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Treatment of Hepatic Failure After Hepatectomy by the MARS Hepatic Dialysis System**

Tratamiento del fallo hepático después de hepatectomía mediante sistema de diálisis hepática MARS

Post-hepatectomy liver failure (PHLF) is defined as the inability of the post-resection liver remnant to maintain normal total bilirubin and INR levels after the fifth day post-op. In order to minimize the appearance of this complication, it is essential to leave an adequate volume of remaining liver, which will depend on whether the hepatic parenchyma presents some type of lesion and its severity. In the case of livers with colorectal metastasis treated with preoperative chemotherapy, this volume has not been well defined, which, together with oncolic-surgical treatments that are becoming more and more aggressive, has led to PHLF currently being the main cause of death after liver resection due to colorectal cancer metastasis.2

There is little information about the best treatment for this complication once it has been established as it is considered a very serious situation with few therapeutic alternatives. The molecular absorbent recirculating system (MARS) is an extracorporeal liver dialysis system that uses a liquid solution rich in albumin to partially substitute the filtering function of the liver. It has been proposed by some authors as a treatment option, although it has not been shown to increase the survival of these patients in the few articles that have been published.2–5

We present the case of a 48-year-old female patient with 7 bilobar liver metastases. The lesions were colorectal in origin and resected with right hepatectomy, with limited resection of 2 tumors in the left liver. Given the small size of the masses, we decided to use a direct surgical approach to avoid the disappearance of the lesions from the left side with chemotheraphy. The volume of the left liver lobe calculated by CT was 32%; this was considered adequate, so preoperative portal embolization was not done. Surgery was completed without clamping the pedicle and blood loss amounted to 300 cm³.

During the immediate postoperative period, the patient presented a good general state with no signs of encephalopathy, bleeding or infection. We did observe, however, a progressive decline in the hepatic profile with total bilirubin levels that progressively reached 11 mg/dl on the 9th day post-op, together with prothrombin time (PT) of 47%; the indocyanine green plasma disappearance rate (ICG-PDR) at that time was 10%/min. After a CT scan and exploratory laparotomy that ruled out a bile leak, bleeding or intraabdominal abscess, the only condition that justified PHLF was a culture of the drained liquid positive for pseudomonas, which was treated according to the antibioticogram. Given the progressive liver function deterioration, it was decided to administer 4 MARS sessions after the 10th day post-op, which progressively reduced bilirubin levels and increased PT (Figs. 1 and 2). The patient was discharged 30 days after the procedure with bilirubin 2.3 mg/dl and PT 82%.

This complication was unexpected since the patient had not received preoperative chemotherapy, the procedure was done without clamping and with very limited bleeding, and the volume of the liver remnant was larger than 30%. Nonetheless, this calculation was made by measuring the total volume of the liver on CT and not by using the standardized formula based on surface area, which could have underestimated risk for PHLF.6 Furthermore, infection of the surgical site may have perpetuated the symptoms, although we do not believe that this was the cause. It probably occurred after the second procedure, because until that time the leukocyte count and the appearance of the drained fluid were completely normal. It is difficult to know if the patient would have spontaneously recovered liver function with treatment of the surgical site infection alone. But, as bilirubin levels above 7 mg/dl in cases of PHLF are a strong predictor for mortality,7 we decided to apply the MARS treatment straight away.
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*** This paper was presented as a poster communication at the 29th National Meeting of Surgeons held in Burgos, Spain on October 23–25, 2013.
Experience with the use of MARS for the treatment of PHLF is very limited, with only 15 published cases and a mortality of 80%. Nonetheless, in most of these cases, treatment was initiated later on or in patients with multi-organ failure. According to Inderbitzin et al., the main prognostic factor for response to treatment is minimal liver functionality, defined by a ICG-PDR >5%/min. In our case, the treatment was applied early on, there were no serious surgical complications that perpetuated the PHLF and the liver function at the time of maximum hyperbilirubinemia was relatively good (ICG-PDR 10%/min), which could explain the satisfactory evolution of our patient.
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Intrahepatic Splenosis as a Differential Diagnosis in Focal Liver Lesions

Esplenosis intrahepática como diagnóstico diferencial de las lesiones hepáticas ocupantes de espacio

The term “splenosis” was used for the first time in the literature by Buchbinder and Lipkoff in 1939 to describe the implantation of splenic tissue in a heterotopic location in the case of a woman with suspected endometriosis. In order for this tissue implantation to occur in another part of the abdominal cavity (26%–67%), it is essential for there to have been some type of aggression on the spleen (surgery or trauma), and the probability is greater in trauma cases. The latency between the aggression and appearance of splenosis is between 5 and 10 years. The difference between splenosis and ectopic spleen is that the latter is congenital, more common, located close to the splenic hilum, encapsulated, and has direct vascularization from a splenic artery branch.

In descending order, the most frequent locations for splenosis are the serous surface of the small bowel, greater omentum, parietal peritoneum, large intestine, mesentery, inferior side of the diaphragm and the thorax. Extraperitoneal foci are rarer. As for its radiological appearance, intrahepatic splenic tissue is usually indistinguishable from other benign or malignant lesions, and it usually behaves like an adenoma or a hepatocarcinoma.

We present the case of a 60-year-old male with a prior medical history of cataracts secondary to myotonic dystrophy and recurrent peptic ulcer that had been treated surgically on 3 different occasions. During the last uclcus procedure in 1978, the spleen was injured, and emergency splenectomy was required. As a result of this event, the patient needed a red blood cell transfusion, and was diagnosed with hepatitis C infection, genotype 3a, one year later, which was treated with silymarin. In 2003, high transaminase levels were detected, and treatment with interferon and ribavirin was initiated, with a maintained viral response until 2009. In 2011, on a follow-up magnetic resonance imaging study, a focal lesion was observed in segment 6 measuring 48 mm (no changes respect to previous studies) that was hypervascular and showed homogenous enhancement in the arterial phase, with lavage in the portal phase and equilibrium (Fig. 1). Due to its behavior, the differential diagnosis included hepatocarcinoma and adenoma. Hepatic resection of the SOL was indicated given the absence of portal hypertension and Child-Pugh A6. During surgery, intraoperative ultrasound detected the hypoechoic lesion in segment 6, and a segmentectomy was carried out. The postoperative period was uneventful, and the patient was discharged on the sixth postoperative day. The pathology study reported the lesion to be intrahepatic splenic tissue measuring 3.5 cm in diameter, surrounded by active chronic hepatitis and accompanied by moderate hepatic siderosis (grade 4) (Fig. 2).

Currently, several theories could explain intrahepatic splenosis. First of all, splenosis receives its vascularization from the surrounding tissue and has no vessels of its own, while this maintained hypoxia has been associated with poor development of the white pulp. Furthermore, the aging of the hepatic tissue or chronic liver disease can contribute to hyperplasia of the hepatic splenic tissue. Another theory defends the possibility that splenic cells that become detached
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