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Plasma paraoxonase, oxidative status level, and their relationship with asthma control test in children with asthma
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Abstract

Background: Assessment of asthma with a control test has been suggested as a relevant approach in recent years. However, no biomarker of systemic inflammation has been included in the assessment of asthma control.

Objective: To evaluate plasma paraoxonase (PON1), total oxidant status (TOS), and total antioxidant status (TAS) levels in children with asthma according to the disease control, and the performance in the identification of uncontrolled patients.

Methods: Stable asthmatic children (n = 85) and healthy controls (n = 55) were recruited for this study. Blood samples were collected for plasma PON1, TOS, and TAS measurements. Any contributing factors that may affect plasma PON1, TAS, and TOS levels were excluded from both groups. The diagnostic potential of these measures was evaluated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis.

Results: Comparing the asthmatic children with the control group, plasma TAS and TOS levels were significantly higher (TAS; 6.9 ± 2.1, 1.05 ± 0.32, P < 0.001, and TOS; 12.5 ± 3.4, 5.5 ± 3.8, P < 0.001, respectively) and PON1 level was significantly lower (156.5 ± 55, 298.6 ± 87.6, respectively, P < 0.001) in the asthmatic group than controls. In ROC analysis, PON1 presented an AUC 0.679 and TOS presented an AUC 0.645 for the identification of uncontrolled asthma, respectively. Asthma Control Test (ACT) presented an AUC of 0.972 for the identification of uncontrolled asthma.

Conclusion: PON1 and TOS levels may be systemic markers of uncontrolled asthma in children. Combined use of these two biomarkers with asthma control test may identify patients with uncontrolled asthma in children.
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Introduction

Although the large surface area and high exposure to atmospheric oxygen increase the lungs’ susceptibility to oxidative injury, these organs are equipped with strong defences to counteract the oxidative insult (e.g. asthma is a chronic inflammatory lung disease that is worsened by increased oxidative stress). Increased levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as hydroxyl radicals, superoxides and peroxides can lead to increased airway reactivity and secretions, and increased vascular permeability, which collectively augment the existing inflammation that is a hallmark of asthma. The mechanisms responsible for both remodeling and the inflammation are incompletely understood, but some clinical studies indicate that inflammation is related to disease severity. Despite longstanding use, the classification of severity is no longer recommended except in newly diagnosed patients; instead, the assessment of asthma control tests is reported as a relevant approach according to recent guidelines. Ideally, asthma control tests should include not only patient-reported clinical manifestations of the inflammatory process, but also laboratory markers that indicate inflammation and pathophysiologic features of the disease as well. Subclinical inflammation may precede actual clinical impairment so that conventional measures may be insufficient to detect the inflammatory component of adverse health effects. Therefore, there is a need for objective evaluation of asthma symptoms and control, suggesting a possible role for biomarkers of airway inflammation. Unfortunately, objective measurement cannot be performed due to its prohibitive cost and the unavailability of confirmed markers or measures that definitively indicate inflammation.

Oxidised low-density lipoprotein (Ox-LDL) is a parameter closely associated with atherosclerosis. It is also suggested to be involved in the aetiology of many other diseases (e.g. chronic inflammatory diseases of the airways and includes other Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) diseases). Leukotrienes, known to be involved in asthma pathogenesis, are synthesised from arachidonic acid (AA) through the action of lipoxygenase. Because the leukotriene pathway is stimulated by ROS upon exposure to AA, a relationship may exist between LDL formation and the development of Asthma. Paraoxanase (PON1) is another component, which is known to retard the oxidation of LDL by preventing the generation of lipid peroxides. Serum PON1 is synthesised mainly by the liver that circulates serum in association with high-density lipoprotein (HDL). Additionally, it contributes to detoxification of organophosphorous compounds, including the pesticide paraaxon. In this study, aside from the members of lipid and lipoprotein profile, PON1 enzyme activities were determined in children with asthma because of their close association with lipoprotein metabolism as well as their antioxidant property. The aim of this study was to evaluate plasma PON1, total oxidant status (TOS), and total antioxidant status (TAS) levels in atopic asthmatic children and to investigate the correlation with the atopy, level of disease control and the frequency of attacks.

Methods

Subjects and study design

We conducted a prospective case control study among 85 children diagnosed with stable mild-to-moderate atopic asthma from the authors’ Paediatric Allergy-Pulmonology outpatient clinic and who were enrolled consecutively between October 2009 and December 2010. The diagnosis and severity of asthma were defined according to GINA guidelines. Children were defined as asthmatic according to the following criteria: (a) recurrent episodes of at least one symptom of asthma, including cough, wheezing, breathlessness, and chest tightness; (b) an improvement of at least 12% in baseline forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) after bronchodilator use; (c) a total serum Ig E level of over 52 IU/mL determined by direct chemiluminescence, and a positive skin test for at least one allergen. Informed consent was given by the family of the patients.

Eighty-five subjects, aged 7–14 years, with stable asthma who had been attending the Paediatric Allergy Unit of Medical Faculty of Bezmialem Bakif University were recruited for this case-control study. Exacerbation of asthma was defined as episodes of progressive increase in shortness of breath, cough, chest tightness, or combination of these symptoms. After selecting the group, age, gender, duration of asthma, lung function tests, the frequency of attacks per year, daytime symptoms, the need for rescue treatment, and the medication used were all recorded. A family history of atopy was considered positive if atopy was present in parents and/or siblings (bronchial asthma, allergic rhinitis, atopic dermatitis). The patients also underwent skin-prick tests. If a wheal response of ≥3 mm in diameter developed after 15 min to one or more of the allergens in the presence of both negative (0.9% saline) and positive (histamine acid phosphate) controls, the test was considered as a positive response. Patients with clinical signs of asthma who had a positive skin prick test results were included in the atopic asthma groups. Exclusion criteria for the patient group were as follows: any infection or asthma exacerbation within the previous four weeks; the use of antihistaminic, oral or parenteral steroid within the previous four weeks; any allergic co-morbidity such as allergic rhinitis, and atopic dermatitis; and any systemic disease such as acute or chronic liver disease, etc. The control group consisted of 55 age-matched healthy children (6–14 years). Healthy children were chosen from those referred to the paediatric out-patient clinic for routine pre-op check-ups for their elective surgery (inguinal hernia, tonsillectomy, etc.). Control patients were evaluated with regard to chronic and/or severe infections, autoimmune disorders, and familial and personal history of atopy, and also by laboratory tests. Children were included in the control group if they had no personal and familial history of atopy and no signs of atopic disorder, and if they were negative for skin prick test. As smoking effects oxidative status, patients came from non-smoking households, and the control group was also selected from non-smoking households. Patients taking antioxidant drugs, vitamins, diuretics, hormone replacement therapy and those who smoked were also excluded from study. Blood samples were collected from...
the patient group for whole blood count, serum IgE level, plasma PON1, TAS and TOS level, and only plasma PON1, TAS and TOS level measurement from the control group. Whole blood count and serum IgE level measurement were analysed via the chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay method at Immulite 2000 automated analyser (Immulate 2000, DPC, LA, CA., USA).

**Evaluation of asthma control**

Asthma control was evaluated according to GINA guidelines, by one paediatrician with special interest in Paediatric Allergy (HA) who was blinded to plasma of PON1, TAS and TOS level results.

**Samples**

Blood samples were obtained following overnight fasting. Blood samples were collected into empty tubes and immediately stored on ice at 4 °C. The serum was then separated from the cells by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 min. Serum samples were stored at −80°C until required for PON1, TAS and TOS analysis.

**Paraoxonase activity**

Paraoxonase activities were measured according to a method previously described by Furlong et al.\textsuperscript{17} P-Nitrophenol was measured using paraoxonase. The rate of paraoxon hydrolysis was measured by monitoring the increase of absorbance at 412 nm at 37 °C. The amount of generated p-nitrophenol was calculated from the molar absorptivity coefficient at pH 8, which was 17,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. Parnaoxonase activity was expressed as U/L serum (one unit is the number of micromoles of paraoxon hydrolysed per minute).

**Measurement of the total anti-oxidant status (TAS) of plasma**

Serum samples for measurement of TAS and TOS levels were stored at −80°C until they were used. TAS of serum was determined using an automated method.\textsuperscript{18} In this method, hydroxyl radical, the most potent biological radical, is produced. The anti-oxidant effect of the sample against the potent free-radical reactions, which are initiated by the hydroxyl radicals produced, is measured. The assay has excellent precision values of lower than 3%. The results are expressed as millimoles of trolox per litre.

**Measurement of total oxidative status (TOS) of plasma**

TOS of plasma was determined using an automated measurement method, developed by Erel.\textsuperscript{19} Oxidants present in the sample oxidise the ferrous ion-o-dianisidine complex to ferric ion. The ferric ion makes a coloured complex with xylenol orange in an acidic medium. The colour intensity, which can be measured spectrophotometrically, is related to the total amount of oxidant molecules in the sample. The results are expressed in terms of micromolar hydrogen peroxide equivalent per litre (µmol H₂O₂ Eq./L).

**Ethical approval**

The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice and was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Vakif Gureba Hospital, and informed consent was obtained from parents or legal guardian of participants.

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS programme (v11.5, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical analysis. Results were presented as mean ± SD and percentiles. Differences in parametric and non-parametric values were tested with Student's t-test and Mann Whitney U test, respectively. Categorical variables were compared with Chi-square test. Kruskal–Wallis tests were used for non-parametric analysis. For the comparison of three groups, one-way ANOVA test was used. If the value of P was <0.05 in those tests, post hoc comparison tests were used for the analysis. A receiver operating characteristic curve for the assessment of the predictive value of PON1, TAS, and TOS levels on uncontrolled disease was performed and the area under curve was calculated. Correlation was investigated with Pearson tests for parametric values and Spearman correlation tests for non-parametric values. A value of P < 0.05 was considered a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

The demographic and clinical parameters of the study population are shown in Table 1. There were no differences between the groups with regard to age, sex, and body mass index standard deviation score (BMI SDS). When the asthmatic subjects were compared with the control group, plasma PON1 levels were significantly lower \( (P < 0.05) \). In addition, TAS and TOS levels in the children with asthma were significantly higher than in the control group \( (P < 0.05) \) (Table 2).

**PON1, TAS and TOS values according to asthma control**

Patients’ demographics and parameters related to asthma controls are presented in Table 3. Patients with partially controlled asthma had higher TAS and TOS and lower PON1 levels compared to those with controlled asthma. Uncontrolled asthma was associated with lower PON1 levels, \( [r = 0.340; P = 0.002] \), higher TAS levels, \( [r = 0.449; P = 0.04] \), and higher TOS levels, \( [r = 0.358; P = 0.01] \), compared to controlled asthma. PON1, TAS, and TOS levels in children with uncontrolled asthma were statistically different from those with controlled asthma, but did not differ from those with partly controlled disease.
Table 1  Some clinical and demographic characteristics of children with asthma and non-allergic controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Children with asthma</th>
<th>Controls (n = 55)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>50/35</td>
<td>35/20</td>
<td>0.54a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.43a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum total IgE (IU/mL)</td>
<td>385 ± 55.7</td>
<td>50 ± 15.3</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin test sensitisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils/mm³ (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>375 ± 112</td>
<td>101 ± 86</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Pearson chi-square.

b Independent samples t test.

BMI: body mass index.

Table 2  Paraoxonase, total antioxidant status and total oxidative status levels in patients and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Children with asthma (n = 85)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 55)</th>
<th>Pa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total antioxidant status (mmol of trolox/L)</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total oxidative status (µmol H₂O₂/L)</td>
<td>12.5 ± 3.4</td>
<td>5.5 ± 3.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraoxonase (U/L)</td>
<td>156.5 ± 55</td>
<td>298.6 ± 87.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Independent samples t test.

The values represent the mean ± SD.

Diagnostic performance of PON1, TAS, TOS, and FEV₁ for the identification of not uncontrolled asthma

The diagnostic performance of plasma PON1, TAS, TOS levels and ACT and FEV₁ results for the identification of patients with uncontrolled asthma is presented in Table 3 and the corresponding ROC curves are shown in Fig. 1. PON1 provided a positive predictive values (PPV) of 0.85 at a cut-point of 151 U/L, whereas TAS provided a PPV of 0.87 for a cut-off point of <8.6 mmol/L, and TOS provided a PPV 0.83 for a cut-off point of ≥11. According to the ROC analyses, PON1 provided relatively higher sensitivity and specificity than TOS. Additionally, FEV₁ (% predicted) values ≤70 provide PPV >0.86 for identification of not uncontrolled asthma.

According to these ROC results, serum PON1 and FEV₁ were both nearly equal for providing PPV. However, the diagnostic performance of these two biomarkers and their combination was inferior to ACT [for a cut-point of <19, (AUC 0.972, 95% CI 0.890–0.985) (Fig. 1). Spearman correlation analysis results pointed out that the two independent variables that cause increased plasma TAS/TOS, and decreased PON1 levels were the attack frequency per year (r = −0.59; P < 0.001) and uncontrolled disease (r = −0.89; P = 0.001).

Discussion

We have shown that plasma PON1, TAS, and TOS levels – easily performed blood biomarkers – are related to the level of asthma control in children. We have also observed

Table 3  Comparison of patients' demographics and parameters according to the level of asthma control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controlled Group I N = 29</th>
<th>Partly controlled Group II N = 31</th>
<th>Uncontrolled Group III N = 25</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Post hoc P &lt; 0.05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>11 ± 4</td>
<td>12 ± 5</td>
<td>12 ± 4</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>17/12</td>
<td>18/13</td>
<td>13/12</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of asthma (years)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>5.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum IgE levels (IU/mL)</td>
<td>305 ± 92</td>
<td>347 ± 119</td>
<td>330 ± 125</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma TAS (mmol of trolox/L)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>I vs III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma TOS (µmol H₂O₂/L)</td>
<td>9.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>12 ± 3.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 4.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>I vs II,III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma PON1 (U/L)</td>
<td>200.6 ± 83.6</td>
<td>185.5 ± 55.7</td>
<td>152 ± 78.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>I vs III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>23 (20–24)</td>
<td>17 (16–20)</td>
<td>11 (10–19)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>I vs II,III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (% pred.)</td>
<td>89 ± 15</td>
<td>80 ± 16</td>
<td>73 ± 19</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>I vs II,III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TAS: total antioxidant status; TOS: total oxidative status; ACT: asthma control test; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; NS: not significant. The values represent the mean ± SD.
that the PON1 activity of the asthmatic children was lower than in the control group. Additionally, plasma TAS and TOS levels were significantly higher in asthmatic patient than controls. In contrast with the studies by Ekmekci et al.\textsuperscript{19} and Görnicka et al.,\textsuperscript{20} the acquired information about relationship between oxidative stress and paraoxonase activity indicates that lower plasma PON1 level and higher plasma TOS level are closely associated with uncontrolled disease for asthma in children. Also, Can et al.\textsuperscript{21} observed that asthmatic patients had increased paraoxonase activity after treatment. Evidence of increased paraoxonase activity in asthmatic patients after treatment may indicate that paraoxonase could play a role in asthma. A recent study also confirmed the decreased levels of PON1 associated with plasma TAS and TOS levels inversely.\textsuperscript{22} The acquired information about the relationship between oxidative stress and paraoxonase indicates that paraoxonase may play a role in the pathogenesis of allergic inflammation. We have shown that serum PON1 values >151 U/L exclude controlled asthma with an NPV of 0.85. Our study adds to this field as it provides data on the levels of PON1 that may be of clinical importance in the assessment of asthma control in everyday clinical practice.

Elevated levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as hydroxyl radicals, superoxides, and peroxides are capable of producing a variety of pathological changes that are highly relevant in airway mucosa. These include lipid peroxidation, increased airway reactivity, increased airway mucosal sensitivity, and secretions, production of chemoattractant molecules, and increased vascular permeability.\textsuperscript{23,24} These changes cause airway obstruction, impair ciliary motility, increase the viscosity of airway mucus and damage the airway epithelium, which are crucial for the development of inflammatory airway diseases such as asthma. The evidence for oxidative injury in allergic subjects comes from both systemic and local studies.\textsuperscript{25,26} Locally, increased production of ROS was shown for eosinophils and macrophage in the airways, and elevated hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide levels have also been noted in the exhaled breath of patients with asthma.\textsuperscript{27,28}

Increased production of ROS leading to an imbalance between the oxidative forces and the antioxidant defence system favouring an oxidative injury has been implicated in the pathogenesis of asthma. The antioxidant pathways that form the major line of defence against the oxidative insult within the lung can be categorically divided into enzymatic and non-enzymatic system.\textsuperscript{29} Due to very short half-lives of ROSs it is practically impossible to measure ROSs directly, so indirect measurements of scavenger antioxidant enzymes, such as PON1, are used to evaluate oxidant stress.\textsuperscript{5,12}

TAS and TOS have never been previously evaluated in the assessment of asthma control in children. Excessive production of oxidants occurs spontaneously or after stimulation in blood leukocytes of stable asthmatic patients compared with normal subjects.\textsuperscript{30} Analysis of TAS and TOS is an automated measurement method, developed by Erel,\textsuperscript{18} and it is likely to show the systemic production of free radicals. This method, hydroxyl radical, which is the most potent biological radical, is produced. In our study, TAS and TOS increased together in children with asthma. The increase in plasma TAS and TOS levels in children with asthma in our study supports findings of Cakmak et al.,\textsuperscript{21} but some of the differences should be mentioned. First, plasma TAS and TOS levels were not evaluated with the disease severity and duration in that study but we found positive correlation between plasma TAS and TOS levels and asthma control test, which may reflect airway inflammation. We did not investigate any relationship between plasma TAS, TOS, and PON1 levels and inflammatory cells in the intravascular compartment including eosinophils, lymphocytes, neutrophils and monocytes because that was not related to our hypothesis. Our study results showed that the performance of TAS was superior to that of TOS in uncontrolled asthmatic children. This acquired information adds to this field as it provides data on the levels of TOS rather than TAS that may be of clinical importance in the assessment of asthma control in everyday clinical practice.

This is the first study to our knowledge that evaluates cut-off points of TAS and TOS for the identification of asthma control. It should be noted that, in a similar manner to PON1, this is at the cost of low NPVs, suggesting that TAS and TOS alone, are not useful for the identification of well-controlled asthma in children. TAS and TOS have been related to levels of oxidative stress in children with asthma,\textsuperscript{21,22} further supporting a possible role of TAS/TOS levels in confirming true loss of control in children with asthma, as suggested by our data. Inaccurate perception of symptoms is often found in children with asthma.\textsuperscript{21} Therefore, the need for biomarkers that accurately identify patients with uncontrolled asthma is imperative, especially in children, and serum PON1, TAS and TOS levels may provide useful information for this age-group. The performance of ACT was superior to that of serum PON1, TAS, and TOS and their combination in our study, yet it was inferior and cannot substitute the clinical evaluation by clinicians with special interest children with asthma.

Despite the invasive nature of this test, it offers some advantages over invasive techniques such as bronchial
lavage, induced sputum eosinophil measurement, and bronchial biopsy. Biomarkers of airway inflammation are under evaluation for the guidance of asthma management. Studies have shown that treatment strategies aiming at normalisation of induced sputum eosinophils may reduce exacerbations, without the need for additional anti-inflammatory treatment. However, sputum induction is time-consuming and requires a good practical compliance especially in children. The fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) has been widely evaluated as a marker of eosinophilic airways inflammation, since it is readily measured and is responsive to changes in inhaled corticosteroid doses. However, studies using FeNO guidance for asthma treatment in children have been controversial, and this may reflect differences in design and the fact that cut-off points used may reflect values from a normal population instead of the population of interest.

In epidemiological studies, pulmonary function tests are considered to be an important diagnostic tool of the presence of airway inflammation and bronchial hyperresponsiveness in asthmatic patients. Specific guideline-defined spirometric measures used to classify asthma severity and control, the forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) and the FEV1/forced vital capacity (FEV1/FVC) ratio generally correlate poorly with symptom-based severity in children. A disadvantage to using spirometry in asthma management is that the forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1), which is felt to be reproducible and an appropriate measure of airway obstruction, is often normal even in children with symptoms of uncontrolled asthma. Therefore, it is difficult to use this variable both in the clinical setting and in epidemiological and clinical trials, in which reliable objective measures of clinical outcomes are needed to provide recommendations for practice. We are intrigued by the detection of TAS/TOS in frozen plasma, suggesting that the specific PON1, TAS/TOS measured in this assay are stable and therefore repeated measurements can be made.

One limitation of our study is the lack of serum HDL and serum protein levels of study group patients. The primary aim of the present study was to evaluate serum PON1, TOS, and TAS levels in children with asthma, and a possible association with each other rather than PON1, HDL, and serum total protein levels. Future studies are highly recommended to extend beyond the scope of our ethical and financial constraints.

In conclusion, we have shown that serum PON1 and TAS/TOS levels may be useful in the identification of children with no uncontrolled asthma. This is the first study that shows negative correlation between the asthma control test and plasma PON1 and TAS/TOS levels. Plasma PON1 and TAS/TOS level may be a useful marker of inflammation in stable asthmatic children and a ≥151 mmol/L for PON1 may reflect an uncontrolled disease. A complementary role for two biomarkers was demonstrated in children with asthma. However, their performance was inferior to clinical judgement and may be limited to selected subgroups of asthmatic children. Further longitudinal studies for the prospective evaluation of the treatment response according to PON1, and TAS/TOS and asthma control test, could shed more light on this topic.
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1.- COMPOSICIÓN: Lais® es un tratamiento de inmunoterapia específica sublingual en comprimidos, constituido por un extracto alergénico químicamente modificado (alergoide monomérico), para el tratamiento de las afecciones alérgicas por alérgenos inhalantes: asma bronquial, rinitis, rinoclonjuntivitis. 2.- FORMA FARMACÉUTICA Y CONTENIDO DEL ENVASE. Comprimidos para administración por vía sublingual. Los comprimidos se encuentran en blister, siendo estos blancos, redondos, ranurados y numerados en el lado opuesto a la ranura. Cada blister contiene 10 comprimidos. Lais® está formado por una presentación constituida por 30 comprimidos de 1000 UA. 3.- POSEOLÓGIA Y FORMA DE ADMINISTRACIÓN: La posología con los comprimidos de 1,000 UA puede variar de 2 a 5 comprimidos por semana, según el criterio del médico. Para la terapia estacional (por ejemplo para los polén) se recomienda la ingesta de 1 comprimido al día, 5 veces por semana (por ejemplo: de lunes a viernes) y durante la estación de los polén. Para la terapia crónica (por ejemplo para los ácaros) se recomienda la ingesta de 1 comprimido al día, 2 veces por semana (por ejemplo: lunes y viernes) durante todo el año. En caso de interrumpirse el tratamiento hasta 2 semanas, se puede continuar con el mismo desde la última dosis tomada; en caso de interrupción por más de dos semanas, consultar al médico, quien adecuará la posología. De todos modos, el médico podrá adecuar el esquema posológico de acuerdo con las necesidades terapéuticas del paciente. Realizar el tratamiento por un período de 3 a 5 años, según las indicaciones de su médico. Los comprimidos se colocan en la cavidad oral y se mantienen bajo la lengua hasta su completa disolución, que se produce en 1 ó 2 minutos. Posiblemente, tomar los comprimidos sin alimentos. 4.- INDICACIONES: Lais® está indicado para el tratamiento de las afecciones alérgicas por alérgenos inhalantes: asma bronquial, rinitis, rinonconjuntivitis. 5.- CONTRAINDICACIONES: Como todos los medicamentos Lais® puede entrañar riesgo de reacciones, por lo que deben seguirse durante la duración del mismo las siguientes normas antes la aparición de cualquier reacción adversa, antes de proseguir con el tratamiento consultar con el médico prescriptor. Es fundamental el seguimiento periódico que el especialista le haya indicado, al cual incumbe realizar las oportunas modificaciones en el tratamiento que el paciente requiera. 6.- PRECAUCIONES: No use Lais® si es alérgico (hipersensible) a cualquiera de los excipientes, si tiene graves enfermedades sistémicas (tumores, enfermedades autoinmunes, deficiencias inmunitarias, enfermedades inflamatorias crónicas e infecciones virales), si padece de enfermedades cardiorespiratorias (por ejemplo: insuficiencia cardiovascular, enfisema y bronquiectasia) o si tiene patologías en las que el uso de adrenalina esté contraindicado. Consulte a su médico antes de empezar a usar Lais®. El esquema de dosificación de la inmunoterapia específica siempre debe ser individual. El médico establecerá las dosis y la frecuencia de las ingestas adaptándolas a la evolución de la enfermedad. Para la fase de inducción y para el tratamiento. El esquema posológico se presenta únicamente a modo de orientación. En caso de aparición de estados febriles gripales o infecciones en las vías respiratorias, se recomienda consultar al médico para una eventual adecuación posológica. En caso de necesidad de aplicar vacunas antivirales o antibacterianas, administrarlas después de una semana de la interrupción del tratamiento. La continuación de la inmunoterapia puede requerir 2 semanas después de la vacunación. Evitar el esfuero físico intenso en las horas inmediatamente posteriores a la administración del tratamiento. 7.- INTERACCIONES: No se han descrito. 8.- ADVERTENCIAS: Uso de Lais® con otros medicamentos; no se conocen interacciones con otros fármacos. Uso de Lais® con alimentos, bebidas y alcohol; evitar la ingesta de alcohol en las horas inmediatamente posteriores a la administración del tratamiento. Embarazo, lactancia y fertilidad; durante el embarazo no debe iniciarse una inmunoterapia específica, sobretodo por la limitada posibilidad de utilizar fármacos. En caso de que la suspensión de la inmunoterapia específica constituya un riesgo para la paciente, la decisión acerca de continuar con el tratamiento debe ser tomada por el médico especialista basándose en una cuidadosa evaluación del riesgo al que se sometería a la paciente no protegida por la inmunoterapia. La ingesta del producto durante el período de lactancia no está contraindicada. Conducción y uso de máquinas; al igual que otros tipos de inmunoterapia específica, puede provocar una sensación de cansancio tras la ingesta. Lo que puede redundar en una disminución de la atención de la persona que puede incidir en la capacidad de conducir vehículos o de usar maquinarias. Lais® contiene lactosa. 9.- REACCIONES ADVERSAS: Al igual que todos los medicamentos, Lais® puede producir efectos adversos, aunque no todas las personas los sufren. Puesto que el principio activo del producto es un alérgeno modificado químicamente (alergoide monomérico), las reacciones adversas durante el tratamiento son muy inusuales. Sin embargo, no se puede excluir la aparición de reacciones locales (prurito bucal o labial) y/o sistémicas, durante la inmunoterapia específica, deberá comunicarse inmediatamente al médico, quien adecuará el esquema posológico e indicará una terapia antialérgica adecuada, según la gravedad del cuadro clínico (antihistamínicos por vía oral y/o parenteral, corticosteroides por vía oral y/o parenteral, agonistas β2-adrenérgicos, adrenalina s.c.). Además, el paciente debe informar al médico acerca de la aparición de cualquier otro efecto adverso durante el tratamiento y que no esté descrito en el prospecto. 10.- CONSERVACIÓN: Mantener este medicamento fuera de la vista y del alcance de los niños. Conservación: Este medicamento no requiere condiciones especiales de conservación. Conservar en el embalaje original. No utilice Lais® después de la fecha de caducidad que aparece en la caja después de CAD. La fecha de caducidad es el último día del mes que se indica. Los medicamentos no se deben tirar por los desagües ni a la basura. Deposite los envases y los medicamentos que no necesita en el Punto Sígure de la farmacia. En caso de duda píegue a su farmacéutico cómo deshacerse de los envases y de los medicamentos que no necesita. De esta forma, ayudará a proteger el medio ambiente. 11.- CADUCIDAD: No utilizar después de la fecha de caducidad indicada en el envase. 12.- FABRICANTE: El responsable de la Fabricación es LOFARMA S.p.A.; Viale Cassala 40, 20143 Milán – Italia y el responsable de la comercialización es DIATER Laboratorio de Diagnósticos y Aplicaciones Terapéuticas, S.A.; Avenida Gregorio Peces Barra, nº 2; Parque Tecnológico de Leganés, 28918 Leganés (Madrid). Este prospecto ha sido revisado en Julio 2013.