Fulminant myopathy caused by aortic thrombus in an anticoagulated patient

Devastadora mielopatía por trombo aórtico en paciente anticoagulado

Dear Editor:

Spinal cord infarction is a rare condition accounting for less than 1% of all ischaemic vascular processes of the central nervous system. It is characterised by rapid progression of symptoms, a specific deficit pattern, and pain in some cases. Rostrocaudal location and transverse propagation are key features of this condition. Symptoms are usually subacute and develop in a few hours. The spinal cord’s blood supply is provided by the anterior spinal artery that irrigates the 2 anterior thirds of the medulla, as well as the 2 posterior spinal arteries. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the diagnostic tool of choice for spinal cord infarction. T2-weighted sequences show hyperintense lesions within the first 8 hours to several days from symptom onset; results from spinal cord arteriography are usually normal. There are several aetiologies for spinal cord infarction. The most frequent causes are haemodynamic compromise secondary to aortic dissection or aortic manipulation and atheromatous plaque blocking the ostia of the arteries that supply the spinal cord. Other aetiological mechanisms include embolism, vasculitis in autoimmune diseases, radiotherapy, and vascular malformations.

We present a case of fulminant myopathy of ischaemic origin. Our patient, a 79-year-old man, was an obese, hypertensive former smoker with a history of ischaemic heart disease, atrial fibrillation, peripheral artery disease, hyperlipidaemia, and polymyalgia rheumatica. He was treated with dabigatran, pentoxifylline, prednisone, lisinopril, bisoprolol, spironolactone, furosemide, and simvastatin. The patient reported good adherence to his medications. He came to the emergency department due to sudden paraplegia preceded by pain in the lumbar region with ascending radiation along the vertebral column to the interscapular region. He reported no prior trauma or considerable physical exertion. The initial neurological examination revealed flaccid paraplegia with a sensory level of T3 and impaired sensitivity of the posterior column. He was admitted with a diagnosis of medullary syndrome of possible vascular aetiology. Despite treatment with steroids, antiplatelets, and anticoagulants, his symptoms had exacerbated 24 hours later: paresis had progressed to affect the upper limbs, and the sensory level rose to C7. Spinal MRI scan revealed an extensive spinal cord infarction from C4 to the conus medullaris (the T8–11 segment was preserved) due to a lesion in the anterior spinal arteries, and signal alterations at the T9 vertebral body, suggesting spinal cord ischaemia caused by obstruction of the radicular arteries (Fig. 1). The MRI image also showed the incidental finding of a lesion in the lumen of the thoracic aorta; this finding was interpreted as indicative of thrombus and dissection at the posterior wall of the aorta (Fig. 2). A CT angiography study showed abundant calcified and non-calcified atheromatous plaque. Hypodensities compatible with thrombus were observed in the posterior inner area of the lumen of the aorta towards the cranium and up to the aortic arch. The vascular surgery department confirmed the presence of 3 thrombi: a semilunar thrombus on the posterior wall of the thoracic aorta originating at the ostium of the left subclavian artery; a floating thrombus at T8–T10; and a mural thrombus above the bifurcation of the iliac arteries. Aortic dissection was ruled out. A thoracic endoprosthesis (Valiant, Medtronic) was placed from the left subclavian artery to the celiac artery to exclude the aortic floating thrombus. Surgery was performed without incident and CT angiography confirmed that the endoprosthesis had been placed correctly. The patient started rehabilitation therapy but showed no improvements and died 2 months later of respiratory failure.

This case of extensive spinal cord infarction was secondary to progressive thrombosis of the aorta. We first considered aortic dissection to be the most likely cause.

However, a CT angiography of the aorta revealed a thrombus on the posterior wall of the thoracic aorta, which blocked the ostia of the spinal arteries, leading to thrombosis of the aorta progressing proximally and progressive extension of the affected area of the spinal cord.

Thrombosis of the aorta is an infrequent condition with disabling and devastating effects; very few cases have been described in the literature. It has different aetiologies, including prothrombotic states, inflammatory processes, and atherosclerotic changes involving large ulcerated and/or calcified plaques. Several predisposing factors linked to platelet aggregation and coagulation may be involved in aortic thrombus formation. Although oral anticoagulants have no impact on platelet aggregation, they can in theory prevent or reduce formation of red clots on complicated plaque. Using oral anticoagulants to treat critical carotid artery stenosis with ulcerated plaque or moving thrombi is based on this assumption. Oral anticoagulants, whether traditional vitamin K antagonists or the new thrombin and factor Xa inhibitors, have been shown to reduce risk of recurrent embolism in patients with non-valvular and valvular atrial fibrillation (vitamin K antagonists only); however, evidence is not sufficient to support oral anticoagulants as preventive treatment for local arterial thrombosis, especially in the case of new anticoagulants.

In our case, the infarcted area of the spinal cord was unusually large (from C4 to the conus medullaris) as a result of the aortic thrombosis secondary to a severe atherothrombotic disease. We should highlight that previous anticoagulant therapy with dabigatran failed to prevent thrombus formation and limit its development.

References
Regarding emergency department consultations with neurologists

Acerca de las interconsultas a los neurólogos formuladas desde urgencias

Dear Editor:

We have read with great interest the recently published study by Ramirez-Moreno et al. reporting an increase in the number of in-hospital consultations (IHC) to the on-call neurologist between 2005 and 2009. This is an innovative study as there are no articles in the literature analysing IHC activity in Spain over time. One of the most striking findings is the increasing demand for IHCs by the emergency department from 2005 to 2009; in fact, this department was the one that had recorded the most consultations with the neurology department by the end of the study period.

Some might argue that the study by Ramirez-Moreno et al. lacks external validity since it was conducted in only one hospital. However, we can provide data from the opposite standpoint (an emergency department) that confirm their findings. The emergency department at Hospital Universitario Donostia has kept an electronic database of all IHCs since April 2004. We analysed IHCs with the on-call neurologist by month for 88 consecutive months ending at February 2013, and found a continuous and statistically significant increase over that period (Figure 1). Both at the beginning (2006) and at the end (2012) of the study period, assessment of focal neurological signs was the leading cause of IHCs, and this cause has also undergone a statistically significant increase in its relative weight. We feel that this increase is the result of implementing "code stroke" in the past decade: specialists from emergency medical services and emergency departments have been key players in this initiative. Later therapeutic improvements and adaptations of this process have highlighted the importance of neurologists in emergency services, which are usually saturated:
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**Figure 1** Monthly changes in the number of emergency department IHCs with the on-call neurologist (to the left: linear regression analysis; month 1 corresponds to May 2004, and month 88 corresponds to February 2013). Comparison of reasons for consultation between the first (2006) and last (2012) complete years of the study period (to the right: chi-square test).
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**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons for ICH</th>
<th>2006 n (%)</th>
<th>2012 n (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Focal neurological signs</td>
<td>46 (52.9)</td>
<td>279 (65.3)</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient transferred from another centre</td>
<td>7 (8.0)</td>
<td>46 (10.8)</td>
<td>.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>7 (8.0)</td>
<td>14 (3.3)</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>4 (4.6)</td>
<td>5 (1.2)</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convulsions</td>
<td>4 (4.6)</td>
<td>7 (1.6)</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal movements</td>
<td>3 (3.4)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*)</td>
<td>16 (18.4)</td>
<td>76 (17.8)</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>87 (100.0)</strong></td>
<td><strong>427 (100.0)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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*) Please cite this article as: Busca P, Miró O. Acerca de las interconsultas a los neurólogos formuladas desde urgencias. Neurologia. 2015;30:319–320.