ABSTRACT

Background: Allergic disease has currently reached epidemic proportions, with a high percentage of individuals in the developed world exhibiting an allergic response after exposure to some common environmental factors. Although new strategies for the treatment and management of allergic diseases have decreased the mortality rate, a high percentage of affected persons still require frequent hospitalization and experience decreased quality of life.

Methods: An internet-based literature search was performed for recent contributions on the underlying mechanisms provoking an allergic response and their potential for therapeutic approaches.

Results: Novel concepts on allergic disease have emerged: allergic response can result from an imbalance between allergen activation of regulatory T cells and effector T helper 2 cells (Th2), a process in which dendritic cells are key players. Cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-6, IL-21, IL-25, and human thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) seem to be important contributors in allergic processes. New data on IgE effector responses and on the IgE-independent mechanisms involved in allergic reactions have resolved some unanswered questions on allergic diseases.

Conclusions: These new findings on allergic diseases have important implications for diagnosis and management, with potential improvements in prevention and treatment, which could provide a cure in the future.
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INTRODUCTION

Allergic diseases are inflammatory disorders in which susceptible individuals have an aberrant immune response to innocuous environmental antigens. In the 1980s, two main subsets of T helper (Th) cells were defined, with different patterns of cytokine secretion, which lead to different functions. Type1 helper cells (Th1), producing interleukin (IL)-2, gamma-interferon (IFN-γ) and tumour necrosis factor-beta (TNF-β) are inducers of delayed-type hypersensitivity reactions. Type2 helper cells (Th2) producing IL-4, IL-5, IL-6 and IL-10 are helpers for B-cell antibody secretion, particularly IgE. During the last 15 years, it has largely been shown that allergic inflammation was caused by activated Th2 lymphocytes, leading to IgE production and eosinophil activation. Throughout this period, the role of IgE and Th2 cytokines in driving the clinical manifestations of early and late allergic reactions has been extensively studied, but data provided are insufficient to explain the whole immunopathology of allergic diseases. In addition to these effector functions, an immuno-modulatory role has been attributed to IgE molecule and to some of the inflammatory mediators released during the acute phase, which may influence the evolution of the immune process that occurs in allergy.

In most allergic individuals, reactions are mediated by IgE isotype, and these patients are said to suffer from IgE-mediated allergy. However, in some patients, allergy may be mediated by mechanisms as-
cells have on their generation tolerance to allergens
self-antigens allows the immune system to be tolerant to the most
the thymus, during T and B–cell development and al-
Deletion of autoreactive cells occurs preferentially in
avoid or minimize inappropriate reactivity or exces-
sivity reaction that results in clinical manifestation of
different allergic syndromes. This results in a mixed
form of IgE/non-IgE mediated allergy.

One of the unanswered questions in allergy is what
causes and what prevents the disease. Epidemiological studies have shown that environmental
changes produced in industrialized countries are
major contributors in the expansion of allergy. The
"hygiene hypothesis" proposed that the sanitized
style of life in developed countries results in a re-
duced microbial exposition during childhood which
determines a defective induction of Th1 cells that
account for the increasing incidence of allergic dis-
 ease. Most recent evidence suggests that other al-
terations in the immune response might contribute
to the emergence of allergic disease. Presently, it
has been proposed that allergy is the result of an im-
proper balance between tolerance and immunity to
harmless antigens. The recent identification of T
regulatory (Treg) cells as key regulators in peripheral
tolerance to allergens and the role that dendritic
cells have on their generation, open up new per-
spectives for the understanding of allergy pathway
and to the development of more efficient therapies.

This article is a review of scientific and clinic con-
tributions published in recent years about these new
aspects of allergic inflammation.

T REGULATORY CELLS

Adaptive immune response is a critical process to
protect the host against the attack of pathogens, but
this response is sometimes elicited against self-an-
tgens or innocuous substances. Various mechanisms
that control and regulate the immune system to
avoid or minimize inappropriate reactivity or exces-
sive response to pathogens have been reported.
Deletion of autoreactive cells occurs preferentially in
the thymus, during T and B–cell development and al-
lows the immune system to be tolerant to the most
self-antigens. Peripheral tolerance also include the
induction of cell death (apoptosis) or the develop-
ment of a state of nonresponsiveness (anergy) of
specific T lymphocytes to harmless antigens.

However, these mechanisms are not complete, and
potentially hazardous self-reactive lymphocytes are
present in normal individuals. More recently it has
become clear that Treg cells downregulate the acti-
vation and expansion of auto-reactive cells. Al-
though T-cell mediated suppression was initially as-
associated to autoimmunity control, it has lately been
found to play a key role in the control of different im-
mune processes including Th2 and/or Th1
allergy, tumour immunity and transplantation toler-
ance and also seems to be involved in suppres-
sion of innate immune pathology.

These Treg cells are heterogeneous in phenotype,
function and the way of generation. A subset of
them are naturally occurring, emerging from the thy-
mus as a defined mature T cell subpopulation (natur-
al Treg cells). Their repertoire of antigen specificities
is as broad as that of naïve T cells, and they are ca-
pable of recognizing both self and nonself antigens.

One major limitation in the study of Treg cells activi-
ty has been the lack of molecular markers specific for
this T cell lineage. Natural Treg cells are CD4+ or
CD8+ cells and express on their membrane surface
high levels of the IL-2 receptor α chain, (CD25), cyto-
toxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein-4 (CTLA-4),
transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), and glucocorti-
coid induced tumour necrosis factor receptor (GITR).
However, these molecules are also expressed after
effectors/memory T cells activation, and are not use-
ful markers to identify them. Secretion of IL-10 and
tGF-β is a common feature of natural Treg cells, but
is also unspecific. The forhead/winged helix tran-
scription factor FOXP3 (forkhead box P3), was
shown to be specifically expressed by naturally oc-
curring cells and appears to be a master control fac-
tor for the development and function of these cells.

This factor is considered as a good marker for this
population but not absolutely specific. Human
CD4+ CD25+ Treg cells are able to convey suppres-
sive capacity to conventional CD4+ T cells, thereby
generating Th suppressor cells through a process
known as “infectious tolerance”.

Another population of Treg cells also emerges from
thymus, but acquires its suppressive activity in
the periphery by specific ways of antigenic stimula-
tion (induced or adaptive Treg cells). Unlike natural-
ly occurring ones, inducible Treg cells have not yet
been assigned a surface phenotype based solely on
CD4/CD8 or CD25 or CD28 molecules. Instead, the
regulatory activity may be distributed among multiple
fractions including those defined as CD4+ CD25,
CD4+ CD25+, CD8+, CD8+, CD4–CD8–,
and also seems to be involved in suppres-
sion of innate immune pathology.
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been shown that the generation of the induced regulatory T cells does not depend on Foxp3 expression, this marker can be expressed equally. Inducible Treg cells are subdivided according to cytokines produced: Tr-1, characterized by the secretion of high amounts of IL-10 but only small amounts of transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) and IFNγ, whilst Th-3 constitutively produce low levels of IL-4, IL-10 and high levels of TGF-β.

Both subsets of Treg cells actively control or suppress effector T helper cells and T cytotoxic cells. These cells are activated by antigen-presenting cells such as dendritic cells, or directly by interacting with receptors expressed on endothelial cells or other T cells, but they exert their regulatory activity in a non-specific way. Although many aspects of the mechanisms by which these cells exert their regulatory effects remain to be explained, it is well established that Treg cells suppress immune responses via cell-to-cell interactions and/or the production of interleukin (IL-10) and TGF-β. Some surface molecules have been reported to be responsible for contact-mediated suppressive activity: CTLA-4 and TGF-β, but so far there is no general consensus on the mechanism involved.

**T REGULATORY CELLS IN ALLERGY**

Mucosal epithelium is continuously exposed to a vast quantity of antigens from the air, food and environmental microorganisms. A stable state of immune unresponsiveness to harmless antigens and to self-antigens must be developed by the immune system whilst maintaining their ability to develop appropriate immune responses against pathogens. As in central tolerance, clonal deletion and anergy are operative mechanisms occurring in peripheral lymphoid tissues to control immunity but active suppression seems to be a key mechanism to avoid aberrant immune response to innocuous antigens.

In recent years, several independent studies on immune response to allergens have revealed that a peripheral repertory of Treg, Th1 and Th2 allergen-specific cells exists in allergic as well as in healthy individuals, and it has been suggested that allergic disease can result from an inappropriate balance between allergen activation of Treg cells and effectors Th2 cells.

One observation that enables an association between a deficiency in Treg cell and establishment of allergic disease is that mutations in the gene encoding the transcription factor Foxp3, that seriously compromise regulatory T cell function, results in the human syndrome IPEX (immunodysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked) characterized by severe autoimmune endocrine pathologies and allergic symptoms that include atopy, eosinophilia, severe eczema and food allergy. A similar allergic and autoimmune alteration is observed in Foxp3 mutant mice. Furthermore, in a model of murine allergy and systemic autoimmunity, the injection regulatory Treg cells, induced in vitro by Foxp3-retrovirus infection, can control the symptoms.

Using a mouse model of hyper IgE response, Curotto de Lafaille et al showed that the transfer of polyclonal Treg cells prevented the development of antigen specific Th2 cells, the formation of germinal centers and class switching to IgE. In the same experimental model, the transfer of Treg cells after the IgE response was established did not substantially decrease IgE levels. These results suggest that Treg cells are important to control Th2/IgE response, but are less effective in eradicating an already established response. However, another interesting clinical study showed that children who outgrew their allergy to cow’s milk proteins had higher frequencies of circulating natural Treg cells compared to children who maintained clinically active allergy. In addition, generation of antigen-specific suppressor cells during allergy immunotherapy seemed to be a key immunologic process in the curative efficiency of this treatment.

There is growing evidence that Treg cells contribute to the control of allergen-specific immune response not only by regulating activation and proliferation of specific Th and T cytotoxic (Tc) cells, but also in additional ways. These cells suppress antigen presentation that generates effector Th1 and Th2 cells. They modulate B cell function and by releasing cytokines they determine antibody class switching. One of the best characterized effects of TGF-β is its ability to stimulate isotype switching to IgA, leading to an inhibition in IgE transcription. This mechanism promotes secretion of high levels of IgA in mucous, which selectively avoids environmental allergen penetration. A decreased synthesis of secretory IgA has been associated to development of allergy. In naive B cells, IL-10 suppresses IgE synthesis whereas promotes IgG4 production, but in B cells that are already IgE switched, IL-10 potentiates IgE production. IL-10 inhibits macrophage activation and dendritic cell function. In addition, Treg cells suppress mast cells, basophils and eosinophils and cause tissue remodelling (fig. 1).

The fact that Treg cells are able to suppress a specific immune response without affecting other processes, offers promise for developing new long-term efficient therapies. In this line, IL-6 has been identified as a cytokine potentially determinant of the balance between
Treg and Th2 cells. IL-6 can bind to the soluble IL-6R and induce Th2 cells proliferation in the airways. In contrast, through the membrane-bound IL-6R, IL-6 controls CD4+ CD25+ Treg cells development and function as well as the initial stages of the Th2 cells development. In an experimental asthma model, blockade of the soluble IL-6R reduces Th2 cells in the lung, whereas blockade of the membrane-bound IL-6R, by specific antibody treatment, induced local expansion of Tregulatory cells, thereby reducing the local number of CD4+ T effector cells in the lung. These data suggest that modulation of IL-6 function might be a useful therapeutic approach in allergic asthma.

The crucial role of Treg cells in immunologic homeostasis has arisen great interest in discovering the mechanisms that regulate the formation of such cells, an active process that is regulated by the dendritic cells (DCs) by means of complex processes that are still largely unknown.

**DENDRITIC CELLS IN PERIPHERAL TOLERANCE**

DCs are professional antigen presenting cells which display capacity to stimulate naive T cells and induce primary immune responses or tolerance. In addition, DCs imprint tissue-homing specificity on T cells, and thus license effector/memory cells to access anatomical sites most likely to contain their cognate antigen.

DCs precursors develop in the bone marrow and migrate into tissues where cells home in and differentiate into resident populations. DCs can be divided into several subtypes on the basis of surface antigen differences and functional potential. Many subtypes arise from separate developmental pathways (myeloid or lymphoid), but their phenotype and function are principally modulated by signals that the cells receive from the environment and from T cells. DCs in the periphery are mostly immature, characterized by a low-level expression of MHC class II, T cell receptors ligands and costimulatory molecules and are low IL-12 producers. In infectious and inflammatory environment, DCs become mature, expressing high levels of co-stimulatory molecules and receptor ligands. These cells release stimulatory cytokines to prime T cells and determine the outcome of T cell responses Th1 or Th2.

The role of DCs in the induction of peripheral tolerance has been supported, with increasing evidence that they also participate in the differentiation and expansion of Treg cells. One hypothesis on how DCs induce immune response and also inhibits inflammation is that tolerance is mediated by immature or semi-mature DCs, whereas immunity is generated by mature DCs. In the absence of inflammation, DCs remain immature after antigen uptake but are able to migrate to lymph nodes, where they present antigen to naive T cells under suboptimal conditions that will result in the generation of Treg cells rather than effector T cells. However, other authors have found that both mature and immature DCs participate in the development of peripheral tolerance.

Different mature DC subsets have been referred to participate in the induction of Th1, Th2 or Treg cells. Dendritic cells type 1 (DC1), that produce IL-12 and express high levels of costimulatory molecules, induce a Th1 response associated with the production of IFNγ and IL-2. Dendritic cell type 2 (DC2) induces a Th2 differentiation with major production of IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13, by a mechanism independent of IL-4 or IL-12 but probably mediated by IL-10 or by the absence of IL-12. Dendritic regulatory cells (DC) secrete high levels of IL-10 and induce production of Treg cells.

Understanding the mechanisms implied in the induction of an aberrant Th2 by DC2 in allergic individuals is an important step towards designing new therapies in allergy. Molecular regulation of Th2 by dendritic cells is currently the subject of intensive research.

Data from human DCs derived by in vitro culture indicate that functional subsets DC1 and DC2 would arise from different plasmacytoid or myeloid bone marrow precursors. However, results from studies in mice are conflicting and showed that functional DC1 and DC2 subsets may have both types of precursors. It seems that the differentiation signals that
determine different functional subpopulations of DCs mainly proceed from stromal cell and from inflammatory cells in the tissues. A novel cytokine produced by human epithelial, stromal and mast cells, the human thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP), exerts an effect on DCs to promote specific Th2 cell differentiation and recruitment, control T cell activation and B cell differentiation. TSLP expression is increased in asthmatic airways and correlates with expression of Th2-attracting chemokines and disease severity, and DC2 are also elevated in peripheral blood of patients with asthma. TSLP was also highly expressed by keratinocytes from patients with atopic dermatitis. These results suggest that TSLP is a key initiator factor in allergic disease and a target for a curative therapeutic approach.

Interestingly some of the inflammatory mediators produced during the allergic response, such as cysteinyl leukotrienes, prostaglandin E2, prostaglandin D2 and histamine, enhance the proallergic priming function of DC2. Other soluble mediators such as IL-10, tryptophan and its metabolites and vitamin D3 interact with DCs to induce a tolerogenic response. Interaction between DCs and T cells through engagement of costimulatory molecules provide critical signals for an efficient generation of effector or Treg cell response. Interaction of dendritic B7-1 and B7-2 with CD28 on naïve T cells provides a strong activation that induces effector cells. Interaction of the TNF receptor family members OX40 and their ligand prevents tolerance, and is critical for both Th1 and Th2 responses in allergic inflammation.

Mature DCs that selectively express MHC class II-associated invariant chain peptide (CLIP) favour naïve T cell polarization toward Th2. Signals induced via inducible costimulator (ICOS) expressed on T cells and their ligand on DCs costimulate the development of both Th2-driven inflammation and Treg-cell-mediated tolerance, suggesting that this distinct process could be related. It has been proposed that the development of Th2 cells in allergic diseases may represent an aberration of Treg cell development.
The high level of functional plasticity presented by dendritic cells towards environmental factors may allow their therapeutic modulation to change their function from pro-inflammatory to tolerant. Through the formation of these dendritic cells, specific Treg cells could be generated to act as suppressors of the T cells responsible for allergic disease, so opening up new hopes for the design of cures88.

THE HYGIENE HYPOTHESIS AND Treg CELLS

Epidemiological studies in the late 80’s have revealed that the increase in allergy prevalence in the developed countries is directly linked to a reduction in microbial infections during childhood, establishing the hygiene hypothesis89. After the definition of the two main subsets of Th cells and considering the ability of Th1 responses to counter-regulate Th2 reactivity, it was proposed that exposure to microorganisms in early life may play a key role in stimulating the maturation of the immune system away from the Th2, a birth-predominating profile, towards a dominant Th1 phenotype89. According to the hygiene hypothesis, a sanitized style of life results in a failure to promote pathways that inhibit the development of a Th2 response to allergens. In the past few years numerous studies have proved a direct correlation between widespread vaccination, improvements in standards of hygiene, or extensive use of antibiotics and the dysregulation of Th2 responsiveness that typifies allergy89-91.

However, this hypothesis does not explain the parallel increase in the prevalence of diseases associated to inadequate production of Th1 cells such as autoimmune or inflammatory bowel diseases, suggesting that the microbial protection against allergy is not just the result of an immune response deviation. At the present time, it is proposed that any type of immune stimulation by pathogens (both Th1 or Th2 polarizing) induce Treg cells that control aberrant immune responses. In the past few years numerous studies have proved a direct correlation between widespread vaccination, improvements in standards of hygiene, or extensive use of antibiotics and the dysregulation of Th2 responsiveness that typifies allergy89-91.

IGE SYNTHESIS IN ALLERGY

Once generated, Th2 cells activates B cells to induce an immunoglobulin class switching and its transformation into plasmatic cells secretors of specific IgE. In healthy persons, synthesis of IgE by these cells occurs at a low rate compared to that of other antibodies, indicating that IgE synthesis is a highly regulated process. Although an increase in Th2 lymphocytes may result in elevated IgE synthesis, not all allergic patients show the same susceptibility to present this alteration. A high percentage of them showed very high serum levels of total and specific IgE in association to their genetic profile, towards a dominant Th1 phenotype92. Class switching to IgE is preceded by the production of geline transcripts driven by the IL-4-responsive promoter. In recent years new factors have been involved in negative regulation of IgE production by down-regulating & transcription. Their deficit produces IgE and IgG1 hypersecretion, and thus they are candidates for being altered signals that are required for IgE synthesis, independently from Th2 cells93.

Some individuals have normal total IgE levels and negative values for allergen-specific IgE whilst presenting allergic symptoms. Clinical studies strongly suggest that there might be a local IgE production in skin and in intestinal and respiratory mucosa. Local IgE production can be detected in nasal mucosa94, bronchoalveolar lavage95 and stool96; and, in presence of IL-4, can provide the cell contact signals that are required for IgE synthesis, independently from Th2 cells96. These observations provide an explanation for the fact that serum IgE measures and cutaneous tests do not correlate well with allergic symptoms97-99. Detection of specific IgE in secretions and stool would have diagnostic value in allergies with negative cutaneous tests and RAST.

Akdis et al have proposed that a predominant Th1 apoptosis skews the immune response to sur-

Allergol Immunopathol 2006;34(4):156-70
viving Th2 cell in patients with elevated IgE synthesis rates, whereas a disturbed balance towards aller-
gen-specific Th2 cell instead of Treg cell character-
izes the response in monoalergic patients. Other authors suggest that the altered mechanism con-
tributing to increased IgE levels may be a deficient
apoptosis rate of B cells after the switch to IgE. They

IGE EFFECTOR FUNCTIONS

Specific IgE antibodies directed against allergens
bind to high-affinity Fc receptor (FcεRI), constitutive-
ly expressed on mast cell and basophils. Upon re-ex-
posure to a multivalent allergen, attached IgE is
cross-linked and cells become activated. Within min-
utes of allergen re-exposure, cells release a series of
preformed mediators, which leads to the acute
phase characteristic of the allergic reaction. Activ-
tion also results in the synthesis and secretion of lip-
id mediators and cytokines (fig. 3) that participate in the
influx of new inflammatory cells, causing late-phase
response and promoting the inflammatory process.

In addition to these well-known functions, IgE acti-
vates other processes that participate in the support
and evolution of the allergic inflammation by binding
to FcεRII and to low affinity receptors FcεRII (CD23).
FcεRII aggregation has recently been understood to
generate a mixture of positive and negative intracellular
signals10,11. Monomeric IgE through FcεRII regulates
positively the expression of this receptor on mast cells
and basophils, prevents them from apoptosis, induces
NFκB activation and, under some circumstances, may
induce cytokine secretion. This regulation leads to a
permanent cell sensitization that maintains the capaci-
ty of these cells to mount an immediate response after
a new contact with an allergen12. Bound IgE to FcεRI,
expressed on professional antigen–presenting cells
and mast cells and eosinophils, mediates allergen
internalization and specifically amplify the inflammat-
ory response, but also takes part in the afferent phase of
the immune response to allergens. After antigen-IgE
capture, DCs migrate to local lymphoid tissue where
they present antigen to naïve cells, widen the spec-
trum of epitopes recognized by IgE and enhance the
immune response. When DCs are located in an inflam-
matory environment different from the initial one, new
specific T helper cells will be generated with different
effector functions, contributing to the appearance of new
symptoms. In addition to professional antigen pre-
senting cells, mast cells and activated eosinophils in-
ternalize allergens through the IgE attached to the re-
ceptor and subsequently act as antigen-presenting
cells activating the specific T effector cells, helping to
perpetuate the process13,14.

Mast cells and basophils also express low-affinity
and, under specific conditions, high-affinity IgE re-
ceptors. When co-engaging these receptors with
FcεRI, IgE antibodies can amplify or dampen IgE-in-
duced mast cell activation15. Binding of IgE to CD23 on
B cells facilitates antigen presentation to T cells, result-
ing in preferent immune responses to the allergen and
exercising a positive feedback control. However cross-
linking of CD23 results in the down-regulation of IgE
synthesis16.

Figure 3.—Inflammatory mediators released by eosinophil and mast cell following their activation in an allergic response. FGF, fibroblast
growth factor; HB-EGF, heparin-binding epidermal growth factor; NGF, nerve growth factor; PDGF, platelet-derived growth factor; VEGF, vascular
endothelial growth factor; GM-CSF, granulocyte/macrophage-colony stimulating factor; MMP macrophage inflammatory protein; MMP metalloproteinase; MCP monocyte chemotactic protein; PAR, platelet activating factor; RANTES, regulated on activation normal T-cell
expressed and secreted; SCF, stem cell factor; TNF tumour necrosis factor; ROI reactive oxygen species; HETE, hydroxy-eicosatetraenoic
acid; PAF platelet activating factor; RANTES, regulated on activation normal T-cell expressed and secreted; SCF, stem cell factor; TNF tumour necrosis factor; ROI reactive oxygen species; HETE, hydroxy-eicosatetraenoic
acid; PG, prostaglandin; LT, leukotrienes; TX tromboxan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eosinophil and growth factors</th>
<th>Lipid</th>
<th>Cytokines</th>
<th>Chemokines</th>
<th>Enzymes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FGF, HB-EGF, PDGF, VEGF, SCF</td>
<td>LT E4, D4, E4, PAH, PGI2, PGD2, 15HETE</td>
<td>IL-4, IL-13, -17 TNF-α</td>
<td>GM-CSF, GM-CSF</td>
<td>TXA2, E2 TXA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP, metalloproteinase</td>
<td>Prostaglandins</td>
<td>Chemokines:</td>
<td>Enzymes:</td>
<td>Eosinophil cationic protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1, -3, -4</td>
<td>Growth factors:</td>
<td>MIP-1α, TGF-β</td>
<td>Monocyte chemotactic protein</td>
<td>Eosinophil-derived neurotoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8, MIP-1α, -3, -4</td>
<td>Lipid:</td>
<td>Cytokines:</td>
<td>Proteoglycans:</td>
<td>Proteins:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prostaglandins</td>
<td>IL-4, IL-13, -17 TNF-α</td>
<td>MMP, metalloproteinase</td>
<td>Major Basic Protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid:</td>
<td>Cytokines:</td>
<td>Others:</td>
<td>Enzymes:</td>
<td>Eosinophil cationic protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prostaglandins</td>
<td>IL-4, IL-13, -17 TNF-α</td>
<td>MMP</td>
<td>Eosinophil-derived neurotoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid:</td>
<td>Cytokines:</td>
<td>Others:</td>
<td>Enzymes:</td>
<td>Eosinophil cationic protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prostaglandins</td>
<td>IL-4, IL-13, -17 TNF-α</td>
<td>MMP</td>
<td>Eosinophil-derived neurotoxin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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IL-4 was shown to induce expression of CD23 on the surface of intestinal epithelial cells, allowing rapid internalization and transcytosis of IgE-allergen complexes from intestinal lumen to the underlying tissue to stimulate local hypersensitivity reactions.

MAST CELLS IN ADAPTIVE IMMUNE RESPONSE

Mast cells are widely recognized as immune effector cells that initiate innate immunity against pathogens. They are essential in host defense against helminths and also are the major effectors of IgE-associated allergic disorders. Recently it has been hypothesized that mast cells also contribute to the initiation and regulation of adaptive immune responses. Through the release of mediators, mast cells modulate different immune processes. That is the case of histamine, the main proinflammatory mediator of these cells. This molecule participates in the early-phase of allergic response, primarily binding to H1 receptor. In addition to these effects histamine, regulates several essential events in the immune response. Through its H1, H3 and H4 receptors expressed on DCs, histamine acts as a positive stimulus that increases antigen presentation, proinflammatory cytokine production and promotes Th1 differentiation. On the contrary, through the H2 receptor histamine inhibits antigen presentation and acts as a suppressor of IL-12 production whereas enhances IL-10 release which polarize a Th2 response. Histamine can also bind to receptors expressed on lymphocytes and regulates antigen-specific Th1 and Th2 cell as well as related antibody isotype response. Binding of histamine to the H4 receptor produces a chemotactic action for mast cells and eosinophils, favoring their extravasation and activation. As has been previously mentioned, prostaglandin, E2 prostaglandin D2 and cysteinyi leukotrienes are potent inducers of DC2. In addition mast cells can act as antigen presenting cells. Activated mast cells liberate different type Th2 cytokines and determine an environment where dendritic cells promote differentiation of new Th2 lymphocytes. By releasing TNF-α, mast cell induces expression of endothelial adhesion molecules and, through MIP-1α, it attracts a chemokine responsible for T lymphocyte recruitment to the inflamed tissue. Moreover, by liberating IL-3, IL-5 and GM-CSF mast cells promote production of eosinophils in the bone marrow and contribute to their infiltration and activation. Mast cells also express Toll-like receptors, which may further accentuate their role in the immune-inflammatory response.

TH2 CELLS IN ALLERGIC INFLAMMATION

Once CD4+ T lymphocyte has been primed for a determined allergen, it proliferates and differentiates into effector T cells or memory cells. Then cells leave lymphatic tissue and enter the blood stream besides resting until their migration to the anatomic place where the allergen is found. In the tissue, DCs and the local macrophages present small peptide fragments (epitopes) in conjunction with major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II, to the Th2 cells, leading to T cell proliferation and activation. As a consequence, T cells release cytokines and increase their affinity for the tissue matrix, being retained inside the affected tissue while the antigen persists. Th2 cell activation promotes a delayed hypersensitivity reaction in which the main effector cell is eosinophil, and presents different characteristics in function of affected tissue. This cellular response is responsible for late phase reaction and underlies most of the chronic allergic process, playing an essential role in the physiopathology of eosinophilic gastroenteropathies and in allergic asthma. It is assumed that allergen specific T lymphocytes express on their surface adhesion molecules, which permit their selective migration into the organ where the sensitization was produced. However, specific T lymphocytes to digestive allergens have been seen to express on their surface cutaneous lymphocyte antigen (CLA), a skin-specific homing receptor. This justifies the emergence of inflammatory symptoms on the skin after the ingestion of food allergens.

It is important to emphasize that for induction of immediate response the presence of the complete allergen is required, while the activation of the T lymphocyte only needs the presence of determined peptides. It has been shown that the delivery of synthetic peptides representing T cell epitopes of the allergen, but lacking of IgE epitopes, could induce strong T cell-mediated inflammatory responses. This response was induced in the absence of preceding IgE-mediated events. These observations reveal that T lymphocytes can be activated and induce an allergic syndrome through IgE non-dependant mechanisms. It is possible that this process has parallelism with the mechanisms by which some drugs are capable of directly attaching the receptor of different types of T cells and activating them, through mechanisms dependant on MHCI without the need for a previous sensitization. In this line it has been observed that during the digestive process, peptides which do not contain epitopes for the IgE but preserve those recognized by T cells are generated. It has been proposed that this digestive pep-
tides may be able to induce both local and systemic inflammatory response, mediated solely by the activation of T lymphocytes, in absence of IgE.

Additionally, when administered systemically at low dose, peptides may induce long-lasting hyporesponsiveness in the T cell compartment through a mechanism that is associated with induction of IL-10. In the same way, the use of peptides-based allergen preparation for immunotherapy results in the induction of tolerance, but gives rise to adverse reactions in a high proportion of patients.

Th2 lymphocyte activation induces the release of cytokines taking part in the inflammatory response through different actions. Thus, IL-4 in conjunction with TNFα released by mast cells, participates in the expression of endothelial adhesion molecules, which permit the attachment of eosinophils to the endothelium, an essential phase to their subsequent extravasation. IL-5 contributes to the differentiation of the eosinophils and basophils in bone marrow, promotes the release of substances chemotactic to these cells (eotaxin, eotaxin2, eotaxin3 and RANTES) and maximizes their action. But this interleukin also activates the eosinophil in absence of IgE, which justifies the appearance of symptoms due to the activation with specific peptides for Th2 receptors. IL-9 promote the synthesis of local and systemic IgE and inhibit the effector response of the macrophage; under such conditions new sensitizations produced will be Th2 type. IL-13 stimulates mucus secretion and fibrosis that contributes to the perpetuation of tissue damage. IL-17A, also named IL-25, is a new identified interleukin, mainly produced by Th2 lymphocytes that seemed to be directly implicated in Th2-associated allergic inflammation. IL-25 is a potent inductor of IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 production and its infusion in mice reproduces Th2-associated pathologies. It is interesting that in an in vitro study it has been observed that the major cell type that responds to IL-25 by liberating Th2 cytokines appears to be a non-T/non-B cell population, expressing MHCII and CD11c, which is a characteristic of accessory cells.

The activation of the eosinophils provokes its degranulation and the liberation of inflammation mediators, some common and some different to those released by mast cell (fig. 3). Once activated, the eosinophil expresses MHCII and co-stimulator molecules enable it to become an antigen presenting cell, feeding back the inflammatory process. The main difference is that the eosinophil granules do not contain histamine but release molecules toxic to the tissue, such as the major basic protein, and the eosinophil cationic protein, or different peroxidases and hydroxylases or oxygen reactive species. These mediators degrade cells in the tissue, rendering a dysfunction of the affected organ. On the other hand, eosinophils liberate fibrogenic factors and growth factors, which take part in tissue repairing. When the damage is sustained, the repairing response may be excessive and provoke a fibrotic syndrome that contributes significantly to the syndrome perpetuation.

**TH1 CELLS IN ALLergic INFLAMMATION**

The contribution of Th1 cells in the pathology of allergy is more controversial since, conceptually, Th1 cytokines act as a counter-regulating Th2 response. In addition, the experimental induction of a Th1 response against respiratory allergens elicits an inflammatory process in which macrophages and neutrophils are the effector cells. This exclusive Th1 response does not produce any manifestation of allergic asthma. When Th2 cells are inhibited, the presence of specific Th1 cells reduce allergic inflammation, but the concurrent expression of Th1 and Th2 interleukins exacerbates the symptoms, mainly in chronic processes. This suggests that once a Th2 cell response has been established, Th1 counter-regulation is more complex.

Patients with atopic dermatitis exhibit a biphasic helper T-cell pattern in which Th2 immune response appears early in the acute phase, but progresses to a Th1 profile as chronic lesions emerge. An eczematous injury is caused in which essentially IgE does not take part. The pathophysiological base of this change has recently been described. Skin lesions contain increased levels of epidermal Langerhans cells and dendritic precursor CD2 cells, which express the FcεRI receptor and possess the ability to internalize the antigen to present their peptides to a local Th2 cell. The resulting activation of Th2 cells promotes IgE expression and induces a cell mediated response in which eosinophils are the effector cells. DCs also migrate to the lymphatic tissue, sensitizing new lymphocytes to a Th2 phenotype. In this initial phase, patients showed TSLP greatly augmented in the skin which favors the differentiation of immature DCs to CD2. After the attachment of IgE on DCs, different interleukins and chemokines are released, which specifically attract IDECs (inflammatory dendritic epidermal cell) to the site of inflammation. This type of DC is not found in normal skin, and is characterized by the expression of a large amount of costimulatory molecules and FcεRI. Cross-link of IgE receptor on the IDEC surface induces the release of high amounts of IL-12 and IL-18, and that promotes the generation of specific Th1 lymphocytes against...
the initial antigen. Later, specific Th1 activation in the skin or in other tissues is responsible for a cellular response mainly mediated by macrophages and neutrophils that change the clinical expression of the disease.

Few inflammatory diseases have been associated with an exclusive induction of a specific Th1 response against external antigens. Among them, celiac disease is a pathology in which a Th1 and T cytotoxic (Tc) response is produced against the gliadin fraction of wheat, barley, rye and oats. Several groups have described the activation of Th1 lymphocytes mediated by different drugs, which give place to a delayed hypersensitivity reaction. In the Heiner Syndrome, a chronic pulmonary inflammatory process is produced, associated to the presence of precipitins specific against milk proteins. Chronic inhalation of large amounts of some antigens induces allergic alveolitis mediated by high IgG levels, such as in Farmer’s lung (actinomyces and molds), or pigeon breeder’s disease (bird droppings).

**T CD8+ CELLS IN ALLERGY**

Different studies support evidence for the contribution of T cytotoxic (Tc) cells in the pathogenesis of allergic diseases. Tc have been divided into two subsets that secrete Th1 or Th2 cytokines (Tc1 or Tc2 cells respectively), however both induce similar inflammatory reactions including cytotoxicity and induction of delayed reactions against small peptides (haptens) there is a high percentage of Tc1 cells in injured tissues that show cytotoxic activity against keratinocytes.In allergic asthma, Tc cells accumulate in lung after provocation with allergens and during acute episodes. In cases of fatal asthma, a Tc infiltration in bronchial tissue has been found. Other authors functionally divide Tc cells as effector or memory cells and describe that Tc2 type effectors contribute in a significant way to asthma through IL-13 production.

It has also been found that TSLP, in association with CD40L, induces the formation of pro-allergenic Tc1 cells that contribute to the tissue inflammation.
fication of dendritic cell function to obtain specific Treg cells\textsuperscript{13,80}. Other strategies exploit the fact that immunotherapy promotes Treg cells generation in a natural way and look for adjuvants from bacteria or helminths to potentiate this process\textsuperscript{85,147}. For example, the treatment of mice with a killed Mycobacterium vaccae-suspension gives rise to allergen-specific Treg cells, that confer protection against airway inflammation\textsuperscript{148}. It has been observed that glucocorticoid treatment induces the generation of IL-10 producing cells with regulatory properties. The association of this drug with vitamin D3\textsuperscript{149} or \(\beta\textsubscript{2}\) agonists\textsuperscript{150} clearly increases the number of regulatory cells induced. These findings are being exploited to develop new curative therapies.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Presently the allergic disease is considered as the result of an inappropriate balance between allergen activation of regulatory T cells and effector T helper 2 cells in susceptible individuals, a process in which dendritic cells are key players. This lack of regulation in the immune response leads to an ongoing inflammatory process, in which different immune processes may follow one and other and accumulate over time. The presence of the allergen not only induces the activation of an effector response responsible for clinical manifestations, but also promotes an immunomodulatory process which may determine the evolution of the disease. In addition, every patient shows a genetic and environmental susceptibility to activating these responses. This leads to a high variability in the immune response that predominate in the inflammatory process, and the disease may be present with a wide range of clinical manifestations. Recently some biological therapies, that specifically control inflammatory pathways in allergy, have been shown to be effective in processes like asthma but their therapeutic efficacy is still under study. Current research strategies seek to exploit recent knowledge on Treg and dendritic cells to induce spe-
cific tolerance against allergens that allow a long-last-
ing control of allergic disease.
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