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Use of Gastrografin® in the Management of Adhesion Intestinal Obstruction
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ABSTRACT

Background: Adhesions are the most important cause of intestinal obstruction. Approximately 25% of surgical admissions for acute abdominal conditions are due to intestinal obstruction. Better diagnostic and treatment methods of intestinal obstruction could potentially reduce mortality rate to 5%–10%. Gastrografin® could contribute to this achieve this.

Aim: To present a protocol to treat adhesion intestinal obstruction with Gastrografin® that is safe, and allows shorter hospital stays and shorter time between admission and surgery.

Material and methods: All patients with adhesion intestinal obstruction without symptoms of strangulation were treated with Gastrografin®, intravenous fluids and nasogastric tube. Those in whom contrast reach the colon in 8, 12 or 24 h were considered to have partial obstruction, and were fed orally. If Gastrografin® failed in the following 24 h, a laparotomy was performed.

Results: Out of a total of 211 episodes (164 patients), 170 episodes received contrast and in 142 cases Gastrografin® reached the colon (104 episodes at 8 h, 11 at 12 h, and 27 at 24 h). A laparotomy was required in 28 patients because of failed treatment, and in another 5 for other causes.

Conclusions: A management protocol for adhesion intestinal obstruction with Gastrografin® is safe, reduces morbidity and mortality, and leads to a shorter hospital stay.

© 2012 AEC. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

El uso de Gastrografin® en el manejo del cuadro de oclusión intestinal adherencial

RESUMEN

Introducción: Las adherencias son la causa más importante de oclusión intestinal, suponen un 25% de las consultas por dolor abdominal. Con un correcto manejo de este cuadro, la mortalidad asociada puede ser menor de un 5–10%. El Gastrografin® puede ayudar a ello. Objetivo: La aplicación de un protocolo de manejo de la oclusión intestinal adherencial con Gastrografin® es segura y permite disminuir la estancia hospitalaria y el tiempo de indicación de cirugía por fallo del tratamiento conservador.
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Introduction

Intestinal obstruction is a frequently seen entity in the Emergency Department that represents 25% of abdominal pain consultations. The most frequent causes of intestinal obstruction are postoperative adhesions followed by neoplasms and hernias. The estimated rate of adhesions is around 94%-95% after laparotomy. It has recently been demonstrated that this rate is much lower in laparoscopic procedures, although the exact percentage is not known. Before the 1990s, the mortality rate associated with intestinal obstruction was 30%-50%, depending on the series. Nowadays, the correct diagnosis of symptoms and adequate treatment can lower the mortality rate to 3%-5%.

During the management of intestinal obstruction, the patient should initially be made to fast and intravenous therapy should be administered, in addition to intestinal decompression with a nasogastric tube. Most of the symptoms will respond to conservative treatment. The indication for surgery is clear when there are data to suspect ischemia or intestinal suffering: fever, tachycardia, abdominal pain, peritonitis and acidosis. The problem lies in knowing how much time should pass before we decide whether the patient is responding to conservative treatment and, therefore, when surgery should be indicated.

There have been several attempts at trying to improve the management of this entity: one of the most well-known has been the administration of water-soluble contrast. The use of these contrasts gives a more precise diagnosis of the partial or complete obstruction according to whether the contrast passes into the colon and, therefore, facilitates the decision for surgery in those obstructions that do not improve with medical treatment. The therapeutic effect of these watersoluble contrasts is not clear, although some studies say they can demonstrate it when the contrasts are administered more than 24 h after the conservative treatment. Based on these studies, our department has used an algorithm for cases of adhesive intestinal obstruction (AIO) in order to demonstrate that it provides safe management of the symptoms, is able to improve surgical indication time and also improves hospitalization times, without increasing adverse effects.

Material and Methods

This descriptive, prospective and observational study was carried out from January 2009 to December 2011. Following the protocol described in Fig. 1 at our hospital, when a patient presents with clinical symptoms compatible with intestinal obstruction (Fig. 2) and has a history of surgery, the first suspected diagnosis is AIO. All patients undergo detailed abdominal examination in order to rule out the presence of other causes for the obstruction. Afterwards, an abdominal radiograph is ordered along with analyses including blood work-up, biochemistry and acid-base balance. If the patient fulfills the inclusion criteria (symptoms and radiology compatible with obstruction and history of abdominal surgery with an interval of more than one month), he/she is included in the AIO protocol. If, contrarily, the patient presents exclusion criteria (Table 1), he/she is not included in the
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**Fig. 1** – Flowchart of the protocol in cases of intestinal obstruction due to adhesions.
protocol and management is determined by the characteristics of each case.

In cases of AIO, the water-soluble contrast Gastrografin® was administered in our center. Prior to the administration of Gastrografin®, gastric decompression was performed with the placement of a nasogastric tube for approximately 2 h in order to reduce the risk of vomiting and aspiration after the administration of the contrast. At the follow-up controls (at 8, 12, 24 h), oral intake was initiated when the patient presented passage of the contrast material to the colon (Fig. 3), regardless of the time of the contrast.

The variables that were collected and analyzed were: age, sex, types and number of previous surgical interventions, need for surgery, need for intestinal resection during the episode and hospital stay.

For the statistical analysis, we used the SPSS 17.0 program. The quantitative variables are reported by means and standard deviation or median and range when the distribution was not normal. The categorical variables are described in absolute numbers and percentages.

Results

During the study period, 211 episodes of AIO were diagnosed in our hospital in 164 patients: 61 ☻ and 103 ☐, with a mean age of 70 (range 24–93).

Table 1 - Exclusion Criteria of the Protocol.

| 1. Age < 18               | 211 episodes |
| 2. Gestation             | 61 ☻/103 ☐ |
| 3. Iodine allergy        | Mean age    |
| 4. Previous radiotherapy | 70 (24–93)  |
| 5. Digestive vascular disease | 105 episodes (64.4%) |
| 6. Early post-operative obstruction | 25 episodes (40%) |
| 7. Incarcerated ventral hernia | 4 episodes (2.4%) |

Table 2 - Patient Characteristics.

| Total            | 211 episodes |
| Sex              | ☻/☐          |
| Mean age         | 70 (24–93)   |
| Surgical history |              |
| Lower abdomen    | 105 episodes (64.4%) |
| Single surgery   | 25 episodes (40%) |
| Laparoscopic surgery | 4 episodes (2.4%) |
| Time since surgery – AIO | 1 month–10 years |
| Mean hospital stay |                |
| Global           | 5.5 days     |
| Passage of contrast | 48 h        |
obstruction due to bands and adhesions were initiated in the 1900s, and studies still continue today. They all try to demonstrate the diagnostic utility of contrasts and also their therapeutic utility. As for the diagnostic utility of these contrast materials, the studies all concur that they are able to determine the type of obstruction (either partial or complete) with a high sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive value (87.5, 100, 100 and 97.9%, respectively). This high diagnostic precision enables physicians to improve the management of AIO from its initial diagnosis.

In these studies, there are differences with regard to radiological control times after the administration of the contrast. Some studies indicate surgery in the first radiological control after 4 h. This seems an aggressive measure, however, especially when studies with a longer waiting period, like our study, have observed that most patients (104 patients out of 142 [73%]) who present partial obstruction respond within the first 8 h. But there is a percentage, 28 episodes out of 142 (17%), that may respond within the first 24 h and, therefore, surgery may be avoided in these cases. It is not recommended to lengthen the control times for longer than 24 h because this has not been shown to improve the results and may increase patient morbidity and mortality.

The diagnostic effect is able to streamline the treatment of partial obstructions, which shortens the hospital stays of patients, as observed in our case and in reports by other groups. Furthermore, the adverse effects related with hospital stay are also minimized. With regard to complete obstruction, the use of these contrasts is able to shorten the time between the hospitalization of the patient until the indication of surgery. Until now, contrast material has not been shown to have a therapeutic effect (meaning that they reduce the number of episodes requiring surgery), although in some studies it seems to do so. What we can affirm is that, although the reduction in the number of surgeries in this pathology is not clear, we do see a reduction in the number of patients requiring intestinal resection. Only 5 out of the 33 surgical patients (3%) required intestinal resection. This may be related to the rapid indication of surgery when conservative treatment fails. In our study, the patients who required surgery presented solitary as well as multiple bands with similar percentages.

Until now, we have not seen any adverse effects related with the administration of contrast material in our patients. Allergic reactions and aspiration pneumonitis have been reported related with the use of orally administered water-soluble contrast media. Of all the studies reviewed, there was only one report of an allergic reaction. We can therefore confirm that the administration of water-soluble contrast material in the management of AIO is safe.

In conclusion, the protocol that we apply in our hospital is a safe protocol that provides proper management of AIO. In cases of partial obstruction, it reduces hospital stays and, in cases of total obstruction, it shortens the time before the indication of surgery. This leads to a lower percentage of intestinal resection in cases requiring surgery.
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