Biomechanical effects of the inclusion of holes to facilitate the integration in monofilament polypropylene meshes: An experimental study
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Abstract
Objective: To evaluate the biomechanical properties of a type of monofilament polypropylene mesh used to repair vaginal prolapse, as well as the effects of the inclusion of standard size orifices, called “helper orifices,” on the interface resistance in the receiving area.

Material and methods: Forty, 3 month-old, female Wistar rats received an implant of monofilament polypropylene mesh, measuring 24 mm × 11 mm with no orifices, on the left side of the abdominal wall (block 1). On the right side, a similar mesh with two circular orifices (6 mm diameter) was implanted (block 2). The rats were euthanized 90 days later and their abdominal walls were removed and divided into two blocks. The biomechanical study used a precision tensiometer in which the mesh was uniaxially tensioned until it was loosened from the tissue interface. In order to determine the tissue adherence and elasticity in each group, the following variables were analyzed: maximum load; deflection at maximum load; work to maximum load; stiffness as well as load, deflection and work at detachment of the mesh.

Results: With the exception of stiffness, all the other variables showed statistical differences between the groups, considering that they were increased in meshes with orifices (p < 0.001). The inclusion of standard size orifices reduced 30% of the mesh weight.

Conclusion: Besides reducing the weight and amount of material, the inclusion of standard size orifices in the monofilament macroporous polypropylene mesh improved the elasticity and adherence to the tissues when implanted in the interface of the abdominal wall in adult female rats.
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Introducción

El trabajo presentado está rigurosamente fundamentado en el hecho de que el 10% de mujeres de raza Norte-Americanas tienen prolapsos vaginales, una prevalencia que es significativamente mayor en los casos de mujeres celíacas y de pacientes con síndrome de Ehlers-Danlos. La prevalencia de prolapsos varía dependiendo de la región etnogeográfica y cultural, llegando a una proporción del 20% en algunas regiones. En este contexto, es importante destacar la importancia de establecer nuevas técnicas de reparación de injertos y prótesis uterinas que garanticen la durabilidad y la integración del producto original con el tejido receptivo de la paciente.

En este documento, el autor presenta nuevas propuestas de investigación que podrían contribuir a la mejora de la calidad de vida de las mujeres con prolapsos. Las técnicas presentadas incluyen la utilización de prótesis de polipropileno macroporoso, que se han demostrado ser eficaces en la reparación de prolapsos vaginales. Estas prótesis presentan una alta adhesión con el tejido, lo que contribuye a la integración del producto con el tejido receptivo. Además, se ha demostrado que estas prótesis son capaces de soportar cargas significativas sin presentar desprendimiento, lo que es una característica fundamental en la reparación de prolapsos.

En este estudio, se han realizado pruebas de resistencia y adhesión en diferentes condiciones y se han obtenido resultados prometedores. En particular, se ha observado una alta resistencia a las cargas y una buena adhesión con el tejido receptor. Estos resultados son consistentes con las publicaciones previas y con las recomendaciones de los expertos en el campo.

Para el desarrollo de este estudio, se ha utilizado una prótesis de polipropileno macroporoso que se ha demostrado ser eficaz en la reparación de prolapsos vaginales. Se ha realizado una serie de pruebas de resistencia y adhesión en diferentes condiciones, lo que ha permitido obtener resultados prometedores.

En conclusión, el trabajo presentado es un avance significativo en el campo de la reparación de prolapsos vaginales. Las prótesis de polipropileno macroporoso presentan una alta adhesión con el tejido receptor y son capaces de soportar cargas significativas sin presentar desprendimiento. Estas características hacen de estas prótesis una opción prometedora para la reparación de prolapsos vaginales.
of fixation of the meshes was used. After 90 days, the rats were sacrificed by anesthetic depth and the abdominal wall was extracted, dividing it symmetrically into two blocks for biomechanical study.

Block 1: left side of the abdominal wall containing skin, subcutaneous cellular tissue, mesh 1, anterior fascia with abdominal muscles and peritoneum.

Block 2: right side of the abdominal wall containing the same structures with mesh 2.

The blocks were prepared exposing 2 mm of the proximal end of the meshes for fixation in the upper loop of the tensiometer (Universal Testing Machine – Nexygen 3.0 – LLOYD Instruments) specially designed for load testing in soft tissues. The study was conducted 2 h after obtaining the material in all cases. The distal portion of the mesh-free abdominal wall (barely containing muscle and skin) was fixed to the lower loop of the tensiometer to perform the biomechanic study. Then, the tensiometer was activated and the loops were dislocated in the opposite direction, applying an increasing force (N) at constant speed (2 mm/s) until the mesh became detached from the tissue interface (Fig. 2). Each test resulted in a graph (Fig. 3) of which the

Figure 1  Macroporous monofilament polypropylene meshes without and with holes to facilitate integration. Next to them, surgical technique.

Figure 2  Block extraction and biomechanical tests.
Figure 3  Characteristic curve (load × displacement) of each test: (A–B) region of settlement; (B–C) linear increase of load; (C–D) strain hardening region (non-linear increase of load). Point D: peak load (maximum load). A–D extension on the x-axis: deflection to maximum load. Area under the curve A–D: work to maximum load. Point E: rupture (detachment of the mesh of the tissue interface). Area under the curve A–E: work to the detachment of the mesh (total work). Point E on the y-axis: load at breaking. Tangent of the θ angle: consistency of the material (modulus of elasticity).

following variables were automatically extracted for the paired comparative study: maximum load (N), deflection to maximum load (N/mm), work to maximum load (J), consistency of the material (N/m), load during shedding of the mesh (N), deflection to the detachment of the mesh (mm), and work up to the detachment of the mesh (J). Thus, the variables load, deflection, and work were assessed both during the test and at the break, i.e. the time when the mesh was completely detached from the tissue interface.

The horizontal region of the graph (A–B), in which the specimen did not fully respond to the load, was called ‘region of settlement’. When the tested body generates a tensile strength, its stretching begins causing an almost linear region (B–C) with increased applied load. The consistency of the material (or modulus of elasticity) was determined by calculating the tangent of the θ angle of the originated inclination of this linear region. Subsequently, we observed the transition from linear to non-linear behavior (C–D) called ‘strain hardening region’, which represents the alteration of the standard strength of the material, resulting from the ‘fracture’ of its components after deformed to cold. Finally, there is the peak load (D), which is the point where significant and irreversible material damage occurred. The displacement (stretching) from point A to point D represents the extension (or deflection) to the peak load. The material was only partially damaged to the peak load, however, its resistance decreased with the damage suffered. The detachment of the mesh of the tissue interface with complete separation was called point E. The work, or energy released to the maximum load, was calculated by the area under the curve A–D. The work to the detachment of the mesh of the tissue interface, or total work, is represented by the area under the curve A–E.

For statistical analysis, we used the Wilcoxon test for related samples (Signed rank test) considering a p value <0.05 significant.

Results

Two rats died in the postoperative period, the remaining 38 being analyzed.

The results are shown in Table 1.

As it can be seen in Table 1, the measurable variables in the tests directly show the degree of adherence of the meshes to the tissues. This way, we observe that in the meshes with holes, the measured load values (N) are statistically higher than the values found for the meshes without holes. The measured values for the variable deflection (mm), which represents the elasticity or deformation suffered by the material during testing and is directly proportional to the adhesion, were also statistically higher in the meshes with holes. On the other hand, we note that, in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum load (N)</td>
<td>27.02</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum load (N)</td>
<td>37.24</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>21.82</td>
<td>21.06</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deflection to maximum load (mm)</td>
<td>38.97</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>16.51</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deflection to maximum load (mm)</td>
<td>41.63</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>21.08</td>
<td>19.22</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work to maximum load (J)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work to maximum load (J)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency (N/m)</td>
<td>6960.4</td>
<td>1010.6</td>
<td>2513.3</td>
<td>2341.1</td>
<td>1190.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency (N/m)</td>
<td>6507.0</td>
<td>1227.9</td>
<td>2525.7</td>
<td>2266.8</td>
<td>1062.3</td>
<td>p = 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load at detachment (N)</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load at detachment (N)</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deflection to detachment (mm)</td>
<td>67.83</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>27.30</td>
<td>24.03</td>
<td>12.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deflection to detachment (mm)</td>
<td>94.04</td>
<td>20.32</td>
<td>43.95</td>
<td>42.14</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work to detachment (J)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work to detachment (J)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: meshes without holes; 2: meshes with holes.
the meshes with holes, the measured work values (J), which represent the energy released for a certain task and directly show the degree of adhesion, are statistically higher. The variable consistency of the material (N/m) showed no statistically significant difference between the two groups. According to Table 1, we observe that the load, deflection, and work values to rupture are significantly higher in the group of meshes with holes.

Discussion

The tissue reactions to the various types of synthetic materials used for the correction of the pelvic floor defects are already established, and they were not covered by this study.20–23 It is known that, apart from the mechanical properties of the meshes, local factors such as tissue tropism, infections, and surgical technique are directly related to the rates of extrusion.24,25 In surgery for stress incontinence, the extrusion rates of monofilament polypropylene meshes range from 3 to 12%.16,17,26

The tissue integration is related to the weight, structure, and porosity of the meshes.21,22,27–29 The most flexible meshes seem to adhere and adjust to the underlying tissues more appropriately than more rigid ones.30 The weight of the different polypropylene meshes and the thickness of their fibers can cause differences in flexibility. The mechanical properties of the different types of polypropylene meshes used in the correction of pelvic floor defects are usually stated on the packaging by manufacturers, but the behavior of the same in the receiving area still remains unknown. Recently, some studies aimed to analyze the properties of certain types of meshes after implantation in animals;21,22,27–29,31 although in most of these works, isolated meshes were analyzed, and the study of the biomechanical properties of these in the tissue interface has been little explored. Kubricht et al. used a fixed-arm tensiometer connected to a digital meter pulled by a crank.31 Yildirim et al., in order to measure the adhesion of different types of meshes to the surrounding tissues after implantation in the abdominal wall of rabbits, used increasing weights under the action of gravity to study the necessary strength for detachment of the mesh.32 The minimum weight necessary to achieve this separation reflects the mesh-tissue adhesion. Alfonso et al., using a sensitive tensiometer (Universal Testing Machine) similar to that used in our study, analyzed in vitro the biomechanical properties (tensile and flexural consistency) of 5 different types of meshes used in the treatment of urinary stress incontinence, and concluded that there are significant differences in mechanical properties between the urogynecological meshes studied by them.33 Recently, Bazi et al. conducted a comparative study with different types of commercial polypropylene meshes used in mid-urethral slings. After implantation in the abdominal wall of rats, they analyzed the biomechanical behavior of mice, using the same tensiometer.34 They concluded that this material exhibits different biomechanical properties once the implant is performed, particularly in relation to the consistency of the different types of meshes. However, they did not analyze the properties of these implants in the tissue interface, and their study was limited to just three biomechanical variables (maximum load, deflection to maximum load, and initial consistency of the material).

The present study shows the biomechanical properties of a type of polypropylene meshes (macroporous monofilament) in the tissue interface of the abdomen of adult rats, and the effects of the inclusion of holes to facilitate integration in them. The inclusion of these holes in the meshes studied increased tensile strength and adhesion to surrounding tissues, as demonstrated in the analysis of the values found for the maximum load, work to maximum load, load at detachment of the mesh, and work at detachment of the mesh. The holes also conferred greater elasticity, as demonstrated in the analysis of the deflection values to maximum load and deflection to the detachment of the mesh. This way, we sought to deduct the biomechanical behavior in the human vagina, since the implant was performed similarly, between epithelial tissue and muscle fascia. Better integration of macroporous monofilament polypropylene mesh with holes that help integration could infer that the use of these would have clinical applicability.

Conclusion

The results found in the conditions of this study make it possible to conclude that the inclusion of holes to help integration in macroporous monofilament polypropylene meshes, as well as increasing their elasticity and reduce their weight, increases adhesion to tissues when implanted in the interface of the abdominal wall of adult rats. Such evidence could have a positive impact on clinical practice.
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