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vacA genotypes of Helicobacter pylori in the oral cavity and stomach of patients with chronic gastritis and gastric ulcer
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A B S T R A C T

Introduction: Helicobacter pylori adheres to various components of the human saliva. Therefore, the objective of this research was to simultaneously detect H. pylori in saliva and in gastric biopsy, and to determine the agreement between the vacA genotypes in both saliva and gastric biopsy.

Materials and methods: A total of 162 patients with chronic gastritis and 34 with gastric ulcer were studied, and saliva and biopsy samples were collected from each patient. H. pylori DNA was detected by conventional PCR and nested PCR was used for vacA genotyping.

Results: In 24% (47/196) of the patients H. pylori DNA was found in saliva and biopsy: 52.5% (103/196) were saliva\(^{positive}\)/biopsy\(^{positive}\), and 6.6% (13/196) were saliva\(^{positive}\)/biopsy\(^{negative}\). In either or both H. pylori vacAs1m1 or s1m2 genotypes were detected in saliva in 41.5% of the patients with chronic gastritis. Forty-seven percent had >1 genotype, and the s1m1/s1m2 combination was found in 36% of them. H. pylori vacAs1m1 and s1m2 were also found in the saliva and biopsy of patients with gastric ulcer. The genotypes found in saliva and biopsy of the same patient had 51.1% agreement. In 27.6% of the 47 patients saliva\(^{positive}\)/biopsy\(^{positive}\) two genotypes were found in saliva, and one or both in the stomach.

Conclusions: The s1m1/s1m2 genotypes, alone or together, are found simultaneously in saliva and gastric biopsy of the same patient. These results suggest that H. pylori reaches the oral cavity by various ways, and that saliva can be the transmitting and re-infesting vector.

© 2012 Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Genotipos vacA de Helicobacter pylori en cavidad oral y estómago de pacientes con gastritis crónica y úlcera gástrica

R E S U M E N

Introduction: Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) se adhiere a diversos componentes de la saliva humana, por ello, el objetivo de esta investigación fue detectar H. pylori en saliva y biopsia gástrica y determinar la concordancia entre los genotipos vacA encontrados en saliva y estómago del mismo paciente.

Materiales y métodos: Se estudiaron 162 pacientes con gastritis crónica y 34 con úlcera gástrica. De cada paciente se obtuvo una muestra de saliva y biopsia gástrica. El ADN de H. pylori se detectó por PCR convencional y la genotipificación de vacA se hizo por PCR anidada.

Resultados: En el 24% (47/196) de los pacientes se encontró ADN de H. pylori en saliva y biopsia; el 52.5% (103/196) fueron saliva\(^{positive}\)/biopsia\(^{positive}\), y el 6.6% (13/196) resultaron saliva\(^{positive}\)/biopsia\(^{negative}\). En 41.5% de los pacientes con gastritis crónica, se encontró H. pylori vacA s1m1, s1m2 o ambos en saliva. El 47.2% tenían >1 genotipo y en 36% de estos se encontró la combinación s1m1/s1m2. H. pylori vacA s1m1 y s1m2 también se encontró en saliva y biopsia de pacientes con úlcera. El acuerdo entre los genotipos encontrados en saliva y biopsia de los mismos pacientes fue del 51.1%. En el 27.6% de los 47 pacientes saliva\(^{positive}\)/biopsia\(^{positive}\) se encontraron 2 genotipos en saliva, y uno o los 2 también se encontraron en estómago.
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Introduction

The prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection varies with the geographic area and age of the population. In developing countries the prevalence in adults is 80% and in developed countries the prevalence is 20–50%.

The oral cavity can be a natural or a transient reservoir, source of stomach re-infection and person-to-person transmission. *H. pylori* has been isolated from saliva and dental plaque of patients with gastroduodenal diseases. The incidence is higher in children with infected parents, in African children whose mothers previously chew their food and in persons who share toothpicks.

*H. pylori* adheres to sialylated or sulfated MUC5B salivary mucin by means of babA. Therefore, saliva can be the vector for pathogen transmission, and the source of infection and re-infection of the stomach after eradication therapy. The role of *H. pylori* in the oral cavity is still controversial and the detection rate of the bacterium in the mouth is 0–100% in healthy people and in patients with gastric disorders.

The risk for developing gastric cancer is related to the vacA gene and other *H. pylori* virulence genes. The vacA gene, present in all *H. pylori* strains, is polymorphic and the toxin production levels depend on the genotype. *H. pylori* strains with vacA s1/m1 genotype produce high cytotoxin levels, the s1/m2 strains moderate levels and the s2/m2 strains produce little or no toxin. The VacA cytotoxin produced by genotypes vacA s1/m1 or s1/m2 of *H. pylori* causes injury in the gastric epithelium and stimulates an inflammatory process originating gastritis that can develop into chronic gastritis or gastric ulcer.

The vacA gene is widely studied in gastric pathology but there are few reports on its presence in the oral cavity. It is not known if the bacterial allelotypes colonizing the mouth are the most frequently detected in the stomach of patients with gastritis, gastric ulcer or cancer. In Mexico there are no reports of the simultaneous detection of *H. pylori* vacA genotypes in the mouth and the stomach of patients with chronic gastritis and gastric ulcer. The genotyping of *H. pylori* in the mouth will reveal important data concerning the relationship of the mouth and stomach genotypes.

The main goal of this research was to determine simultaneously the prevalence of *H. pylori* and of vacA genotypes in the oral cavity and in the gastric mucosa of patients with chronic gastritis or with gastric ulcer and to establish if there is any agreement between the genotypes detected in the mouth and the stomach.

Materials and methods

Patients

A total of 196 patients with dyspepsia symptoms were subjected to digestive endoscopy at the Specialized Unit of Gastroenterology and Endoscopy in Chilpancingo, Guerrero, Mexico. The included patients were not on anti-microbial treatment, and had not taken proton pump inhibitors or gastric pH neutralizers during the month previous to the endoscopic procedure. The patients with non-steroid anti-inflammatory treatment were excluded from the study. The patients or the parents of young children signed a letter of consent and the project was approved by the Ethics Committee of Guerrero Autonomous University.

Sample collection

Before endoscopy, 2–3 mL saliva samples were collected and placed in sterile propylene flakes containing 10 mM, pH 8.0 Tris, 20 mM, pH 8 EDTA and 5% SDS buffer. The samples were kept at −20 °C until DNA extraction.

After an eight hour fast, the endoscopy was carried out with a video processor and a video gastroscope (Fujiinon, Wayne, NJ, USA). An experienced gastroenterologist-endoscopist evaluated the endoscopy procedure. During endoscopy, two antral biopsies were taken from the stomach of each patient. In some cases the biopsy sections were taken from body and in patients with gastric ulcer, the biopsies were taken from ulcer margin. One of them was immediately placed in formaldehyde for the histopathology study and the other biopsy in the buffer already mentioned (10 mM, pH 8.0 Tris, 20 mM, pH 8 EDTA and 5% SDS) was kept at −20 °C until *H. pylori* diagnosis was achieved. An experienced medical pathologist evaluated the biopsies. The histological diagnosis of patients was established using the criteria described in the classification update Sydney system.

H. pylori detection

Total genomic DNA was obtained from gastric biopsies, from saliva and from bacteria using phenol-chloriform-iso-amyl alcohol method after digestion with proteinase K. *H. pylori* DNA was detected with HP16-219 5′-GCTAAGAGATCAGCCTATGTCC-3′ and HPG16SR 5′-CAATCAGGTCGTAATGTTCGTTCC-3′ oligonucleotides that amplify a segment of the rRNA 16S gene. The PCR reaction mix consisted of 150 ng of total DNA from biopsy or 450 ng from saliva DNA, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 10 pmol of each oligonucleotide and 1 U of *Platinum* Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in a 15 μL final volume. The amplification program included a 5 min 94 °C cycle; 40 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s; at 55 °C for 30 s; at 72 °C for 1 min and one cycle at 72 °C for 7 min. The PCR-amplified products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels. The gels were stained with ethidium bromide and examined under ultraviolet light. Samples were scored as positive when a band of 522 bp could be detected in agarose gel. A patient was considered to be infected with *H. pylori* if the PCR test was positive.

DNA from strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* isolated from gastric biopsies, as well as from *Campylobacter* sp. was assayed with PCR 16S rDNA to confirm the specificity of the primers.

Genotyping of vacA

Nested PCR was used for genotyping the vacA gene in *H. pylori*-positive samples with the primers reported by Koehler et al. In the first amplification round of the signal region the oligonucleotides used were: vacA1F 5′-CTGTTG(T/G)TTAAAGATCGACCGATTTTC-3′ and vacA1R 5′-CATGCGTGGGAATGTAACCGGTGTG(A/G)-3′; for the second round vacA2F 5′-CAAACACACCGGCAAATCATGGCC-3′ and vacA2R were employed. For the m1 allele detection in the first round the following primers were used: m1F1 5′-CAGAATCAAGGACCATGACGACGATCAA(C/T)TA-3′, m1R1 5′-CCGATGCTTTAATGTCACTCAG-3′ and for the second round m1F2 5′-TGGTCGCCAGGGCGGCA(A/C)AAAGT-3′ and m1R2 5′-TCTACGATTTTGCGCAACAAC-3′ were used. For the m2 allele the primers in the
first run were: m2F1 5′-TTTGGAGC(C/T)CCAGGAAACATTG-3′ and m2R1 5′-C(C/T)ACACGCCCATCTTGACAA-3′ and in the second run m2F2 (5′-ACCTTAAA(C/T)AGCAACCGAAGC-3′) and m2R2 (5′-GACAAAAAGATTCCATGGTCGCTT-3′). The PCR for all these assays was: 700 ng total DNA 1 mM of MgCl₂; 0.15 mM of dNTPs (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 10 pmol of each nucleotide and 1 U Platinum® Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

For the first amplification a 3 min 95 °C cycle was followed by 23 cycles; at 95 °C for 40 s; at 58 °C for 40 s at 72 °C for 45 s and a cycle at 72 °C for 3 min. For the second PCR 1 μL of the product from the first reaction was the template and was amplified for 34 cycles. All PCRs were carried out in the above-mentioned conditions. The PCR products were analyzed by 3% agarose gel electrophoresis. A 120 pb band corresponded to the s1 allele, a 150 pb to the s2 allele and a 102 pb band corresponded to m2. For the vacA s1 the agarose gel was 1.5% and a 301 pb band was observed. ATCC43504 strain was used as a positive control for H. pylori and for the vacA s1m1 genotype and sterile deionized water was the negative control. For the m2 region the positive control was DNA from a gastric biopsy containing that allelotype. For all PCR a thermo Mastercycler Ep gradient (Eppendorf, Germany) was used.

Statistical analysis

The Stata V.10.0 computer statistical program was used. Absolute and relative frequencies of the qualitative variables were obtained. The kappa index was used to calculate the concordance of the presence of vacA genotypes of H. pylori in oral cavity and in gastric mucosal. The Chi square test or the exact Fisher’s test was used to compare ratios of qualitative variables and to establish differences among groups. A p ≤ 0.05 value was considered significant.

Results

Of the 196 patients with dyspepsia, 162 (82%) had histopathological diagnosis of chronic gastritis and 34 (17%) of gastric ulcer. The mean age was 45 years old, range of 11–82 years for patients with gastritis. For gastric ulcer patients the mean age was 57 years old, range of 25–83. The age of patients with gastric ulcer was significantly more advanced than that of patients with chronic gastritis (p < 0.001). Female patients predominated (Table 1).

In the 196 patients, H. pylori prevalence was 76.5% in the stomach and 30.6% in saliva. The H. pylori DNA in saliva samples was detected in 32.7% (53/162) of chronic gastritis patients and in 20% (7/34) of gastric ulcer patients (Table 2); 78.3% (47/60) of patients with saliva H. pylori-positive had gastric infection (kappa = 0.018), (Fig. 1). The primers used only amplified the rRNA 16S gene of H. pylori.

The vacA allelic variants were determined in 78.3% (47/60) of patients with saliva H. pylori-positive, Fig. 2. The s1m1 and s1m2 genotypes were detected alone or coexisting in the saliva of 41.5%
Fig. 1. Frequency of H. pylori in the mouth and stomach of patients with chronic gastritis and gastric ulcer.

(22/53) of patients with chronic gastritis and 47.2% (25/53) had more than one vacA genotype; 36% (9/25) had s1m1/s1m2 and 16% (4/25) had s1m1/s2m1. In saliva H. pylori-positive of patients with gastric ulcer vacA s1m1 was found in 28.6% (2/7) of samples and vacA s1m2 was found in 14.3% (1/7). One patient with H. pylori vacA s1m1/s1m2 genotypes in saliva was also found to have s1m1 in stomach (Table 3).

In the stomach of patients with chronic gastritis, H. pylori vacA s1m1 was detected in 43.4% (53/122) and vacA s1m2 was found in 26.2% (32/122). In 15 of the 122 biopsies H. pylori-positive two genotypes were found and 86% (13/15) of them were vacA s1m1/s1m2. In patients with ulcer, 60.7% (17/28) of gastric infections were due to H. pylori vacA s1m1 and 21.4% (6/28) to s1m2 (Table 3). There were eight strains untypeable for the s region and nine strains untypeable for m region. It was not possible to identify vacA genotype in eight biopsies of saliva positive/biopsy positive patients but it was done in 7 of 8 saliva samples. There were significant differences among vacA genotypes found in saliva and gastric biopsies in patients with chronic gastritis (p = 0.001 by Fisher test) and gastric ulcer (p = 0.002 by Fisher test).

Table 3
Frequency of vacA genotypes in patients with chronic gastritis and gastric ulcer positive for H. pylori DNA in saliva and stomach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vacA genotypes</th>
<th>Chronic gastritis</th>
<th>Gastric ulcer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saliva, n = 53</td>
<td>Biopsies, n = 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untypeable</td>
<td>10 (18.9)</td>
<td>23 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typed</td>
<td>43 (81.1)</td>
<td>99 (81.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vacA and m allelotypes s alleles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s1</td>
<td>42 (70.2)</td>
<td>90 (73.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s2</td>
<td>16 (30.2)</td>
<td>23 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m alleles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m1</td>
<td>33 (62.2)</td>
<td>56 (45.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m2</td>
<td>30 (56.6)</td>
<td>53 (43.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotypes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s1m1</td>
<td>4 (7.5)</td>
<td>40 (32.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s2m2</td>
<td>7 (13.2)</td>
<td>19 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s2m1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s1m0</td>
<td>2 (3.8)</td>
<td>18 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s0m2</td>
<td>3 (5.7)</td>
<td>5 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s0m1</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s0m2</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;One genotype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s1m1/s1m2</td>
<td>9 (17.0)</td>
<td>13 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s1m1/s2m2</td>
<td>4 (7.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s1m2/s2m2</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s1, s2/m1, m2a</td>
<td>5 (9.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s2m1/s2m2</td>
<td>2 (3.8)</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s0m1/s0m2</td>
<td>3 (5.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

s0: non-typeable for signal region.

m0: non-typeable for middle region.

* Possible combinations: s1m1, s1m2, s2m1, s2m2.
There was 51.1% (24/47) agreement between genotypes found in biopsy and their corresponding saliva DNA sample in *H. pylori*-positive patients (kappa = 0.095). Two genotypes in saliva and one or both in the stomach were detected simultaneously in 27.6% (13/47) of the patients.

**Discussion**

The five central findings in this study were (1) that in 24% of the patients *H. pylori* DNA was simultaneously found in saliva and gastric biopsy, (2) 6% of the patients were *H. pylori* DNA positive but the same genotype was not found in saliva and gastric biopsy, (3) the presence of 1 vacA genotype is commonly found in saliva and biopsy of the same patient, (4) both s1m1 and s1m2 were the most frequent genotypes in both groups of patients with preponderance of s1m1 in saliva and in gastric biopsy, (5) 51.1% of the saliva positive biopsy positive patients presented the same genotypes in both sites. Our first and second findings suggest that gastric infection is not the only responsible one for the occurrence of *H. pylori* in the oral cavity and it is possible that the bacterium might reach the mouth in various ways besides gastric reflux. These findings are in agreement with the low correlation between gastric infection and the occurrence of *H. pylori* in the mouth, as it has previously been reported.6–9,22,23 Gastric lesions can be caused by factors that predispose to ulceration of the gastric mucosa such as stomach acid, stress, alcohol, tobacco, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), Table 3. Some of these factors were not investigated in this work. We may not rule out the possible participation of *H. pylori* in the start of the diseases and we cannot exclude bias of information about treatment by the patients.

González-Valencia et al.24 reported that gastric infection with more than one vacA genotype of *H. pylori* in the same biopsy is more frequent in Mexican than in other countries and our findings support that observation. The results of the present study show that a significant proportion of saliva samples harbored 1 vacA genotype of *H. pylori* which were found simultaneously in biopsy of the same patient. Okuda et al.25 found the same *H. pylori* strain in both the oral cavity and stomach and showed that the bacterium is trapped in biofilms or macroaggregates with other buccal bacteria and that *H. pylori* adheres to saliva MUC5B mucin.9,22,23 It is likely that different *H. pylori* strains reach the oral cavity by different routes, person to person, vomiting or gastrointestinal reflux, and it is also possible that they stay in saliva and dental plaque long enough to reach the stomach. Our results sustain the hypothesis that oral colonization can be a reservoir of *H. pylori* and source of stomach infection or re-infection.27,23

This research confirms vacA s1m1 and s1m2 are the most frequent genotypes found in the gastric infections of Mexican patients with gastroduodenal pathology.10,26,28,29 vacA s1m1 was found associated with a more severe form of gastric pathology in other studies.10,15,16,26

Fernández-Tilapa et al.2 found s1 and m1 alleles in the oral cavity of asymptomatic patients and in this study their combinations were found in the mouth and in the stomach of patients with chronic gastritis and gastric ulcer. To reach the stomach, *H. pylori* must pass through the mouth and it is likely that interpersonal relationships contribute to person to person transmission.

There were 17 strains untypeable for s or m region. Similar findings have been described previously in Mexican populations, and the possible existence of different subfamilies of vacA26,27 had been suggested. Furthermore, *H. pylori* has at least two copies of 16S and 23S rRNA genes but only one vacA gene.30 Probably, the copies number of vacA gene in the samples could not be detected by nested PCR. On the other hand, it has revealed the existence of indistinguishable genotypes from oral cavity and gastric mucosa samples of the same patient.8 Interestingly, we were able to identify vacA genotypes in saliva DNA but it was not possible to do it in corresponding biopsies *H. pylori*-positives. These findings suggest that search and genotyping of *H. pylori* must be simultaneous in mouth and stomach.

*H. pylori* prevalence in saliva and in gastric biopsy was 30.6% and 75%, respectively, and higher than reported by Morales-Espinosa et al.25 in Mexican patients with gastroesophageal disease as well as that reported by other researchers in various countries.8,22 Amplification of *H. pylori* DNA in oral samples by PCR techniques, that have been used to circumvent the culturing problems, has demonstrated highly variable detection rates ranging from 0% to 100%.6–8,11,23 These discrepancies might be explained by differences such as population origin, number and diagnosis of the patients, methodological differences regarding the collection and processing of samples, oral hygiene habits or fasting condition of the patients at the time of sampling, and specificity of the PCR primers. The detection of *H. pylori* may also be influenced by variations in the number of bacteria in saliva considering the flow and replacement rates.

The geographic distribution of gastric infection by *H. pylori* depends from the interactions between the bacterium and the host as well as the environment. In the present study, for detection of *H. pylori* and the molecular characterization of vacA PCR and nested PCR were used, respectively. The PCR assay has been demonstrated to be more efficient for the detection of *H. pylori* in clinical specimens, compared to the rapid urease test in dental plaque and gastric biopsies, as well as to the histopathological examination of the gastric mucosa.27,8,10,23

The presence of similar vacA genotypes in the mouth and in gastric biopsy of the same patient and oral bacterial colonization in patients without gastric infection suggests a role of the oral cavity in infection and re-infection. In our knowledge, this is the first study that reports the correlation between vacA genotypes in saliva and gastric biopsy of patients with chronic gastritis and gastric ulcer. *H. pylori* infection, alcohol, soda and coffee consumption are risk factors for peptic acid disorders. Nevertheless, we did not find a correlation of these beverages with diagnosis even though they might change the mouth pH and, thus, oral colonization by *H. pylori*.

**Conclusion**

We conclude that vacA s1m1 and s1m2 genotypes alone or in coexistence are most prevalent in saliva and in gastric biopsy of patients with chronic gastritis and gastric ulcer and they are simultaneously found in saliva and in gastric biopsy of the same patient. Even though *H. pylori* is found mainly in the mouth of patients with disease and gastric infection, there is a high number of patients negative for the bacteria in the stomach that harbor *H. pylori* in the mouth. This fact might be an obstacle for bacterial eradication and at the same time a risk for gastrointestinal re-infection after therapy.

Our findings indicate that gastric reflux is not the only route by which *H. pylori* reaches the mouth and that detection and genotyping in mouth and in stomach are complementary tests.
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