ibuprofen was positive in a residual lesion, while patch test with the same drug was negative in upper back. We were curious to know if our patient was sensitised to the rest of the propionic acid group, but due to the small area affected skin, we could not test these additional drugs. Therefore all propionic acid group drugs were forbidden. The patient tolerated acetylsalicylic acid, and then we recommended the use of the rest of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Díaz-Jara et al. described two children, one of them with a fixed drug eruption after the ingestion of ibuprofen. Patch test was positive with ibuprofen on the residual lesion. Kanwar et al. analysed 98 cases of fixed drug eruption and in only six of these ibuprofen was the responsible drug. The diagnosis was performed by provocation tests.

Kuligowski et al. described a patient with a multiple fixed drug eruption with mucosa affection due to ibuprofen. Patch test was positive on affected skin.

In summary, we report a case of fixed drug eruption due to ibuprofen that was confirmed by positive patch test and skin biopsy. The patient tolerated acetylsalicylic acid, therefore all propionic acid group drugs were forbidden.
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**Injection site reaction to adalimumab: Positive skin test and successful rapid desensitisation**

*To the Editor,*

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic and systemic autoimmune disease capable of causing substantial joint damage and disability. Anti-TNF therapeutic agents, such as etanercept, infliximab, and adalimumab, have been reported to be effective treatment alternatives in RA patients who are not well-controlled by conventional anti-rheumatic drugs. Both immediate and delayed type hypersensitivity reactions have been described with etanercept and infliximab since they are considered immunogenic. However, the administration of adalimumab, as a fully humanised anti-TNF-α, has not been expected to cause immune mediated reactions but it has been associated with both a variety of dermatological reactions, including injection site reaction (ISR) or systemic hypersensitivity reactions. We describe a patient who presented with progressive ISR to adalimumab (Humira®) injections, with positive skin test and successful subcutaneous rapid desensitisation.

A 26-year-old woman had an eight-year history of RA, having received hydroxychloroquine, oral steroid, methotrexate, and sulfasalazine without significant benefit and was switched to 100 mg of infliximab, infusion twice monthly. She responded very well to infliximab, but experienced a decrease in the efficacy of the drug after seven years. She was then started on 40 mg/0.8 ml adalimumab subcutaneous injections, twice monthly, with significant improvement. She tolerated injections for five months and then developed pruritus, redness, and swelling 4 cm × 4 cm in diameter at the site of injection within 1 hour of the 11th injection. The redness and swelling lasted 4–5 days before gradual resolution. A second and third injection of adalimumab again resulted in pruritus, redness, and
swelling 8 cm × 8 cm and 8 × 10 in diameter, respectively, at the site of injection. The timing of the appearance and resolution of these reactions mimicked the first reaction. She denied any systemic reaction. Prick testing with adalimumab (50 mg/ml) was negative but intradermal testing with 1/1000 dilution resulted in a 6 mm × 6 mm wheal, along with a 20 mm × 25 mm flare and no late reactions (histamine control was 6 mm × 8 mm along with 17 mm × 20 mm flare) (Fig. 1). Five healthy controls were negative on intradermal testing to this dilution.

Given the success of adalimumab and the lack of response to other drugs, a six-step rapid desensitisation protocol was generated as shown in Table 1. Informed consent was obtained from the patient before desensitisation and resuscitation equipment was kept ready at the bedside. Oral Benadryl 25 mg × 1 and famotidine 20 mg × 1 were given 30 minutes prior to the desensitisation. The patient received initially doubling doses starting at 1 mg of adalimumab and ending at 24 mg of the drug and the cumulative dose for the desensitisation was 55 mg. Subsequently the patient received 40 mg at each treatment, which is the recommended therapeutic dose. The initial dose was slightly higher, to generate pharmacokinetic blood levels that could sustain the desensitisation. During desensitisation, the patient reported local pruritus, redness, and a swelling 8 cm × 8 cm in diameter within 4–5 hours after the last dose of the drug; for this reason, twice-daily Zyrtec was also added on the day of desensitisation. The desensitisation protocol was administered in the inpatient department for the first two injections. The patient was maintained on weekly adalimumab self-injection for three months with Benadryl 25 mg and twice-daily Zyrtec. Since she experienced no reactions for the first three months, the adalimumab injections were spaced out to every other week. She now reports mild redness and small local reactions, which are 2 cm × 3 cm in diameter, at the injection site that resolve within one day. As a complementary evaluation, the patient was re-tested nine months after the procedure, and she still reacted to 1/100 dilution intradermally with 6 mm × 6 mm wheal and 20 mm × 20 mm erythema, indicating continued sensitisation with clinical symptoms suppressed at the time of the desensitisation, and two controls were negative at 1/100 dilution, indicating the lack of non-specific reactivity.

Injection site reactions are common clinical presentations of subcutaneous administered anti-TNF drugs. Studies about immunological mechanisms, which underlie injection site reactions, are few and far-between and the immune mechanism underlying ISRs to anti-TNF drugs continue to be unresolved.6,7 Most ISRs are thought to be an example of T lymphocyte-mediated delayed type hypersensitivity reactions5; however, the underlying mechanism may be Tn2-mediated and continued treatment may not always be advisable.7 In keeping with this, skin tests have been found positive in some patients with ISRs to etanercept.3 There are only two reports assessing the immunological mechanism of ISRs to adalimumab.3,6 In one of them, intradermal tests with adalimumab were only positive at late reading, with a negative in the prick test suggesting a cell-mediated reaction in two cases of ISRs to adalimumab.3 In contrast, the other study, for the first time, suggested an IgE-mediated immediate type hypersensitivity by demonstrating positivity on skin prick, an early reading intradermal test, and histamine release assay in two patients with progressively worsening ISRs as each subsequent injection of adalimumab resulted in a more prominent oedema and erythema around the injection site which developed more rapidly.8 Similarly, our patient showed progressively more severe ISR and positivity at the immediate reading of the intradermal test, supporting an immediate and possible IgE-mediated immune reaction. Desensitisation is a promising method for the delivery of monoclonal antibodies after a moderate or severe immediate type hypersensitivity reaction in which there is no suitable alternative.9 Recently, desensitisation to ISR, for the first time, was reported by our group in a patient with ISR to etanercept.1 Desensitisation to adalimumab after a systemic reaction such as generalised urticaria, rhinitis, and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Dose (mg)</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Volume (ml)</th>
<th>Cumulative dose (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 mg/ml</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 mg/ml</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40 mg/ml</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40 mg/ml</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40 mg/ml</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40 mg/ml</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
anaphylaxis has been previously reported in just two case reports, but desensitisation to ISR to adalimumab has never been reported and, to our knowledge, our case is the first report of subcutaneous desensitisation with adalimumab for ISR.

In conclusion, subcutaneous rapid desensitisation may be a valid alternative for patients with IgE-mediated ISR to adalimumab.
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Long-term selective IgE-mediated hypersensitivity to hydrocortisone sodium succinate

To the Editor,

A clinical problem in patients who have experienced an immediate-type allergic reaction to a medication is represented by how long the persistence of the IgE-mediated allergic sensitisation is. It seems to be different for every drug, ranging from a period of 8–10 years in case of the beta-lactams to up to 29 years for the neuromuscular blockers. Systemic glucocorticoids, as widely reported in literature, can induce immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions, although they are underestimated in clinical practice yet. Currently, the persistence of allergic sensitisation to systemic corticosteroids is unknown, because of the limited number of patients who experience immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions towards these drugs. For that reason, probably, a similar follow-up study has never previously been performed. We observed the case of a patient whose clinical history reported two previous anaphylactic reactions immediately after administration of hydrocortisone hemisuccinate occurred 12 years earlier and who still showed the persistence of serum specific IgE to hydrocortisone.

A 76-year-old female patient not atopic, suffering from chronic bronchitis came to our observation for a bronchial exacerbation with cough and moderate dyspnoea. The