patients who were programmed to undergo intermittent interruptions of HAART. Five interruption cycles of 2 weeks duration were scheduled, but continuing with HU only for the last two cycles of interruption. This schedule made it possible to evaluate the effect of HU on viral dynamics between interruption cycles with and without the drug. Thus, if HU manages to reduce the initial phase of viral rebound, which starts from the reservoirs, resting lymphocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells, where it has been shown that HU is excellent as monotherapy\(^{98}\), the effect of HU was observed even when this ceased to be administered. Secondly, HU can slow down later phases of viral replication from activated T lymphocytes, mainly due to its cytostatic effects. In this case, the control of viral replication is only obtained by maintaining HU when HAART is withdrawn. There were no differences in viral rebound after three interruption cycles, although when HU was maintained after interruption, the viral load was on average 1 log lower than that obtained during previous interruption cycles and lower than in the control group, treated with HAART only. This phenomenon shows the cytostatic activity of HU and its potential use against the intracellular viral reservoir. From a clinical viewpoint, the use of HU significantly increased the number of patients who achieved sustained viral replication\(^{99}\) patients with viral load < 5,000 copies RNA/mL for 48 weeks after 5 HAART interruption cycles, regardless of baseline viral load (4.6 log RNA HIV).

Other groups have studied the capacity of other immunosuppressors such as mycophenolic acid as an adjuvant to HAART. Chapuis et al\(^{100}\) studied in vitro and in vivo the mechanisms by which mycophenolic acid (MPA) and its sterile derivative mycophenolate mophetil (MPM) suppressed infection by HIV. MPA selectively inhibits the synthesis of guanosine nucleotides by competitively inhibiting the dehydrogenase inosin-monophosphate enzyme. Given that there are some enzymatic pathways for the synthesis of guanosine nucleotides in lympho-
cytes, MPA produces a profound cytostatic effect by deple-
tion of this substrate. Furthermore, in vitro results show
that MPA inhibits the proliferation of activated T cells,
especially in those with low or intermediate expression of
the CD4 receptor, by leading them to apoptosis even in
the presence of IL-2. These data were confirmed in a clini-
cal trial involving patients treated with abacavir and am-
prenavir, who were randomized to receive or not receive
MMF. In the MMF group, a reduction in the actively di-
viding CD4 and CD8 "pool" (Ki67+) was observed. Fur-
thermore, the authors suggest that MPA can have an ef-
ficacy on the pool of latently infected CD4 cells, as they
observed that, in patients treated with MPA, the ability
to isolate viruses from the total population of T-CD4 was
reduced. Even though MPA does not affect resting cells
and therefore does not affect their number, once they have
been activated in the presence of MPA, apoptosis and cell
death are induced. Other authors have investigated the
role of MPA on viral load in plasma and in lymph tissue
during and after intermittent interruptions of HAART\(^{26}\). Patients
treated for at least one year with an abacavir-con-
taining regimen were randomly assigned to receive or not
receive MMF with HAART for four months before the in-
terruption cycles. In those treated with MMF, the "pool"
of dividing CD4 cells was reduced. The same occurred
with the set-point of viral load after interrupting HAART.
In this trial, lymphoproliferation was used to evaluate the
capacity of serum in patients to reduce the response of a T
cell line in vitro, using sequential samples at different time
points after the dose of MMF. The changes obtained in the
dynamics of viral load, especially those observed in the
set-point of PVL after HAART interruption, were only ob-
served in those patients who reduced lymphocyte prolifer-
ation to below 40% in the T cell line (CEM) for more than
four hours after administration of MMF. In another con-
text, MMF was used as part of a rescue schedule when
added as an isolated drug to a HAART rescue regimen con-
taining abacavir in patients with multiple resistance to
antiretrovirals\(^{26}\). A significant reduction in viral load
(> 0.5 log) was observed in those patients who increased
their quenel: Carbavir (the antiviral active metabolite of
abacavir) and deoxyguanosine triphosphate, owing to inhi-
bition of the dehydrogenase iomosine monophosphate en-
zyme and subsequent depletion of guanosine nucleotides.

New therapeutic alternatives were proposed after the
publication of the results of a pilot study in patients with
acute HIV infection treated with short-term cyclosporine
A (CyA) and HAART\(^{25}\). This trial involved reducing the
high level of cellular activation which thus generated mas-
sive viral replication. This high level viral replication led
to clonal exhaustion of HIV-specific CD4 lymphocytes.
Despite the fact that CyA interferes with the synthesis of
the viral gag proteins, its main effect is by inhibition of prolif-
eration and differentiation of T cells. The CD4 count of pa-
ients treated with CyA was restored both in percentage
terms and in absolute numbers, by maintaining the num-
ber of secreting CD4- HIV-7F5. These data suggest that
the use of CyA or any other drug with immunosuppressor
properties can prevent primary infection could reduce the
number of active CD4 cells which sustain massive viral repli-
cation, and prevent the hijacking of these clones in lymph
tissue. Kidney antigens are presented and HIV infection is
perpetuated. This process may have an impact on the
clone of the resting T cells which shelter viruses with
replicative capacity. Nevertheless, we do not know
whether establishing a new immunological set-point
slow down the rate of progression offers a clinical benefit
in long-term infection.

As several studies have shown, there are many doubts
about the use of immunosuppressors as immune-mediated
therapy in HIV infection. Knowing which patients are most
suited, which drug to use and how to use it and the ideal
time to start therapy are questions which must be
answered by clinical trials with a large number of patients
and long-term follow-up. The use of drugs to maintain
long-term safety, such as the influence on the development
of opportunistic infections or lymphoproliferative diseases,
which limit extensive use of the same type of drugs in the long
term. Nevertheless, pharmacological strategies which in-
terfere with the HIV life cycle by acting at the level of viral
target cells, rather than by inhibiting viral enzymes, are
still attractive. This has the advantage of avoiding the de-
velopment of genomic mutations against antiviral drugs.
The use of immunosuppressors drugs as an adjuvant to
HAART must be evaluated with caution until we have
more information on long-term efficacy and safety.

**Therapeutic vaccines**

Immune recovery of the HIV response has also been tried
using therapeutic vaccination. In general, the capacity of
the vaccines used to increase the CTL-specific response has
been very limited and study results have been discourag-
ing, as immunogenicity has not been demonstrated and there
has been no clear impact on viral load\(^{101-103}\). The
Remune vaccine has received most attention. This is
a vaccine of an inactivated complete vaccine in which
the envelope protein has been removed during the process
of inactivation which is carried out for synthesis. This vac-
cine stems from a virus originally obtained in Zaire and
contains a type-A envelope and type-G gag. It has been
administered to more than 3,000 people with an antiviral-
controlled virus. The results showed that it was capable of
inducing gag-specific helper responses which are some-
times very potenti. Nevertheless, these studies did not ob-
serve a capacity for immunological control of viral replica-
tion\(^{104}\). The study which best demonstrates the capacity
of a therapeutic vaccine to efficaciously increase specific
immunity for the control of viral replicative cell (DC) vaccine in the SIV animal infection model (SIV-
mac251). In this study, four immunizations with cells
pulsed by the same virus were made every two weeks for
a period of eight weeks. In most of the inoculated animals
(7/10), there was a significant reduction in viral load in
plasma after the third immunization. This was sustained
for the 34 weeks of the study. There was a 50 and 1,000-
fold reduction in the SIV DNA and SIV RNA loads in pe-
ripheral blood, respectively. The analysis of lymphoid tissues
revealed a correlation between the reduction in SIV DNA and
RNA levels and the increase in the SIV-specific T cell
response. The fall in viral load was also correlated with an
increase in circulating antibody levels\(^{105-109}\). Very similar results were obtained by
two independent groups in a murine model with previc-
preventive vaccination\(^{110-111}\). Despite these incredible results,
clinical trial involving 12 patients with chronic infection receiving antiretroviral therapy from early stages of the infection, using a dendritic cell vaccine pulsed with heat-inactivated autologous viruses has offered much more moderate results. In this study, a first treatment interruption 18 months before receiving the first dose of vaccine, three plasmaphereses were performed in which 1800 cc of plasma was extracted. The median viral load of patients during plasmapheresis was 27,000 copies/ml. The virus was then inactivated by heat and concentrated using ultracentrifugation in 1 cc, all under conditions of good clinical practice. A total of five subcutaneous doses was administered every six weeks. The first dose was a control with non-pulsed DC. Every pulsed vaccine contained 5 × 10^6 DC pulsed with five virions per cell during the first immunization and three virions/DC in the remaining vaccinations. In general, the results showed that a vaccine did not cause important adverse events, as in only 3 of the 60 doses administered (5%) there was an adverse reaction (only 1 mild local reaction and 2 episodes of flu symptoms 24 hours after the dose). This vaccine was able to control viral replication partially and transiently, and was associated with a transitory, but not significant increase in the lymphoproliferative response to HIV P24 Ag, and with the changes in the CTL-specific response for peripheral HIV and in the CTL cells of lymph tissue. In lymph tissue, there was also a trend towards greater control of viral replication associated with an increase in CD4 and CTL cells in this tissue. Furthermore, there was no significant increase in the neutralizing activity of the serum of these patients. Despite these moderate results, we must remember that the dose of antigen used in the human trial was 1,000 times lower than that used in macaques. Therefore, new trials with a greater dose of antigen are necessary. If these results are confirmed, they would suggest that the defect in immunological control of HIV could be due to alterations in the induction phase of the immune response, which is consistent with recent studies on the induction of the immune response in the absence of a helper response, and with data which suggest that antigen-presentation functions are altered in HIV-infected patients. This could contribute to functional defects in HIV-specific CTL and helper responses.

Other vaccine trials have examined ALVAC, whose vector is a recombinant canarypox. Kinloch et al. recently presented long-awaited results from the QUEST study. This international study was carried out on patients who started treatment during the acute phase. After a mean of two years of virological control, 79 were randomized to receive immunization with ALVAC VcP1452, ALVAC plus Env, or placebo. After 24 weeks' immunization, HAART was interrupted. There was no difference between the groups in terms of viral re-bound dynamics or in viral load figures. Another therapeutic vaccination study has recently been presented by Cooper et al using patients with primary infection. After a mean of four years of HAART, 35 patients with controlled viral replication were randomized to be vaccinated with a footpox virus-free of HIV sequences, a vector containing gag/pol sequences, or a vector containing gag/pol sequences and a gene which encodes human interferon gamma. Surprisingly, there were few differences between the groups in terms of percentage of CD4 cells measured by ELISPOT or in cytolytic responses after vaccination and before interruption of treatment. Treatment was not interrupted in 10 patients. There were no differences in the control of viral replication between the placebo group and the group vaccinated with gag/pol. However, patients immunized with gag/pol and interferon gamma had better control of viral replication, with a mean viral load of 0.8 log, less than the other two groups. The absence of immune responses in the two vaccinated groups is disappointing and the response in the interferon group is surprising.

Conclusions

We have a limited knowledge of the immunological control of HIV viral replication, the causes of cellular and humoral immune deterioration, and a lack of clear immunological methods to correlate with an efficacious immune control of HIV in vivo. The efficacy of immune therapy and therapeutic vaccines has been modest in the best of cases. We must redouble our efforts to understand better the mechanisms of protection, virological control and immune deterioration. Without this knowledge, an efficacious therapeutic vaccine is a long way off. Nevertheless, given the toxicity and long-term efficacy problems with current drugs, this remains a priority line of investigation.
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