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Objective Assessment of Olfactory Function Using Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging☆
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Abstract
Objective: To show the results of a device that generates automated olfactory stimuli suitable for functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) experiments.
Material and methods: Ten normal volunteers, 5 women and 5 men, were studied. The system allows the programming of several sequences, providing the capability to synchronise the onset of odour presentation with acquisition by a trigger signal of the MRI scanner. The olfactometer is a device that allows selection of the odour, the event paradigm, the time of stimuli and the odour concentration. The paradigm used during fMRI scanning consisted of 15-s blocks. The odorant event took 2 s with butanol, mint and coffee.
Results: We observed olfactory activity in the olfactory bulb, entorhinal cortex (4%), amygdala (2.5%) and temporo-parietal cortex, especially in the areas related to emotional integration.
Conclusions: The device has demonstrated its effectiveness in stimulating olfactory areas and its capacity to adapt to fMRI equipment.
© 2010 Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

PALABRAS CLAVE
Resonancia magnética funcional;

Estudio objetivo del olfato mediante resonancia magnética funcional

Resumen
Objetivo: Mostrar los resultados del olfatometro capaz de generar tareas olfativas en un equipo de resonancia magnética funcional (fMRI).
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Material y métodos: Estudiamos 10 sujetos normales: 5 varones y 5 mujeres. El olfatómetro está diseñado para que el estímulo que produce se sincronice con el equipo de fMRI mediante la señal desencadenada que suministra el propio equipo. El olfatómetro es capaz de: seleccionar el olor, secuenciar los distintos olores, programar la frecuencia y duración de los olores y controlar la intensidad del olor. El paradigma utilizado responde a un diseño de activación asociada a eventos, en el que la duración del bloque de activación y de reposo es de 15s. La duración del estímulo olfativo (butanol, menta o café) es de 2 segundos, durante toda la serie que consta de 9 ciclos.

Resultados: Se ha observado reactividad (contraste BOLD) en las diferentes áreas cerebrales involucradas en las tareas olfativas: bulbo olfatorio, córtex entorrinal (4%), amígdala (2,5%) y córtex temporoparietal. Las áreas relacionadas con integración de las emociones tienen una reactividad mayor.

Conclusiones: El dispositivo propuesto nos permite controlar de forma automática y sincronizada los olores necesarios para estudiar la actividad de las áreas olfatorias cerebrales mediante fMRI.

© 2010 Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

It is estimated that in the United States there are 2.7 million people who suffer from olfactory disorders, i.e. about 1.4% of the total population. Approximately 200,000 Americans each year suffer a smell or taste problem.1,2 In our practice, 1.7% of patients each month report a smell disorder as the main reason for consultation.3

The objective study of smell in humans is still an unresolved problem. The reasons for this are probably manifold. One possible factor is that man gives less importance to this sense than to others, such as sight and hearing. On the other hand, there are still various anatomical-physiological questions which remain unanswered, making it difficult to establish a correlation between an olfactory stimulus and an objective test, either electrophysiological or imaging.4

Another problem is the difficulty of adequately controlling olfactory stimuli in terms of intensity and duration, in order to correlate a specific stimulus with the response elicited.5

The first attempt to study smell objectively took place in the late 1960s of the past century, and employed the electrophysiological recording of odours.6 At present, the electrophysiological study of smell is done by electro-olfactograms7 and olfactory evoked potentials.8 An electro-olfactogram involves the collection of electrical activity in the nasal olfactory epithelium through the application of intranasal electrodes. Olfactory evoked potentials consist in the collection of electrical activity (olfactory bulb and/or frontal cortex) using external electrodes. Both techniques employ expensive apparatus and take a long time. They are eminently used in research and therefore are not performed normally in daily practice.

Moreover, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies can detect brain activity when subjects perform psychosocial, sensory or motor tasks. fMRI imaging enables the noninvasive study of brain activity while subjects perform a particular task, by detecting small signal changes dependent on blood oxygen level (BOLD signal). These changes are the result of a neural response induced by repeated cycles of stimulation conditions (i.e. experimental conditions) and resting conditions (i.e. control conditions). In recent years, functional neuromaging has become a very promising technique as a tool for the analysis of the olfactory system.9 One problem with these devices is the need to coordinate the stimulus, whatever this may be, with the collection of images. Furthermore, fMRI is not compatible with the use of metal devices inside the same room.

The aim of this work is to show the results among normal subjects of an olfactometer capable of generating olfactory tasks in the context of an fMRI study of brain activity.

Material and Methods

The olfactometer developed at the Department of Electronic Technology of Universidad Rey Juan Carlos has been designed specifically for olfactory stimulation. It automatically generates olfactory stimuli which are suitable for fMRI experiments, as it synchronises the olfactory task with the acquisition of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) through the trigger signal of the scanner. It produces a selective and controlled stimulation of the olfactory system. Among the parameters that can be controlled are the selection and sequencing of odours, the frequency and duration of stimuli and the intensity of the stimulus.

Fig. 1 shows a schematic of the device. It consists of several dispensers (up to 8) which receive an air flow regulated by a flowmeter. The choice of odour is done by actuating specific electrovalves. After the air pump are two filters, one for particles and another with activated carbon, for the removal of other odours which could be introduced accidentally. A computer with a sequence control board enables the opening and closing of the electrovalves, as well as synchronisation of the stimuli with image acquisition.

A specific software program has also been developed in order to design olfactory tasks, so they can be executed automatically and remotely during image acquisition. The MRI data were obtained with a 3T General Electric MRI scanner located at Centro Alzheimer Fundación Reina Sofia (Fig. 2). The fMRI sequence used was a gradient-echo functional sequence with echo-planar k-space acquisition. The GE-EPI (gradient-echo planar imaging) sequence acquisition parameters were as follows: RT (repetition time)=3 s, ET (echo time)=minimum full, FA (flip angle)=90°, matrix of 96×128 samples, FOV (field of view)=22 cm, section thickness of 2.4 mm, space of 0 mm between sections and full volume of 34 sections.
Two types of paradigms were designed for functional imaging: block design and event-related activation design. Only the results obtained with the event-related activation design are shown, since it was the most effective way to visualise brain activation associated with an olfactory stimulus and to eliminate the effects of habituation of the primary olfactory cortex (POC).\textsuperscript{10}

The paradigm used responded to an event-related design, in which the duration of activation and resting blocks was 15 s. The duration of the olfactory stimulus (butanol, mint or coffee) was 2 s for the entire series, which consisted of 9 cycles (Fig. 3). Breathing was recorded by “respiratory gating”, for later use in data processing. The protocol did not include “sniffing” and, therefore, subjects did not know when the olfactory stimulus would be presented.

The following transformations were applied to the fMRI images prior to data analysis: 3D motion correction, temporal high-pass filtering set to the stimulation paradigm, temporary correction and spatial smoothing.

So as to avoid inter-subject and intra-subject variability, analysis was conducted by regions of interest (ROI), through a segmentation of subcortical/cortical structures.

Functional images were constructed by convolving a sequence of square pulses of 2-s duration. These pulses were synchronised with the application of olfactory stimuli with the canonical haemodynamic response function (HRF) (Fig. 4). The registration of breathing was used as a regressor in the analysis.

The analysis of study results was performed using the software tool SPM8 (statistical parametric mapping) developed by University College London for neuroimaging data analysis.\textsuperscript{11}
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Results

Cortical reactivity was obtained in different brain areas involved in olfactory tasks (Fig. 5). The entorhinal cortex and amygdala, which are related to emotions, showed a higher reactivity than other areas.

With a significance level between 4.5% and 2% of BOLD contrast:

1. Entorhinal cortex: 4.5% BOLD contrast.
2. Amygdala: 3% BOLD contrast.
3. Insula: 2.5% BOLD contrast.
4. Putamen: 2.5% BOLD contrast.
5. Visual cortex: 2% BOLD contrast.

Discussion

Functional MRI enables us to locate the cortical areas which are activated by different stimuli: visual, sound and somatosensory. In this work we qualitatively and quantitatively demonstrate the activation of different cortical areas in the brains of subjects by normal olfactory stimuli: entorhinal cortex, amygdala, insula, putamen, and visual cortex.

The cortical areas activated are those that have been implicated in the integration of olfactory stimuli, including some regions of the limbic system. The POC area is the most commonly activated: entorhinal cortex and amygdala. We must remember that the POC is not a single area, but rather 5 structurally different regions, located in the ventral and medial surfaces of each brain hemisphere: the anterior olfactory nucleus, amygdala, olfactory tubercle, piriform and periamygdaloid cortex and, finally, the rostral entorhinal cortex. The amygdala is a heterogeneous structure with numerous nuclei which is located in the anterior temporal lobe. One of these nuclei is the corticomedial nuclear group, which appears to be connected with parts of the hypothalamus involved in regulating food intake, as well as in regulating some reproductive behaviours in animals.

Furthermore, the entorhinal cortex is located in the parahippocampal gyrus. It is believed that this area is important in allowing certain scents to evoke past memories. This cortex projects towards the hippocampal formation, which has been found to be essential in converting short-term memories into long-term memories.

As for the quantitative study of cortical activation, the results were surprising. If we consider that the gold standard is obtained by measuring the BOLD contrast in motor activation studies (moving a finger or hand and measuring activation of motor cortical areas) with values around 5%, we can conclude that a BOLD contrast of 4.5% in the entorhinal cortex and 3% in the amygdala represent considerable activation values for a sensory stimulus. Therefore, we believe that either the odorous stimulus activates olfactory cortical areas very intensely by itself, or else the stimulation device is so pure and precise that it enables a high-intensity activation image to be collected. One of the main problems in the objective study of smell is the impossibility of controlling some parameters of olfactory stimuli, such as the type of odour, its duration, intensity and frequency. The advantage of the olfactometer is that it enables researchers to vary the stimuli (type of odour, intensity, duration, creating

![Figure 3](http://www.elsevier.es) The event-related paradigm used, in which the duration of the activation and resting blocks is 15 s. The duration of the olfactory stimulus (butanol, mint or coffee) is 2 s for the entire series, consisting of 9 cycles.

![Figure 4](http://www.elsevier.es) Haemodynamic response in olfactory functional areas. The image shows how there is an increase in oxygen consumption in the brain area studied when the stimulus is released (green arrow), unlike in the resting phase (red arrow). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)
MRI room. Only the tubes which deploy the stimulus and the mask for the subject need to be kept in the room.

Having an olfactometer available in our consultation will enable us to assess, in an objective manner, the olfactory ability of subjects, either through fMRI or olfactory evoked potentials. It will also allow us to establish correlations between subjective smell tests and objective responses, as well as an objective study of olfactory condition. Murphy et al. correlated the butanol threshold in the CCCRC olfactory test with evoked potential values obtained using an olfactometer. Lorig et al. have worked on the correlation between olfactory thresholds in psychophysical tests and their correlation with evoked potentials. Kobal et al. have used an olfactometer to study anosmic patients through olfactory evoked potentials.

We believe that our olfactometer has room for improvement. The olfactory study lasts no more than 45 min. This time does not differ much from the time it takes to conduct a structural MRI study. However, having patients wear a mask makes them feel more uncomfortable and, possibly, more cramped. On the other hand, the stimulus must travel through a tube into the nose. It is possible that some degradation occurs along the route before the stimulus arrives at the nose. An electronic nose which can measure the exact concentration of the stimulus upon its arrival at both nostrils could be attached to the mask, in order to know the exact concentration which reaches the subject.

Numerous studies still remain to be conducted before normal values for brain activation areas, both quantitative and qualitative, can be established depending on the tasks, designed and basic variables such as age and gender. In the future, objective studies of olfaction will open up a very interesting field of study for the early diagnosis of some neuropsychiatric diseases: Parkinson’s disease, Alzheimer’s disease, eating disorders, sexual disorders, etc.

Financing

This work was financed by the OLFACTOSENSE Consortium, by the Education Council of the Community of Madrid, the MMA Foundation and the MAPFRE Foundation.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Mrs. Eva Alfayate, radiography technician at Fundación Reina Sofía.

References

Objective Assessment of Olfactory Function Using Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging