Genetic polymorphisms in alcohol dehydrogenase, aldehyde dehydrogenase and alcoholic chronic pancreatitis susceptibility: A meta-analysis
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Abstract
Purpose: This study was aimed to determine the relationship of alcohol-metabolizing enzymes ADH2, ADH3, and ALDH2 polymorphisms with the susceptibility to alcoholic chronic pancreatitis (ACP).
Methods: Meta-analyses that evaluated the association of ADH2, ADH3, and ALDH2 variations with ACP were performed.
Results: Eight case–control studies were selected for analysis. The overall data revealed a significant association of ADH2 polymorphism (OR = 1.56, 95% CI = 1.42–1.72, P = 0.000 for dominant model; OR = 1.63, 95% CI = 1.55–1.71, P = 0.000 for homozygote comparison model; OR = 1.11, 95% CI = 1.01–1.22, P = 0.030 for allelic contrast model), ADH3 polymorphism (OR = 0.95, 95% CI = 0.86–0.96, P = 0.389 for dominant; OR = 0.64, 95% CI = 0.44–0.93, P = 0.020 for homozygote comparison; and OR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.77–0.99, P = 0.039 for allelic contrast model) and ALDH2 polymorphism (OR = 0.57, 95% CI = 0.40–0.81, P = 0.002 for dominant; OR = 0.50, 95% CI = 0.23–1.08, P = 0.079 for homozygote comparison; and OR = 0.58, 95% CI = 0.41–0.84, P = 0.003 for allelic contrast model) with ACP risk. The subgroup analyses suggested that the variant ADH2*2/*2 +*1/*2, ADH2*2/*2 genotype and ADH2*2 allele significantly increased ACP risk among Asian individuals; the variant ADH3*2/*2 genotype and ADH3*2 allele significantly decreased ACP risk among non-Asian individuals; and the variant ALDH2*2/*2 +*1/*2 genotype and ALDH2*2 allele significantly decreased ACP risk among Asians.
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Introduction

Chronic pancreatitis is a progressive inflammatory disease that finally leads to damage of exocrine and endocrine functions of the pancreas. Among the different risk factors for chronic pancreatitis alcohol abuse is the most prevalent.

The metabolism pathway of alcohol is shown in Fig. 1. Alcohol dehydrogenase such as ADH2 (ADH1B) and ADH3 (ADH1C), and aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 (ALDH2) played central roles in the initial stages of alcohol metabolism.

It is believed that the individual differences in the susceptibility to alcoholic chronic pancreatitis are likely to be the genetically determined differences in alcohol-metabolizing enzymes with different metabolizing rate. So many studies have been conducted on the possible associations between polymorphisms of alcohol-metabolizing enzymes and alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk. But, the results are matters in dispute. Whether genetic variations of these enzymes can elevate the alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk remains uncertain.

Furthermore, the presence of polymorphic iso-enzymes ADH2, ADH3, and ALDH2 variations is different from ethnic groups. ADH2*2 allele occurs in 0–10% of white population, 0–5.7% among Europeans, and 60–80% in Asians. In Caucasians, the rate of ADH3*1 is 50–60%, while >90% in Asians.

Therefore, in this study, we conducted a quantitative meta-analysis with subgroup analysis on ethnicity that included published data up to August 2013, involving in three main alcohol-metabolizing enzymes ADH2, ADH3, and ALDH2. This coverage may increase the statistical power to

Conclusions: ADH2, ADH3 and ALDH2 polymorphisms may be susceptibility facts of ACP, and it may be ethnic and race-dependent.

© 2014 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and AEEH y AEG. All rights reserved.
The relationship of alcohol-metabolizing enzymes
determine accurately the associations of ADH2, ADH3 and 
ALDH2 polymorphisms with alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk.

Materials and methods

Literature search strategy

We carried out searches in Medline, Sciedirect, and Chi-
nese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) without a 
language limitation, covering all papers published up to 
August 2013. The following keywords were used: alcohol 
dehydrogenase 2 (or alcohol dehydrogenase 1B), ADH2 (or 
ADH1B), alcohol dehydrogenase 3 (or alcohol dehydroge-
nase 1C), ADH3 (or ADH1C), aldehyde dehydrogenase 2, 
ALDH2, alcohol, alcoholic, chronic pancreatitis, polymor-
phism and genotype. All searched studies were retrieved and 
the bibliographies were further checked for other relevant 
publication. Review articles and the bibliographies of other 
relevant studies identified were hand searched to identify 
additional eligible studies.

Inclusion criteria

The following criteria were used for the literature selection. 
First, the study should concern the association of alcoholic 
chronic pancreatitis and genotype polymorphisms of ADH2, 
ADH3 and ALDH2. Second, the study must be observational 
(case–control or cohort). Third, the studies must indicate 
the sample size, odds ratios (ORs), and their 95% confi-
dence intervals (CIs), as well as the genetic distribution or 
the information that can help infer the results. After rigor-
ous searching, we reviewed all papers based on the above 
criteria for further analysis.

Data extraction

Two of the authors (Zhong and Cao) carefully extracted Data 
independently from all eligible publications in accordance 
with the inclusion criteria above. For conflicting evalua-
tions, an agreement was reached after a discussion. When 
a consensus cannot be reached, another author was to be 
consulted to resolve the dispute, and then a final decision 
was made based on a majority of votes. Where authors had 
published more than one article using the same case series, 
most recent publication was selected. Pooled data of these 
control groups (including healthy alcoholics, non-alcoholic 
healthy volunteers and blood donors) were considered as 
a control group as some included articles using healthy 
controls with or without drinking, some used healthy con-
trols whose history of alcoholism were unknown, and some 
involved in several separate control groups. The extracted 
data were summarized into a database.

Statistical analyses

The ORs of ADH2, ADH3, and ALDH2 polymorphisms and 
alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk were estimated for each 
study. The pooled ORs were determined for a dominant 
model (*2/*2 vs. *1/*1), a homozygote comparison 
model (*2/*2 vs. *1/*1) and an allelic contrast model (*2 
allele vs. *1 allele). To detect any possible sample size bias, 
the OR and its 95% CI for each study were plotted against 
the number of participants. The P value was used as an index 
for the heterogeneity test and a chi-squared-based Q-test was 
also performed to assess heterogeneity. If the P value for 
the Q-test was more than 0.1, ORs were pooled according 
to the fixed-effect model (Mantel–Haenszel); otherwise, the 
random-effect model (DerSimonian and Laird) was used. The 
significance of the pooled ORs was determined by the Z-test. 
Publication bias was assessed by visual inspection of funnel 
plots, in which the standard error of log (OR) of each study 
was plotted against its log (OR). An asymmetric plot indi-
cated possible publication bias. The symmetry of the funnel 
plot was further evaluated by Egger’s linear regression test. 
Statistical analysis was performed using the program Stata 
12.0 (StataCorp LP).

Results

Study characteristics

Relevant publications were searched and preliminarily 
reviewed. Sixty-four publications were identified, in which 
46 irrelevant papers were excluded. Two original articles 
that used controls from other paper were excluded. Two 
studies on the connection between alcohol-metabolizing 
enzyme (ADH2, ADH3 and ALDH2) and alcoholic acute 
pancreatitis were excluded. Three reviews were also 
excluded. Four studies used the same case series 
respectively, so the most recent publication was included; 
lastly, eight case–control studies were included in the meta-
amalyses.

Seven publications were written in English and one in 
Korean. The relevant information is listed in Table 1. 
The first author, published year, the number and charac-
teristics of cases and controls, and studied genes for each 
study, and other necessary information are presented. The 
selected articles included 4 groups of Asian and 
4 groups of non-Asian. Six articles mentioned gene 
polymorphism of ADH2, 6 articles of ADH3, 6 articles of 
ALDH2, and 4 articles polymorphism of ALDH2. The dis-
tributions of the ADH2, ADH3 and ALDH2 genotypes are 
presented in Tables 2–4, respectively.

Test of heterogeneity

We analyzed the heterogeneity for the dominant 
(*2/*2 vs. *1/*1), a homozygote comparison model 
(*2/*2 vs. *1/*1) and allelic contrast (*2 vs. *1) models 
of ADH2 gene, respectively. Significant heterogeneities 
were found in *2/*2 vs. *1/*1 (I^2 = 96.3%; P = 0.000 
for Q-test) (Fig. 2A) and *2/*2 vs. *1/*1 model (I^2 = 80.4%; 
P = 0.002 for Q-test) (Fig. 3A). Meanwhile, there were 
significant heterogeneities in Asian group for *2/*2 vs. 
*1/*1 (I^2 = 97.8%; P = 0.000 for Q-test) (Fig. 2A) and 
*2/*2 vs. *1/*1 (I^2 = 80.4%; P = 0.002 for Q-test) (Fig. 3A). 
Furthermore, no marked heterogeneities were found in *2 
vs. *1 model (Fig. 4A).

As shown in Figs. 5A and 6A, we analyzed the heterogene-
ity for the dominant (*2/*2 vs. *1/*1, data not shown),
ADH2, ADH3, ALDH2, and a homozygote comparison model (*2/*2 vs. *1/*1, Fig. 5A) and allelic contrast (*2 vs. *1, Fig. 6A) models of ADH3 gene, respectively. No marked heterogeneities for the overall data were found in three models (*2/*2 + *1/*2 vs. *1/*1: \( I^2 = 0.0\% \); \( P = 0.542 \) for Q-test; *2/*2 vs. *1/*1: \( I^2 = 16.0\% \); \( P = 0.311 \) for Q-test; *2 vs. *1: \( I^2 = 23.2\% \); \( P = 0.260 \) for Q-test). Meanwhile, no heterogeneity was observed in the subgroup analyses (data not shown).

The heterogeneity for the dominant (*2/*2 + *1/*2 vs. *1/*1, Fig. 7A), a homozygote comparison (*2/*2 vs. *1/*1) and allelic contrast (*2 vs. *1, Fig. 8A) models of ALDH2 gene were also analyzed, respectively. No marked heterogeneities for the overall data were found in three models (*2/*2 + *1/*2 vs. *1/*1: \( I^2 = 53.4\% \); \( P = 0.092 \) for Q-test; *2/*2 vs. *1/*1: \( I^2 = 0.0\% \); \( P = 0.569 \) for Q-test; *2 vs. *1: \( I^2 = 55.9\% \); \( P = 0.078 \) for Q-test). However, in Asian groups, the results showed statistically conspicuous heterogeneity in dominant model (*2 = 70.3\%, \( P = 0.035 \), Fig. 7A) and allelic contrast model (*2 = 70.3\%, \( P = 0.035 \), Fig. 8A).

**Meta-analysis results**

For the overall data including 313 cases and 2530 controls, results of the meta-analysis for ADH2 showed that the pooled ORs for the dominant, homozygote comparison, and allelic contrast models were 1.56 (95\% CI = 1.42–1.72, \( P = 0.000 \)), 1.63 (95\% CI = 1.55–1.71, \( P = 0.000 \)), and 1.11 (95\% CI = 1.01–1.22, \( P = 0.030 \)), respectively (Figs. 2A, 3A and 4A). These results suggested that ADH2 variations may increase alcoholic chronic pancreatitis susceptibility. Meanwhile, we further performed subgroup analysis on ethnicity and similar results were found in Asians (OR = 1.92, 95\% CI = 1.73–2.13, \( P = 0.000 \) for dominant model; OR = 1.63, 95\% CI = 1.55–1.71, \( P = 0.000 \) for homozygote comparison model; OR = 1.13, 95\% CI = 1.03–1.24, \( P = 0.011 \) for allelic contrast model); and non-Asians (OR = 0.88, 95\% CI = 0.71–1.11, \( P = 0.290 \) for dominant model, data not shown; OR = 0.63, 95\% CI = 0.25–1.58, \( P = 0.322 \) for allelic contrast model) (Figs. 2A, 3A and 4A). Analysis was not suitable for homozygote comparison in non-Asians because all the non-Asian studies were excluded.

The main results of the meta-analysis for ADH3 are listed in Figs. 5A and 6A. For the overall data including 291 cases and 1020 controls, the pooled ORs for the dominant, homozygote comparison, and allelic contrast models were 0.57 (95\% CI = 0.40–0.81, \( P = 0.389 \)) (data not shown), 0.64 (95\% CI = 0.44–0.93, \( P = 0.020 \)), and 0.87 (95\% CI = 0.77–0.99, \( P = 0.039 \)), respectively. A significant decrease in alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk was found in individuals with ADH3 *Z2/*2 genotype (OR = 0.64, 95\% CI = 0.44–0.93, \( P = 0.020 \), Fig. 5A) or *2 allele (OR = 0.87, 95\% CI = 0.77–0.99, \( P = 0.039 \), Fig. 6A). Subgroup analysis on ethnicity showed that in the non-Asian subgroups, a significant decrease in alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk was found in homozygote comparison model (OR = 0.63, 95\% CI = 0.43–0.92, \( P = 0.017 \), Fig. 5A) and allelic contrast model (OR = 0.88, 95\% CI = 0.77–1.00, \( P = 0.049 \), Fig. 6A), while not in dominant model (OR = 0.97, 95\% CI = 0.87–1.07, \( P = 0.500 \), data not shown) and all three models of Asians (OR = 0.87, 95\% CI = 0.54–1.71, \( P = 0.581 \) for dominant model, data not shown; OR = 0.97, 95\% CI = 0.17–5.64, data not shown).
The relationship of alcohol-metabolizing enzymes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ACP</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ACP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matsumoto</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimura</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frenzer</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halina</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yokoyama</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** ADH2, alcohol dehydrogenase 2; ACP, alcoholic chronic pancreatitis.

### Table 2: Distribution of ADH2 genotype among alcoholic chronic pancreatitis cases and controls included in the meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ACP</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ACP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matsumoto</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frenzer</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verlaan</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halina</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** ADH3, alcohol dehydrogenase 3; ACP, alcoholic chronic pancreatitis.

### Table 3: Distribution of ADH3 genotype among alcoholic chronic pancreatitis cases and controls included in the meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ACP</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ACP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matsumoto</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halina</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yokoyama</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** ALDH2, aldehyde dehydrogenase 2; ACP, alcoholic chronic pancreatitis.

### Table 4: Distribution of ALDH2 genotype among alcoholic chronic pancreatitis cases and controls included in the meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ACP</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ACP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matsumoto</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halina</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yokoyama</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>1442</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** ALDH2, aldehyde dehydrogenase 2; ACP, alcoholic chronic pancreatitis.

\[ P = 0.972 \text{ for homozygote comparison, Fig. 5A; OR} = 0.85, 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.52–1.39; P = 0.525 \text{ for allelic contrast, Fig. 6A.} \]

The main results of the meta-analysis for ALDH2 are listed in Figs. 7A and 8A. For the overall data including 320 cases and 2556 controls, the pooled ORs for the dominant, homozygote comparison, and allelic contrast models were 0.57 (95\% CI = 0.40–0.81, P = 0.002) (Fig. 7A), 0.50 (95\% CI = 0.35–0.81, P = 0.079) (data not shown), and 0.58 (95\% CI = 0.41–0.84, P = 0.003, Fig. 8A), respectively. A significant decrease in alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk was found in individuals with ALDH2 *2/*2 + *1/*2 genotypes or *2 allele. Subgroup analysis on ethnicity showed that in the Asians, a significant decrease in alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk was found in dominant model (OR = 0.54, 95\% CI = 0.35–0.82, P = 0.004, Fig. 7A) and allelic contrast model (OR = 0.53, 95\% CI = 0.35–0.81, P = 0.004, Fig. 8A), while not in homozygote...
comparison model (OR = 0.50, 95% CI = 0.23–1.08, P = 0.079, data not shown), and two models of non-Asians (OR = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.33–1.23, P = 0.179 for dominant model, Fig. 7A; OR = 0.72, 95% CI = 0.37–1.43; P = 0.355 for allelic contrast, Fig. 8A) (analysis was not suitable for homozygote comparison in non-Asians because all the studies were excluded).

Sensitivity analysis and bias diagnostics

When the effect models were changed, the significance of the overall data for the three models of ADH2, ADH3 and ALDH2 was not statistically altered (data not shown).

Funnel plots were created to assess possible publication biases (Figs. 2B–8B). The results of funnel plots
and Egger’s tests indicated the absence of publication biases for ADH2 (dominant: $t = 1.35, P = 0.176$; homozygote comparison: $t = -0.68, P = 0.497$; and allelic contrast: $t = -0.19, P = 0.851$) (Figs. 2B, 3B and 4B); ADH3 (dominant: $t = -1.40, P = 0.234$, data not shown; homozygote comparison: $t = -1.05, P = 0.353$, Fig. 5B; and allelic contrast: $t = -1.15, P = 0.312$, Fig. 6B); and ALDH2 (dominant: $t = -2.50, P = 0.130$, Fig. 7B; homozygote comparison: $t = -0.85, P = 0.552$, data not shown; and allelic contrast: $t = -2.95, P = 0.099$, Fig. 8B).
Discussion

Our study showed that ADH2 significantly increased alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk in ADH2*1/*2 + *2/*2, ADH2*2/*2 and ADH2*2 allele. This may be because of different susceptibilities of alcoholism affected by ADH2 polymorphism; the less-active form of ADH2 encoded by ADH2*1/*1 increases the risk of alcoholism compared with the super-active forms of ADH2 encoded by the ADH2*2 allele. Moreover, significantly ethnic differences existed between ADH2 polymorphism and alcoholic pancreatitis risk such that markedly increased risks were found among Asian individuals, but not in non-Asians. ADH2*2/*2 occurs rarely among white populations or Europeans. In the present meta-analysis, among the obtained three studies of non-Asians, the rates of ADH2*2/*2 frequency in both control and alcoholic chronic pancreatitis were 0%; thus, analysis was not suitable for homozygote comparison in non-Asians.

Our results of ADH3 suggested that significantly decreased alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk was found in ADH3*2/*2 allele and ADH3*2 allele but not in ADH3 dominant model. Furthermore, in the subgroup analysis on ethnicity, significantly decreased alcoholic pancreatitis risk was found among non-Asians with ADH3*2/*2 genotype and ADH3*2 allele; but not in Asians. These results may be owing to chance because the limited number of included studies of Asian groups and small sample sizes may give rise to insufficient statistical power to assess a minor effect. Therefore, the results should be interpreted with judgment. Further investigations with more studies and larger sample sizes regarding Asians are needed to clear the possible effects of ADH3 ethnic variations on alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk.

It is reported that functional polymorphism of ALDH2 gene can alter its ethanol-metabolizing activity in pancreatitis. The ALDH2*1/*2 and *2/*2 genotypes are known as protective factors of alcoholism, in pure and alcoholism comorbid with anxiety and depression. In the current study, alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk significantly decreased in ALDH2*2/*2 + *1/*2 genotype and ALDH2*2 allele. But only decreased risk trend was found in ALDH2*2/*2 genotype. Meanwhile, in the subgroup analysis on ethnicity, significantly decreased alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk was found among Asians with ALDH2*2/*2 + *1/*2 genotype and ALDH2*2 allele; but not in non-Asians. There were some reasons for these results. Firstly, the frequency of ALDH2*2/*2 rarely occurs in white population. In our data, the rates of ALDH2*2/*2 frequency in both control and alcoholic chronic pancreatitis of non-Asian groups were 0%; therefore, analysis was not suitable for homozygote comparison in non-Asians. Secondly, only three studies were included and the sample sizes may be a little small, so only increased risk trend was found in ALDH2*2/*2 genotype.

Although the latest studies for ADH2, ADH3 and ALDH2 polymorphisms and alcoholic chronic pancreatitis were included in this meta-analysis, several limitations are presented. First, only published articles were included. Therefore, publication bias may have occurred, though funnel plots did not indicate any significant publication biases. Second, our results were based on unadjusted evaluations of ORs without adjustment for individual’s age and sex, race, due to a lack of original data. Third, obvious heterogeneities were found in analyses for two models of ADH2 and ALDH2, and some underlying heterogeneity may also exist owing to individuals’ age, sex difference and variation in sample sizes, study designs and genotyping methods. Fourth, mixed control groups were used in this study, whose risks of developing pancreatitis may be different regardless of genotype; this may devalue the results of this study. Subgroup analysis on control groups is required, but this meta-analysis did not include sufficient studies to permit such an analysis. Fifth, the very low number of studies available may devalue this meta-analysis, especially the conclusion about ethnic differences (sometimes derived from only one or two studies). In the metabolism of alcohol, it is metabolized to acetaldehyde by ADH and by ALDH2 to acetate. Perhaps both metabolism of alcohol and aldehyde affect the risk of chronic pancreatitis. Thus, large and well-designed studies are needed to confirm these results.

In conclusions, the overall data revealed a significant association of ADH2, ADH3 and ALDH2 polymorphism with alcoholic chronic pancreatitis risk. The subgroup analyses suggested that the variant ADH3*2/*2 genotype and ADH3*2 allele may modify alcoholic chronic pancreatitis susceptibility among non-Asian individuals; and the variant
ADH2*/2/*2 + *1/*2, ADH2/*2 allele and ALDH2*/2/*2 + *1/*2 genotype, ALDH2/*2 allele may modify alcoholic chronic pancreatitis susceptibility among Asians.
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