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Development of an Experimental Model of Portal Vein Ligation Associated With Parenchymal Transection (ALPPS) in Rats
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ABSTRACT

Background: Liver failure might be a cause of death after major hepatectomies. The ALPPS technique appears to be a promising strategy to avoid it, however no experimental studies supporting this procedure have been previously described. The aim was to develop an experimental model of ALPPS in rats.

Method: Experimental. A total of 30 Sprague Dawley rats were used. To develop the ALPPS procedure, ligation of the left portal branch of the middle lobe (LM) was performed. This demarcates the left side (SILM) from the right side (SDLM); parenchyma transection was performed following the demarcated line. The animal’s weight, volume and weight of both LM were analyzed. Sacrifice at 3, 7 and 14 days after the procedure (10 per group) was performed.

Results: No bleeding or ascites was observed during the postoperative period. The LM increased by 24.1%, 86.9% and 120.4% at 3, 7 and 14 days. The SDLM increased by 34.4%, 78.8% and 102.0% at 3, 7 and 14 days. The SILM decreased 42.6%, 64.8%, and 79.3% at day 3, 7 and 14 days respectively.

Conclusion: The ALPPS procedure can be performed in rats, achieving the expected results. Comparison studies to 2-staged hepatectomy will be necessary.
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Desarrollo de un modelo experimental de ligadura portal asociada a transección parenquimatosa (ALPPS) en ratas

Resumen

Antecedentes: La insuficiencia hepática postreseción es una de las principales causas de muerte en el postoperatorio de una hepatectomía mayor. La técnica ALPPS aparece como una estrategia prometedora para evitarla, pero no existen estudios experimentales al respecto. El objetivo del trabajo es desarrollar un modelo experimental de ALPPS en ratas.
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Método: Se desarrolló un modelo experimental de ALPPS en 30 ratas Sprague Dawley. Se realizó la ligadura de la rama portal izquierda del lóbulo medio (LM), con lo cual se demarca el sector izquierdo (SILM) y derecho (SDLM); posteriormente se realizó la transección parenquimatosa por la línea isquémica. Se evaluaron el peso del animal, el volumen y peso del LM y de ambos. Sacrificio a los 3, 7 y 14 días (10 por grupo).

Resultados: No se presentaron complicaciones hemorrágicas ni ascitis en el postoperatorio. El incremento del volumen del LM fue del 24,1%; 86,9% y 120,4% a los 3, 7 y 14 días. El SDLM (no ligado) se incrementó un 34,4%; 78,8% y 102,0% a 3, 7 y 14 días. El SILM disminuyó un 42,6%; 64,8%, y 79,3% en los días 3, 7 y 14.

Conclusión: La realización del ALPPS fue posible en ratas, logrando los resultados esperados. Futuros estudios son necesarios para compararlo con la técnica de hepatectomía en 2 tiempos.

© 2013 AEC. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.
Final Weight (FW)/Initial Weight (IW) Ratio
This variable was calculated as a clinical assessment measurement according to the following formula:

$$\% \frac{IW}{FW} = \frac{(FW - IW)}{IW} \times 100$$

Liver Volume
We recorded the middle lobe total volume (MLTV), the middle lobe right sector volume (RSV), and left sector volume (LSV). Calculation was performed according to the following formula:

$$\text{Liver volume} = \text{Height} \times \text{Length} \times \text{Width} \times 0.5$$

Measurements were conducted directly at the time of surgery using a calliper (Vernier® 0–150 mm Calliper, China), always in the same direction and by the same operator. They were taken at the time of surgery and at sacrifice to compare them.

ML Volume Increase Percentage (ML%) We calculated the percent ratio between final volume (FV) and initial volume (IV) according to the following formula:

$$\text{ML volume increase percentage} = \frac{(FV - IV)}{IV} \times 100$$

MLRS Volume Increase Percentage (SVI%): the same above formula is applied.

$$\text{SVI} \% = \frac{(FV - IV)}{IV} \times 100$$

MLLS Volume Decrease Percentage (LSD%)
In this case a reduction of volume after portal vein pedicle ligation is assumed. FV reduction in relation to IV is calculated as a percentage according to the following formula:

$$\text{LSD} \% = \frac{(IV - FV)}{IV} \times 100$$

Biochemical Analyses
We conducted biochemical analyses for glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase (GOT) doses, glutamic-pyruvic transaminase (GPT), total bilirubin (TB), Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH), and prothrombin concentration (Quick).

Fig. 1 – Rat liver anatomy.

vein flow yielded the ischemic demarcation line. Then, a hepatic parenchyma transection was performed along the ischemic demarcation line. It was performed by placing parallel 7-0U-stich polypropylene sutures, then sectioning the parenchyma with scissors; these steps were performed successively until the paracaval lobe was reached (Fig. 2). This last lobe was left unscathed. Arterial circulation and biliary duct branches were maintained in all cases.

Blood samples were obtained sequentially by retro-ocular plexus puncture on days 1, 3, 7 and 14, according to the animal sacrifice sequence. Sacrifice was performed via exsanguination under anaesthesia, by direct puncture of the inferior vena cava; during this procedure we took a blood sample for biochemical analysis.

Measurements

Weight of the Animal
Each rat was weighed at the time of first surgery and at the time of sacrifice.

Fig. 2 – Schematic representation of the technical steps to adapt the rat’s middle lobe to portal vein occlusion techniques (black: occluded portal vein flow; grey: current portal flow). (A) Rat liver showing its respective lobes and normal portal vein irrigation (see description in text). (B) Liver showing portal vein pedicle ligation of the right, left, and caudate lobes. (C) Liver with portal middle lobe left portal vein branch ligation, delimiting 2 sectors: middle lobe right sector and middle lobe left sector; the ischemic demarcation line will be the transection site for the ALPPS technique.
Statistical Analysis

Results are shown as mean±its standard deviation. A P<.05 was considered significant. SPSS statistical software v17.0 was used (Release 17.0.0; 2008).

Results

The technique was carried out successfully in the suggested experimental model. No bleeding complications occurred from the cut surface or ascites during the postoperative period.

Mean percentage ratios between the animal's initial and final weight are shown in Fig. 3.

ML mean percentage increase was 24.1%±8.8% at 3 days, 86.9%±47.8% at 7 days, and 120.4%±99.5% at 14 days. SDML mean percentage increase was 34.4%±21.6% at 3 days, 78.8%±81.7% at 7 days and 102.0%±117.7% at 14 days. MLRS mean percentage reduction was 42.6%±13.1% at 3 days, 64.8%±8.4% at 7 days and 79.3%±12.9% at 14 days. Results are represented in Fig. 4. We have also noticed a volumetric reduction on the right, left and caudate lobes; these were ligated as part of the procedure.

Table 1 lists the values of biochemical determinations.

Discussion

The main objective of this study was to develop the first ALPPS experimental model, since the literature consulted failed to show basic research studies on this subject for the study of physiological regeneration mechanisms associated with this surgical innovation. This is why we only described the development of the technique, and left out the comparison to portal vein ligation, and without the second-surgical stage, which would be the second hepatectomy. The above, added to the functional studies, plus the histological study, were part of the second part of this project.

The first step to be able to develop this model was to “humanise” the rat liver. As stated above, rat livers have 5 lobes. We were able to ligate one of the 2 portal branches of the middle lobe, and conduct parenchymal transaction, simulating a whole liver, to which a portal vein was ligated and the ALPPS procedure was conducted. Ligating the middle lobe left branch was decided based on its technical feasibility. It is noteworthy that this procedure requires training in experimental surgery on rats, since it is essential to preserve arterial and biliary duct irrigation to the lobes where the portal vein ligation is performed. This procedure should be conducted with some type of image enlarging means.

We were able to find that at 3 days after surgery, there was a significant hepatic volume increase of the future liver remnant (middle lobe right sector), which continued to grow at 7 and 14 days. This increase was directly related to a reduction in volume of the liver sector that underwent portal ligation (left sector of the left lobe and all other liver lobes). The foregoing was directly related to lack of portal vein flow. This demonstrated that the model reproduced the changes that
occurred in humans; therefore, we considered that we reached our objective.

An important detail we observed is the impact of the procedure on the animal’s weight. We have noticed that on postoperative day 3, weight reduces significantly, caused by the catabolic state of the animal. Recovery from this state happens about 14 days from the procedure. We believe that this detail bears great importance and that we can relate it to what occurs in human beings. One of the most criticised aspects of ALPPS is that patients have to undergo 2 complex surgeries in a short time period. The second hepatectomy is usually conducted one week after the first procedure. At this stage, the patient remains in a catabolic state; therefore, it is possible that he/she may not be in the best clinical conditions, due to the immediate postoperative period from the first complex surgery. This fact can be associated with the increase in morbidity and mortality shown by patients treated with ALPPS. It is quite clear that ALPPS is an excellent technique to achieve future liver remnant hypertrophy. However, we did not know what would happen if instead of operating on patients in the first postoperative week, we waited 2–3 weeks for patients to be in better clinical condition.

We understand that increases obtained in AST and ALT biochemical determinations are due to the parenchyma transection. Enzymatic elevation during the postoperative period is found in clinical practice after a hepatectomy with subsequent postoperative normalisation.

To progress in the study of the regeneration phenomenon related to ALPPS, further analyses are required that are already undergoing and exceeding the primary objective of this publication, which is the technical determination of the model; without doubt they will help build the foundation for the knowledge to develop this new and promising technique. We can thus conclude that the ALPPS experimental model is a reality today; it is reproducible and would help as a physiopathological comparison base for other liver resection techniques.
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### Table 1 – Analysed Biochemical Determinations Values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>GOT (IU/ml)</th>
<th>Middle±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1422±808</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>280±112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>214±79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>164±70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPT (IU/ml)</td>
<td>888±700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>114±68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>64±26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>65±32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.10±0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.15±0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.12±0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.13±0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH (UI)</td>
<td>1369±845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1019±537</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2251±802</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>909±371</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick (%)</td>
<td>94±45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>87±0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>64±0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>75±3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shown as mean±standard deviations. P significant <.05.