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Abstract

Background: The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) identified trends in the prevalence of symptoms of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema over a seven-year period. We hypothesised that environmental influences on the three diseases are different and therefore investigated the correlation over time between trends in the prevalence of these diseases and their combinations at centre and individual level.

Methods: Centre level analyses were correlations between time trends in the prevalence of symptoms. At an individual level, odds ratios were calculated for associations between symptoms between Phases One and Three. We also investigated potential effect modification in the younger versus older age group; male versus female; and by average Gross National Income per capita (GNI).
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Introduction

The International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) epidemiological research programme was established in 1991 because of concern that asthma and allergies were increasing in prevalence and severity, but little was known about the world scale of the problem and the factors affecting prevalence.\(^\text{1}\) ISAAC Phase One found large variations in the prevalence of symptoms of asthma,\(^\text{2}\) rhinoconjunctivitis\(^\text{3}\) and eczema.\(^\text{4}\) In ISAAC Phase Three time trends in these diseases were examined a mean of seven years after Phase One.\(^\text{5-7}\) Most centres showed a change \(\geq 1\) standard error (SE) in prevalence of at least one condition, with increases being twice as common as decreases, and increases more common in the 6–7 year olds than 13–14 year olds.\(^\text{8}\)

We hypothesised that environmental influences on asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema are different and therefore the changes in prevalence over time would vary in an inconsistent manner for each of these diseases – the null hypothesis being that they would remain in the same relationship with each other. In this paper the relationship between trends in prevalence of symptoms of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema and combinations of symptoms are examined at centre level, along with combinations of these symptoms at individual level to answer the question ‘Do worldwide symptoms of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema show the same time trends?’ We also examined whether the relationship between the three diseases within individuals changed over time for the whole population.

Materials and methods

ISAAC Phase Three is a multi-centre multi-country cross-sectional study of two age groups of school children (13–14 year olds and 6–7 year olds) chosen from a sample of schools in a defined geographical area. The study instruments are simple standardised questionnaires with questions on symptoms of asthma, rhinitis and eczema. Each Phase Three centre conducted the study in the same way as Phase One to ensure comparable trend data. The details of the study design and methods are described elsewhere.\(^\text{9}\) Centres obtained ethics approval. This paper reports on those centres which completed an ISAAC Phase Three study 5–10 years after completing Phase One in which the methodology met the ISAAC quality control standards. Only centres which completed both Phase One and Phase Three studies and submitted data for asthma, rhinitis and eczema are the subjects of this paper.

Results: Both phases were completed in 66 centres in 37 countries for the 6–7 year age group and in 106 centres in 56 countries for the 13–14 year age group. We found that the correlations in time trends were stronger for the older age group than the younger one. Between symptoms of diseases, correlations of time trends were the strongest for rhinoconjunctivitis with eczema and weakest for eczema with asthma. The relationship between the three diseases was generally consistent over the seven-year period, and there was little association found with average GNI.

Conclusions: Despite some increase in the proportion of children with symptoms of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema, the pattern between the three diseases has not changed much, suggesting that similar factors may be affecting them at a global level.

© 2011 SEICAP. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.
Changes in asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema

Figure 1  (i–vi) Correlations of time trends (average % change in symptoms per year for Phase One and Phase Three) for each condition with another condition for each age group. Each dot represents a centre. The correlations illustrated are (i) symptoms of asthma and symptoms of rhinoconjunctivitis, 6–7 year age group; (ii) symptoms of asthma and symptoms of eczema, 6–7 year age group; (iii) symptoms of rhinoconjunctivitis and symptoms of eczema, 6–7 year age group; (iv) symptoms of asthma and symptoms of rhinoconjunctivitis, 13–14 year age group; (v) symptoms of asthma and symptoms of eczema, 13–14 year age group; and (vi) symptoms of rhinoconjunctivitis and symptoms of eczema, 13–14 year age group.
diseases over time, we plotted the ratio of the two odds ratios (ORPh3/ORPh1 with 95% confidence intervals (CI)) for the following: 6–7 year age group versus 13–14 year age group for each gender; male versus female gender for each age group; for any one combination of symptoms versus any other combination of symptoms (or versus one symptom) for each age group; and by average Gross National Income per capita (GNI) for each age group by taking the average GNI between 2001 and 1994 for each country. Chi Square tests were undertaken for homogeneity of the ratios of odds ratios in the GNI analyses. GNI was unavailable in either year for Taiwan, and for 1994 in four other centres where the nearest available year was used (1995 for Iran and Kuwait, 1996 for Isle of Man and 2000 for Channel Islands).

Results

The median time period between phases was seven years (range 5–10 years) with Phase One data collection between 1991 and 1998 (mostly 1994–1995) and Phase Three between 1999 and 2004 (mostly 2002–2003). In Phase Three there were 193,404 participants in the 6–7 year age group from 66 centres in 37 countries and 304,679 participants in the 13–14 year age group from 106 centres in 56 countries, with mean response rates of 84.8% and 90.9%, respectively.

The results for change per year for prevalence of symptoms of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema have been reported elsewhere.

Firstly we considered the correlations of time trends in each disease at a centre level shown in Fig. 1(i–vi). Disease trends were more highly correlated in the older age group than the younger. Within each age group, correlations were highest for time trends of symptoms of rhinoconjunctivitis with symptoms of eczema; intermediate for time trends of symptoms of rhinoconjunctivitis with symptoms of asthma; and lowest for time trends of symptoms of eczema with symptoms of asthma. There was very little correlation between time trends of symptoms of eczema and symptoms of asthma in the younger age group.

Secondly, we examined the inter-relationship between the three diseases at an individual level, across all centres combined. Scaled rectangle diagrams of the prevalence in all the participating children of current symptoms and their combinations drawn in Fig. 2(i–iv) showed that the pattern of intersection between the three diseases was similar in each phase for each age group.

Thirdly, we assessed how the relationship between symptoms of each disease, measured at the individual level, had changed over time in centres of varying affluence (GNI). Fig. 3(i–vi) shows that the associations changed in a similar manner in countries of all levels of GNI (low to high income).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>ii</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80.7% No current symptoms</td>
<td>78.1% No current symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current symptoms of asthma</td>
<td>Current symptoms of rhinoconjunctivitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>iii</th>
<th>iv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>74.1% No current symptoms</td>
<td>72.2% No current symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current symptoms of asthma</td>
<td>Current symptoms of rhinoconjunctivitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2  (i–iv) The relationships at the individual level of symptoms of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis, and eczema for each age group in Phase One and Phase Three. The outermost rectangle represents the whole population. The plots presented are for (i) Phase One, 6–7 year age group; (ii) Phase Three, 6–7 year age group; (iii) Phase One, 13–14 year age group; and (iv) Phase Three, 13–14 year age group.
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between Phase One and Phase Three. The general pattern was for little change in the patterns of association. However, in the younger age group, for all comparisons, there were more centres with weaker associations between the diseases in Phase Three than Phase One, across the range of country level GNI. The Chi Square statistic for homogeneity showed no evidence for variation between centres (p values of 0.97–1.00).

Finally, no consistent underlying patterns were found for analyses by gender, comparison of the two age-groups, or for any one combination of symptoms versus any other another combination or versus one symptom.
Discussion

This is the first worldwide study of changes over time in the relationship between symptoms of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema at both ecological and individual levels. This study has wide relevance because it has included 106 centres in 56 countries from all world regions and low, middle and high income countries, with a wide range of symptom prevalence values and changes over time using the same methodology.6

There was little association found with average GNI as the associations changed in a similar manner in countries of all income levels. The analyses by GNI were done at country level, because GNI is a country only estimate. However GNI may mask large socioeconomic inequalities within countries, with high proportions of people living in greater economic deprivation than the GNI would indicate because the greater part of income share is held by a minority.10,11 Thus GNI may not reflect the situation occurring in population subsets as suggested by cases where there is important variation in prevalence found between centres in the same city (e.g. Mexico City). The country level symptom prevalence for comparisons with GNI was estimated from the average of centre prevalence with few national samples included. We recognise that country level prevalence thus obtained may not be a true reflection of country prevalence. This approach has also been used in other ISAAC analyses.12–16 Thus caution is needed in interpreting this data.

Our findings do not support our hypothesis that environmental influences on asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema are different and therefore the changes in prevalence over time would vary in an inconsistent manner for each of these diseases, but rather supported the null hypothesis which stated that the three diseases would remain in the same relationship to each other. The finding that the relationship between the three diseases has been relatively consistent over the seven years is interesting and intriguing. It could be that the three diseases regulate themselves for prevalence and severity, and by coincidence have remained in the same relationship (we have only two time points, and only a 5–10 year period of observation). Alternatively the findings suggest that whatever factors underlie the changing prevalence of symptoms of the three diseases, they may be similar and may have been operating across the globe, in centres and countries with diverse economic and cultural environments, suggesting they are mainly determined by environmental rather than genetic factors. This is of particular interest, since it is now known that these diseases are linked not only through atopic processes but also by non-atopic processes, and the non-atopic processes are more influential in low and middle income countries.12,15,16 These results confirm the importance of further investigation of non-atopic factors.

As previously reported, the prevalence of symptoms more commonly increased than decreased over a seven-year period for each of the conditions. The relationship for the changes over time in the three diseases showed some variation. We would have predicted that correlations between symptoms of eczema and rhinoconjunctivitis which are more commonly regarded as atopic diseases would be stronger than those with asthma which is less commonly atopic. Within age groups we found this to be the case as judged by the correlation coefficients of centre level data. Furthermore, we would have predicted that these correlations would be stronger in the older than younger age group based on the assumption that asthma in younger children is more influenced by non-atopic factors, such as viral infections, than in older children. Again, this is supported by the correlation coefficients of centre level data where the relationship was weaker in the younger than the older age group.

No strong underlying patterns were found for analyses by gender, comparison of the two age-groups, or one combination of symptoms versus another combination or versus one symptom. The stronger relationship for changes over time in symptoms of rhinoconjunctivitis with eczema in the older rather than the younger age group suggests that these two diseases may have more commonality than with asthma, whereas previously the three diseases have been strongly linked in the literature17 which is based mainly on research in children from high income countries. Alternatively rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema symptoms may be more noticeable by adolescents than asthma symptoms, particularly in those with mild asthma symptoms.

In summary, in this worldwide study of centres in low to high income countries we have shown that little has changed in the inter-relationship of symptoms of asthma, rhinoconjunctivitis and eczema, despite changes in global prevalence.
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