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Strength training improves insulin sensitivity and plasma lipid levels without altering body composition in overweight and obese subjects
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OBJECTIVE: To assess the effect of long-term strength training on insulin sensitivity, lipid profile, and body composition in overweight and obese subjects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: A prospective, randomized, interventional study of 16 overweight or obese subjects aged 18-35 years who were investigated before and at the end of 8 weeks of strength training. The experimental group (n = 8) followed a strength training program consisting of 4 sessions per week at 50% to 80% of repetition maximum (RM), estimated through the 1RM test. The control group (n = 8) did not perform the training program. Glucose, insulin, total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL-C, VLDL-C, and LDL-C levels and arterial index were determined. Insulin sensitivity was measured by calculating HOMA-IR (Homeostatic Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance). Indicators of body composition included weight, height, waist circumference, body fat, fat weight, muscle mass, somatotype chart and distance.

RESULTS: At the end of intervention, the experimental group showed decreased insulin sensitivity (3.5 ± 0.9 vs. 2.9 ± 1.2; p = 0.04), LDL-C (106.9 ± 20.8 vs. 95.5 ± 14.2; p = 0.03) and arterial index (4.0 ± 0.6 vs. 3.5 ± 0.5; p = 0.01) values and increased HDL-C levels (43.7 ± 8.8 vs. 46.9 ± 5.6; p = 0.04), while the control group remained stable. There were no significant differences between the groups regarding body composition, somatotype chart or distance after training.

CONCLUSIONS: In overweight and obese subjects, strength training for eight weeks improved insulin sensitivity and lipid profile without altering body composition.

© 2011 SEEN. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.
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El entrenamiento con pesas mejora la sensibilidad a la insulina y los niveles plasmáticos de lípidos, sin alterar la composición corporal en sujetos con sobrepeso y obesidad

Resumen

Objetivo: Evaluar el efecto del entrenamiento con pesas a largo plazo en la sensibilidad a la insulina, el perfil lipídico y la composición corporal en sujetos con sobrepeso y obesidad.

Materiales y métodos: Estudio prospectivo de intervención y aleatorizado en 16 sujetos con sobrepeso u obesidad entre los 18 y los 35 años de edad, distribuidos aleatoriamente en dos grupos: a) grupo experimental (n = 8), 6 semanas de entrenamiento con pesas, 4 sesiones/semana, a intensidades entre el 50 y el 80% de la repetición máxima (RM), obtenida a través de la prueba de 1RM, y b) grupo control (n = 8), que no desarrolló el programa de entrenamiento. Se evaluaron los valores de glucosa, insulina, colesterol total, triglicéridos, colesterol unido a lipoproteínas de alta densidad (cHDL), colesterol unido a lipoproteínas de muy baja densidad, colesterol unido a lipoproteínas de baja densidad (cLDL) e índice arterial. La sensibilidad a la insulina se determinó mediante el cálculo del índice HOMA-IR (del inglés Homeostatic Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance). Los indicadores de composición corporal y antropométrica fueron peso, talla, circunferencia de cintura, grasa corporal, peso graso, masa muscular, carta y distancia somatotípica.

Resultados: Al finalizar la intervención, se observó en el grupo experimental una disminución de la sensibilidad a la insulina (3,5 ± 0,9 frente a 2,9 ± 1,2; p = 0,04); cHDL (106,9 ± 20,8 frente a 95,5 ± 14,2; p = 0,03) e índice arterial (4,0 ± 0,6 frente a 3,5 ± 0,5; p = 0,01), así como un aumento de cHDL (43,7 ± 8,8 frente a 46,9 ± 5,6; p = 0,04), mientras que en el grupo control no se evidenciaron cambios. No se observaron diferencias significativas en la composición corporal, carta y distancia somatotípica tras el entrenamiento en ninguno de los dos grupos.

Conclusions: En sujetos con sobrepeso y obesidad, el entrenamiento con pesas durante 8 semanas mejora la sensibilidad a la insulina y el perfil lipídico sin alterar la composición corporal.

© 2011 SEEN. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.
Materials and methods

Design and participants

A prospective, randomized, interventional study was conducted on 16 overweight or obese young subjects from a higher education center in Cali (Colombia). The sample was selected by advertising and sampling by intention. Subjects with a medical or clinical diagnosis of major systemic disease (including malignant conditions), type 1 or 2 diabetes mellitus, high blood pressure, hypothyroidism/hyperthyroidism, body mass index (BMI) < 25 kg/m², history of drug or alcohol consumption, use of multivitamin preparations, statin use, and inflammatory (trauma, contusions) or infectious conditions were excluded from the study. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant, and the ethics committee of the academic center approved the intervention in compliance with the ethical standards set out in the Declaration of Helsinki and the applicable legal regulations in Colombia governing research in humans (Decision 008430, of 1993, of the Ministry of Health).

Biochemical measurements

Ten milliliters of blood were drawn into Vacutainer tubes with no additive by puncture into an antecubital vein. Metabolic markers were measured using the following procedures: glucose, total cholesterol, triglycerides (TG), and high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) were tested using a direct colorimetric method in an automated spectrophotometer (Biosystems, Spain)26. Very low density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C) and low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) were calculated using the Friedewald et al equations27: VLDL-C = TG/5; and LDL-C = total cholesterol – (LDLD-C) were calculated using the Friedewald et al. equation. Insulin sensitivity was measured by a chemiluminescence assay (Immulite 1000 kit, San Jose, CA)28. Insulin sensitivity was measured by calculating the HOMA-IR index (Homeostatic Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance) using the formula: HOMA index = [(basal insulin in mU/L) x basal blood glucose in mmol/L)/22.5].

Measurement of body composition

The following anthropometric variables were measured in each participant: height, weight, skin folds (triceps, subscapular, biceps, iliac, supraspinal, abdominal, middle thigh, and medial calf), circumferences (relaxed and contracted arm, forearm, wrist, chest, waist, hip, middle thigh, calf, and ankle), bone diameters (bistyloid, biceps, iliac, supraspinal, abdominal, middle thigh, calf, and ankle), and bicondylar, and bicondylar). Procedures standardized by López et al29 were used for these measurements. Somatotype, somatic chart, and somatotype distance by groups were calculated using the equations described by Carter30 and the principles laid down by Robert and Baimbridge31 respectively. Body composition was studied using the Parizcová and Buzcova method32, and the results obtained were expressed as kilograms of fat, kilograms of muscle mass, and percent of body fat.

Intervention

Participants were randomized to an experimental group (n = 8) which followed an 8-week training program consisting of 4 sessions per week at an intensity ranging from 50% to 80% of repetition maximum (MR), estimated through the 1RM test using the following equation: 1RM = lifted weight/[(100 – [number of repetitions x 2])] x 100. Eight exercises with machines and free weights involving most muscle segments and groups were selected. The control group (n = 8) did not follow the training program.

Statistical analysis

An exploratory analysis was first performed to determine the frequency and distribution of each of the variables tested. Outcome measures were compared between groups, before and after intervention, using a Wilcoxon signed rank test33 because of sample distribution and size. All statistical tests were performed using software SPSS 15.0 for Windows (Graphpad Instat, Graphpad Software, University of London, London [United Kingdom]). A value of p ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Participants had a mean age of 23.7 ± 5.4 years (range 19-35 years), a mean body weight of 84.3 ± 11.9 kg (range 57-97 kg), a mean height of 168.0 ± 9.3 cm (range 148-185 cm), and a mean BMI of 28.5 ± 2.1 kg/m² (range 27-32 kg/m²). At study start, there were no significant differences in any of the tested variables, except for baseline triglyceride levels (Table 1). At the end of intervention, participants in the experimental group showed, as compared to baseline values, an 18% decrease in insulin sensitivity as measured with the HOMA index (3.5 ± 0.9 versus 2.9 ± 1.2; p = 0.04), a 10% decrease in LDL-C levels (106.9 ± 20.8 versus 95.5 ± 14.2 mg/dl; p = 0.03), and a 13% decrease in the arterial index (4.0 ± 0.6 versus 3.5 ± 0.5; p = 0.01), as well as an 8% increase in HDL-C levels (43.7 ± 8.8 versus 46.9 ± 5.6; p = 0.04) (Table 1). No significant differences were found in the endomorphic, mesomorphic, and ectomorphic components of somatotype, somatic chart, and somatotype distance (X and Y coordinates) by groups or time points (Fig. 1), or in body composition (Table 1).

As expected, after 8 weeks of training, the experimental group achieved an improvement close to 30% in maximum strength, as measured by the RM test (1RM = 127 at baseline versus 1RM = 168 after intervention; p = 0.04). 2 of the 8 subjects did not complete the whole program, and adherence in the experimental group was therefore 75%.

Discussion

The hypothesis of this pilot study was to assess whether strength training for 8 weeks would improve insulin sensitivity, lipid profile, and body composition in overweight or obese subjects. This hypothesis was confirmed, because 8 weeks of training resulted in a positive change in the HOMA-IR resistance index and lipid markers: LDL-C, arterial...
### Table 1 Results of body composition and metabolic profile variables by group before and after intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control group at baseline (n= 8)</th>
<th>Experimental group at baseline (n= 8)</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Control group at study end (n= 8)</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Experimental group at study end (n= 6)</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>89.2 ± 8.0</td>
<td>78.9 ± 13.4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>88.0 ± 11.6</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>75.9 ± 13.4</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>172.0 ± 6.9</td>
<td>164.0 ± 8.9</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>171.0 ± 6.9</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>163.1 ± 10.2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>29.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>29.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>28.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat, %</td>
<td>26.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>26.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>25.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>24.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat weight, kg</td>
<td>11.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>9.9 ± 3.9</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>11.1 ± 4.2</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle mass, %</td>
<td>37.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>38.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>37.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>39.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endomorph component</td>
<td>8.27 ± 0.48</td>
<td>8.45 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>7.92 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>8.17 ± 0.85</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesomorphic component</td>
<td>5.87 ± 1.10</td>
<td>6.00 ± 1.10</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>5.78 ± 1.17</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>5.90 ± 0.79</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectomorphic component</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.48</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.50</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.79</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.41</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>95.7 ± 6.5</td>
<td>92.6 ± 8.6</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>93.8 ± 8.7</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>89.5 ± 8.2</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/hip ratio</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin, µU/mL</td>
<td>17.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>17.2 ± 9.1</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>19.0 ± 7.4</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>14.1 ± 8.2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose mg/dL</td>
<td>77.4 ± 7.2</td>
<td>84.0 ± 6.8</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>82.5 ± 6.6</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>83.6 ± 6.4</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA index</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mg/dL</td>
<td>163.9 ± 85.8</td>
<td>99.2 ± 40.9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>223.8 ± 140.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>119.3 ± 55.3</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>161.4 ± 30.3</td>
<td>170.5 ± 18.3</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>170.5 ± 14.8</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>166.3 ± 13.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C, mg/dL</td>
<td>48.4 ± 9.4</td>
<td>43.7 ± 8.0</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>39.2 ± 7.4</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>46.9 ± 5.6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C, mg/dL</td>
<td>85.6 ± 23.1</td>
<td>106.9 ± 20.8</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>89.4 ± 27.0</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>95.5 ± 14.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL-C, mg/dL</td>
<td>32.8 ± 17.2</td>
<td>23.8 ± 11.0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>35.6 ± 15.6</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>19.8 ± 8.2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial index</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HDLC**: high density lipoprotein cholesterol; **LDLC**: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; **VLDLC**: very low density lipoprotein cholesterol; **BMI**: body mass index.

Values are mean ± standard deviation, adjusted for sex, age, and BMI.

Group differences by Wilcoxon signed rank test.

<sup>a</sup>: comparison of both groups before intervention.
<sup>b</sup>: comparison of baseline versus 8 weeks in the control group.
<sup>c</sup>: comparison of baseline versus 8 weeks in the experimental group.

---

**Figure 1** Somatotype, chart, and somatotype distance (X and Y coordinates) by group.
Strength training improves insulin sensitivity in overweight and obese subjects

index, and HDL-C (p ≤ 0.05). The metabolic effect seen in this study agrees with that reported by other studies using strength programs34,35. For instance, a study by Kretschmer et al36 showed that a 5-week combined training program (strength and resistance) was sufficient for body weight maintenance and reduction (expressed as percent of body fat) and increased insulin sensitivity. This significant effect is very important in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus who have insulin resistance or are overweight, because an impairment occurs in the insulin-dependent signaling mechanisms that regulate glucose transport in tissue. Although weight training does not have a clear effect on the insulin receptor, the phosphorylation of IRS-1 proteins (an insulin receptor substrate), or PI3-K (phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase) activity37, GLUT-4 translocation clearly occurs through the action of proteins such as AMPK, CaMK (Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase), and aPKC (atypical protein kinase), signaling molecules which are involved in glucose uptake stimulated by muscle contraction.

An interesting finding was that an improvement in the metabolic function markers was not associated with changes in the assessed body composition variables. However, we cannot state that weight training did not induce changes in other compartments such as visceral fat, limbs, etc. because these were not assessed in this study. This unique finding is supported by a meta-analysis of 3,476 subjects published by Shaw et al38 in 2005. As compared to no treatment, regular PE induced a small weight loss in the 43 studies analyzed. The combination of PE with a diet caused a greater mean weight decrease (MWD) than diet alone (MWD = 1.1 kg; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.5 to 0.2), a finding that was not observed in this study. Improvement in blood lipid levels, particularly the increase (~8%) in HDL-C as compared to sedentary subjects, was similar to that reported by Durstine et al39 and the Kelley and Kelley meta-analysis40, which reported that 8 weeks of PE were sufficient to significantly increase HDL-C levels in adults with characteristics similar to those included in this study without altering any other blood lipid. HDL-C is a lipoprotein that represents a challenge to health care professionals and to everyone else responsible for the promotion of physical activity to reinforce the impact of different physical training proposals in this type of population. To sum up, this study showed that 8 weeks of weight training improves insulin sensitivity and lipid profile in overweight and obese males without modifying body weight.
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