Background: The aim of this study was to confirm the clinical efficacy and safety of a preseasonal sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) in a group of allergic patients with seasonal rhinoconjunctivitis with or without mild intermittent or mild persistent asthma. The immunotherapy was administered through the oral mucosa with a monomeric carbamylated allergoid (allergoid SLIT) for grass pollens. A secondary endpoint was to evaluate the effect of the allergoid SLIT on nasal reactivity.

Methods and results: A single-center, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study was performed. Patients were selected and randomly allocated to two groups: one group received active treatment (allergoid SLIT) for 2 years and the other received placebo. Both groups received the necessary drug treatment throughout the trial. Thirty-three outpatients (20 men and 13 women, mean age: 30 years; range: 19-43) attending our center were enrolled in the study. Symptoms and medications were scored on diary cards during the pollen season. An allergen nasal challenge was performed at baseline and after 2 years of SLIT to evaluate nasal reactivity. Because the clinical scores were non-normally distributed, the Mann-Whitney and the Chi-square tests for intergroup comparisons and the Wilcoxon test for intragroup comparisons were used.

The results were evaluated after 1 and 2 years of treatment. Between the first and second years of treatment, no changes in the scores for the placebo group were found, while for the active vaccine group significant decreases were found in rhinorrhea ($p < 0.03$), sneezing ($p < 0.03$), and conjunctivitis ($p < 0.02$). Symptom scores after nasal challenge decreased ($p < 0.03$) after 2 years’ treatment. Nasal steroid use significantly decreased in the active treatment group during May and June in both the years of treatment ($p < 0.02$). Only two mild local adverse events were reported in the active group and none was reported in the placebo group.

Conclusions: The results of this study show that the allergoid SLIT is safe and effective in decreasing symptom scores and drug use in rhinitic patients allergic to grass pollen.
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INTRODUCTION

Specific immunotherapy (SIT) is currently acknowledged as a key therapeutic approach for patients with respiratory allergies. SIT is a “biological response mod-
ifier", acting on an upstream phase of the immune response. It therefore not only relieves symptoms in the long run, and the need for anti-allergic drugs, but modifies the natural course of the disease, hampering or even blocking its progression. The causes of that are yet not clear because the immunological changes induced by SIT are complex as it interferes with pathophysiologic mechanism responsible for the release of mediators and subsequent inflammatory changes. The mast cells and eosinophils play a key role in this process and a possible activity of the SIT on these cells has been assessed in a previous study.

The clinical efficacy of SIT has been stated some years ago in two reviews of the literature by a panel of WHO and EAACI experts, as reported in their Position Papers, which also sets out guidelines for prescribing and carrying out the treatment. An interesting novelty in these papers is the validation and acceptance of non-injective administration routes for SIT (sublingual and intranasal), which are recognised as useful and can be prescribed in allergological practice. The main aim of sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) is safety in use, and they have been under investigation for at least 20 years, so there is considerable data on their efficacy and safety. Aim of the present study, that was carried out in Portugal from 2001 to 2003, was to confirm, in a population of allergic patients with seasonal (intermittent) rhinoconjunctivitis with or without mild intermittent or mild persistent asthma, the clinical efficacy and the safety of a sublingual preseasonal oro-mucosal IT performed with a monomeric allergoid (allergoid SLIT) for grass pollens. Secondary endpoint was to evaluate the effect of this kind of immunotherapy on nasal reactivity after allergen nasal challenge.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study design

The study was monocentric, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled. Patients were selected and randomly allocated into two groups: one took for two years the active treatment (allergoid SLIT) and the other placebo. Both groups received all the necessary symptomatic pharmacological treatment throughout the two-year period of the trial (fig. 1). A run-in phase was not planned.

Patients

Thirty-three outpatients (20 men and 13 women, mean age: 30 years; range: 19-43) with a clinical history of seasonal (intermittent) rhinoconjunctivitis with (58 %) or without (42 %) mild intermittent or mild persistent asthma since at least two years and attending our allergy centre were enrolled into the study after obtaining their informed consent and the approval of the ethical committee of the University Hospital (table I). Enrolment of the patients was preseasonal followed by a seasonal visit in May and an end-of-the-year visit in October. Patients were followed during two consecutive years. All patients were sensitized to grass pollens, as confirmed by skin prick test (Lofarma S.p.A., Milan, Italy) and RAST (CAP System EIA, Pharmacia) performed with a panel of common allergens. Subjects suffering from severe systemic and/or autoimmune diseases or acquired/congenital immune deficiencies, past or current malignancy, neurological or psychiatric disorder requiring major psychodrugs, receiving chronic systemic corticosteroid or beta-blocking treatments were not enrolled, nor were pregnant women. Patients were consecutively allocated in randomised manner, into two groups receiving IT plus rescue pharmacotherapy (n = 17) or placebo plus rescue pharmacotherapy (n = 16).

Investigational IT and rescue pharmacotherapy

The IT was performed with a mixture of chemically modified allergic extracts (carbamylated mono-
Symptom/medication score.

Patients were instructed to record symptoms and any medications taken on a daily diary card during the pollen season, from April to July. At each scheduled visit they were collected and checked. The monthly symptom score was obtained by rating symptoms reported daily by patients according to the following scale: 0: no symptom; 1: mild; 2: moderate; 3: severe symptoms. The medication recorded by patients in their diary cards was rated on the following scale: local or systemic antihistamines: one point per day; local corticosteroids: two points per day; inhaled β2-agonists: one point for each daily administration; anti-leukotrienes: one point per day; oral corticosteroids: three points per day.

Nasal challenge test

Nasal reactivity of each patient was analysed by specific (grass mix) challenge test. After an adaptation period of at least 30 minutes the baseline value was determined 15 minutes after the application of the control capsules (lactose) by a specific device. The reactivity to the mixture of grass antigen was measured after application of a fixed dose of 120 AU. The test was assessed as positive if the decrease of nasal flow was greater than 40% assessed by rhinomanometry (Rhinospir-164® Markos Mefar).

Statistical analysis

Since the clinical scores might be non-normally distributed, the Mann Whitney and the Chi-square tests for intergroup comparison and the Wilcoxon test for intragroup comparison were used. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Twenty patients out of the 33 enrolled (60.6%) completed the study. The patients treated with the allergoid SLIT showed a statistically significant improvement at the second year of treatment as far as the symptoms “conjunctivitis” and “rhinorrhea” and “sneezing” were concerned while this improvement was not seen or it was not statistically significant in the placebo than in the allergoid SLIT group (fig. 4). As far as the tolerability is concerned, the patients’ judgement about that was very good both for the created patients and the placebo group. Only two mild local adverse events were observed with the allergoid
DISCUSSION

Our results show that a two-year regimen of IT with the carbamylated allergoid SLIT is effective in reducing the rhinitic symptoms and the drug consumption during the grass pollen season in a population of adults patients. This clinical improvement was seen only in the active group and only after the second year of treatment. This aspect confirm the WHO and EAACI documents, i.e. state that it is necessary to perform at least a two years course of IT to get a relevant clinical benefit\(^1,2\) even if the reasons of that are not still completely elucidated\(^18\). Furthermore, most of the published double-blind, placebo-controlled studies about SLIT do not last more than one year, with only six clinical trials, out of the 29 published so far, lasting two years\(^19\). Yet, the fact that this improvement was seen only with the allergoid SLIT and not with the placebo clearly proves that the effect is caused by the vaccine. Besides there was a clinically significant difference at the second year between the active and the placebo group even if this did not reach the statistical significance due to the small number of patients that completed the two-
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**Figure 2.**—Mean scores for the symptom “conjunctivitis”, “rhinorrea” and “sneezing” and in active and placebo group during the first and second pollen season. Columns represent the sum of the mean monthly values.

**Figure 3.**—Mean scores for the nasal reactivity at the nasal provocation test in active and placebo group at baseline, after one and two years of treatment.

**Figure 4.**—Steroid consumption in active and placebo group during the first and the second pollen season. Mean monthly scores.
year treatment. The previous controlled clinical studies performed both in adults and children allergic to grass pollen with the allergoid SLIT in tablets showed a satisfactory efficacy level associated with a good tolerability profile and an optimal compliance. Our findings go in the same direction of these data, even if further confirmation by investigating a greater number of patients would be useful.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this double-blind, placebo-controlled study allow us to conclude that a 2-year treatment with the allergoid SLIT is effective and safe. When administered in association with pharmacotherapy it improves the rhinitic symptoms and decreases the need of employing inhaled corticosteroids.
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