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\begin{abstract}
Bisphosphonates are used in the management of diseases characterized by an increase in bone resorption such as osteoporosis, metatasic bone disease, malignant hypercalcemia among others. It has been reported that the use of IV bisphosphonates as zoledronate and pamidronate generate ocular adverse effects by an acute phase reaction mediated by an increase of interleukin 6 (IL-6) and tumoral necrosis factor (TNF-\textalpha). We present 2 cases, a woman 71 years old and a 67 years old man that received therapy with bisphosphonates and 24–72 h later they presented an anterior uveitis.
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\end{abstract}

Posibles efectos adversos oculares de los bisfosfonatos. Reporte de 2 casos

\begin{resumen}
Los bisfosfonatos se utilizan para el manejo de enfermedades con incremento de la resorción ósea como la osteoporosis, la enfermedad metastásica ósea y la hipercalemia maligna, entre otras patologías. En los últimos años se ha reportado que el uso de bisfosfonatos intravenosos como el zoledronato y el pamidronato pueden generar efectos adversos oculares, ocasionados por una reacción de fase aguda mediada por la interleucina-6 (IL-6) y factor de necrosis tumoral alfa (TNF-\textalpha). Se reportan 2 casos (una mujer de 71 años y un hombre de 67 años) que entre las 24 a 72 h después de recibir terapia con zoledronato presentaron una uveítis anterior.

© 2016 Asociación Colombiana de Reumatología. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.
\end{resumen}
Introduction

Bisphosphonates have been used in subjects with confirmed diagnosis of osteoporosis, Paget’s disease, neoplastic diseases with bone metastases, malignant hypercalcemia and other pathologies such as osteogenesis imperfecta. In the world, the prescription of this family of drugs has increased; an example of this is that from 2002 to 2007 the use of therapies with oral bisphosphonates reached 52.7 million formulas, and with intravenous bisphosphonates, in the United States, from 2002 to 2010 it increased by 561,000 doses. In Spain it has been also observed an increase in the prescription of bisphosphonates up to double between 1998 and 2003.

It has been reported that the use of intravenous and oral bisphosphonates can generate ocular adverse effects by a transient increase in pyrogenic cytokines and an increased production of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) mediated by γ/δ T lymphocytes. The most common adverse effects are anterior uveitis, episcleritis, scleritis and orbital inflammatory disease.

The objective of this publication is to report 2 cases of possible secondary effects of bisphosphonates at the ocular level, especially of zoledronic acid.

Case presentation

Case #1

A 74-year-old woman with presence of high bone alkaline phosphatase despite the correction of the 25 OH-vitamin D deficiency, normal calcemia, normal phosphatemia, normal 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, normal PTH, dietary and supplemental calcium intake; ruling out clinically and radiologically an osteomalacia and considering another metabolic bone disease. With DXA densitometry compatible with osteoporosis according to the criteria of the World Health Organization (WHO), it was prescribed zoledronic acid, 5 mg intravenously, to be applied during 15 min. 48 h after having received the infusion, the patient presented pain in the right eye accompanied by conjunctival hyperemia with dilated episcleral vessels. At the ophthalmological examination the patient presented positive Tyndall and flare (++), nuclear sclerosis and a decrease in visual acuity in the right eye 20/200. In the fundus of the eye were observed excavated oval papillae, a macula with slight decrease in brightness without the presence of infection foci, and an ocular pressure of 12 mmHg; it was diagnosed an acute anterior uveitis (AAU) of the right eye and it was prescribed prednisolone acetate, tropicamide and drops of hydroxypropyl-methylcellulose. The symptomatology improved after treatment within the following 8 days and did not leave any sequels.

As relevant antecedents, the patient has controlled high blood pressure, hyperthyroidism due to toxic multinodular goiter managed with iodine 131 mCi with successful response, type 1 gastric neuroendocrine tumor with histological grade 2 according to the WHO classification, recurrent, associated with autoimmunity, managed with subtotal gastrectomy; monostotic dysplasia with involvement of the frontal bone that required management with osteosynthesis; café-au-lait spots; and in this context the McCune-Albright syndrome is suspected, with a negative mutation for the GNAS gene, to which is pending to carry out the whole gene sequencing. The patient has presented drug allergy to dapsone. As concomitant medication the patient was receiving levothyroxine, cholecalciferol and calcium carbonate. After the adverse event, the patient was taken to the medical board, where it was decided to control the decrease in bone mass with denosumab 60 mg subcutaneously, every 6 months, but the patient did not accept; at the present time she continues under close surveillance with bone alkaline phosphatase, densitometries and clinical examinations.

Case #2

A 67-year-old man who enters the emergency department with a clinical picture of 72 h of evolution consisting in bilateral eye pain, red eye, photophobia without alteration of visual acuity following the application of zoledronic acid (4 mg) for the management of polyostotic disease associated with prostate carcinoma. The ophthalmological exam reported generalized conjunctival hyperemia, intraocular pressure of 14 mmHg, positive Tyndall and flare (++/+), round papillae with well-defined edges and a healthy macula. In addition, he reported hyperthermia of 38 degrees during 2 days, dyspnea, myalgias and arthralgias with limitation of movement, unsteady gait and prostration that lasted for a month. It was diagnosed a bilateral AAU and topical cyclopentolate and prednisolone F were prescribed. The patient recovered within a month without sequelae.

As relevant antecedents the patient has a stage IV prostate carcinoma diagnosed in October 2014, with metastatic bone involvement (spine and hip) managed with hormonal blockade, bilateral phakectomy with intraocular lenses, retinal detachment in left eye, primary hypothyroidism, low bone mass and dyslipidemia. As concomitant medication the patient was receiving cholecalciferol and goserelin acetate. The patient has no history of allergies to any medication. After the adverse event the prescription was changed for denosumab, in part because the patient refused to use the drug again.

Discussion

Bisphosphonates decrease the rhythm of bone resorption and delay the bone loss7 by 2 mechanisms: (1) Non-nitrogen containing bisphosphonates have similarity to pyrophosphate, being incorporated into the molecule of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), producing non-hydrolizable ATP, which accumulates and inhibits multiple ATP-dependent cellular processes and, finally, causes toxicity. (2) Nitrogen containing bisphosphonates inhibit the enzyme farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) synthase, involved in the mevalonate pathway, which is necessary for the construction of the cytoskeleton and the survival of the osteoclast.8

Among the options of management with bisphosphonates, intravenous bisphosphonates have emerged as an interesting choice due to their posology and potency.9-11 Zoledronic
Fig. 1 – Mechanism of action of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates and their relationship with the ocular adverse event. The systemic nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates lead to alteration in the mevalonate pathway generating the apoptosis of the osteoclast; this causes inhibition of bone resorption which is the expected therapeutic effect.

**Presumed mechanism of the acute phase reaction:** the use of bisphosphonates generates a self-limited systemic inflammation mediated by increased serum levels of IL-6, IFN-γ and TNF-α. It is described that the cell populations probably implied in the mechanism of action are monocytes/macrophages and T lymphocytes.

**Presumed mechanism of the ocular adverse effect:** the use of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates generates the sensitization of circulating monocytes by accumulation of isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP), which by a mechanism of direct contact activates a subpopulation of T lymphocytes (γ/δ) that produces a self-limited local inflammation in the eye mediated by IL-6, TNF-α and IFN-γ.

DMAPP: dimethylallyl pyrophosphate; FPP: farnesyl pyrophosphate; GPP: geranyl pyrophosphate; HMG-CoA: 3-hydroxy-3 methylguanylyl coenzyme A; IFN-γ: interferon gamma; IL-6: interleukin 6; IPP: isopentenyl pyrophosphate; TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor alpha.

Acid is one of the most commonly used intravenous bisphosphonates for the management of osteoporosis, accompanied by pamidronate, alendronate and risedronate. The mechanism of action of this family of bisphosphonates with a nitrogen group is the inhibition of the enzyme FPP synthase, which is involved in the mevalonate pathway. This pathway is critical for the production of sterols, such as cholesterol and isoprenoid lipids. These sterols are essential for protein post-translational modifications. Examples of this are the modifications to proteins bound to guanosine-triphosphate such as Rab, Rac and Rho. These proteins are involved in central processes of regulation of cellular activities of the osteoclast such as fiber assembly, membrane folding and survival. Their alteration generates, finally, the apoptosis of the osteoclast and prevents bone resorption.

It has been observed that in certain patients the use of bisphosphonates generates an acute phase reaction (APR) mediated by the increase in the production of IL-6 and TNF-α mediated by γδ T lymphocytes. This reaction generates systemic symptoms such as fever, myalgias and arthralgias, resembling a flu episode. The activation of these lymphocytes is mediated by monocytes that in the presence of the drug accumulate isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP), activating the γδ lymphocytes directly by contact.

Through the APR, bisphosphonates can cause serious ocular adverse effects. The most commonly associated bisphosphonates are the intravenous, such as pamidronate and zoledronate; however, it is important to highlight that some non-nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates, such as clodronate, can also generate ocular adverse effects. The most commonly reported ocular pathologies are scleritis, conjunctivitis and uveitis; and less frequent blepharitis, synechiae, subconjunctival hemorrhage, intraocular hypertension, and ischemic optic neuropathy. Orbital inflammation and myositis can be seen in ocular imaging with ultrasound, magnetic
These adverse effects may affect the eyes bilaterally or unilaterally, usually with a mild to moderate severity; there is reversibility without sequelae within the first month if a timely management is implemented. With the use of intravenous bisphosphonates the toxicity occurs usually within 48–72 h after the application of the drug, but in certain cases the adverse effect may appear after 2 weeks. With the use of oral bisphosphonate, ocular toxicity may appear between 2 and 3 months of use. It is still not clear why this APR affects primarily the eyes. An in vitro study of human retinal cells (hRPE) demonstrated that, in the presence of alendronate and etidronate, these cells increase the production of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-8 and decrease angiogenic factors such as eotaxin and the basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF). However, the biological plausibility is still unclear and further studies in this regard should be carried out. In addition, it has been observed that patients under therapy with bisphosphonates may have leukopenia and elevated C-reactive protein (CRP), factors that can promote the development of these adverse effects (Fig. 1).

Among the ocular signs and symptoms found in multiple reports the most common are conjunctival chemosis, conjunctival hyperemia, edema of the lens, deficit in ocular motility, anterior uveitis, anterior scleritis, proptosis, blurred vision, diplopia and pain. In a retrospective cohort study it was observed that nitrogen-containing oral and intravenous bisphosphonates can cause ocular adverse effects, and it is estimated that the incidence of AAU in the users is 29 cases/100,000 people, with a relative risk (RR) of having the condition due to their use of 1.45 (CI 95%: 1.25–1.68).

A prospective, double-masked placebo-controlled clinical study showed that the incidence of AAU was 0.8% applying 5 mg of zoledronic acid in postmenopausal patients (n = 2001) with low bone mass. However, the authors report that the true incidence may be much higher, since the data obtained are only of patients who consulted the ophthalmologist. A new prospective double-masked, placebo-controlled study for prevention of vertebral fracture with 1054 postmenopausal women and under active surveillance of the ocular adverse effects found that the incidence of AAU was 1.1% with 5 mg of zoledronic acid, 1/13 women had positive p-ANCA, 1/13 women had positive ANA (but negative ENA) and 3/13 women had positive HLA-27.

Patients with osteoporosis or polyostotic diseases usually receive polypharmacy, and it is important to emphasize that bisphosphonates are not the only medicines that cause ocular adverse events. Other drugs with recognized association are ciclosporin, anti-vascular endothelium growth factor (VEGF) agents, rifabutin, sulphonamides, metipranolol, brimonidine, among others (Table 1). For this reason exist the assessment by the WHO and the criteria of Naranjo that quantify the probability that an adverse effect is caused by the drug and not by something different. In studies of drug-induced uveitis is observed that bisphosphonates are among the few drugs that have a score of 10 in the Naranjo scale and met all the requirements for causality of the WHO, demonstrating their definitive association with the adverse effect. In the 2 cases exposed, the criterion of multiple exposure to the drug could not be met since the dose of medication was not repeated; however, the pattern described and the absence of other explanations suggest that the uveitis was related with the use of zoledronic acid, without disregarding that the patients have other conditions that favor the risk for this adverse effect.

In the case reports described in the literature, a significant number of subjects who exhibit ocular adverse events associated with bisphosphonates have comorbidities...
of autoimmune basis such as Type 1 diabetes mellitus, ankylosing spondylitis, inflammatory bowel disease or sarcoidosis.22–27 The following have been described as risk factors for APR: age of 60–70 years, active back pain, cigarette smoking, use of calcitonin, being a non-Japanese Asian or Pacific Islander and previous use of bisphosphonates.22 However, the possible association between autoimmunity and uveitis has not been described. It is important, then, to conduct population studies to define whether an autoimmune disease, polymorphic variants of the HLA major histocompatibility complex or the cytokines involved in the inflammatory cascade constitute risk factors for ocular adverse effects. In our 2 cases, the subjects were between 60 and 70 years old and had hypothyroidism, which is usually an autoimmune disease.

There is no solid evidence related to the management of an ocular adverse event because the reports are very scarce; however, we recommend to educate the patient about the possible ocular side effects and to discuss the change of mechanism of action of the drug for osteoporosis, due to the possible recurrence of the ophthalmological secondary effects. Nevertheless, not all patients who are re-exposed to the drug have a recurrence of the ophthalmological alteration.28 In case of discontinuing the use of bisphosphonate, the alternative is to change the therapeutic group if the patient has a high risk of fracture. Currently, there are several options available, such as parathyroid hormone analogs (teriparatide), which are useful in the patients with fractures due to the use of corticosteroids; denosumab (anti-RANK-L ligand antibody), which is an option for the patient with cancer; strontium ranelate, estrogen receptor modulators and cathepsin K inhibitors.29 The patients with low risk of fractures can be managed with cholecalciferol and dietary or supplemental calcium accompanied by exercise and a fall prevention program.

For the management of the ocular adverse effect it is recommended to discontinue the treatment, to check if the patient has concomitant medications associated with ocular toxicity, to evaluate risk factors (among them autoimmunity) and to carry out the ophthalmological evaluation and symptomatic management with topical steroids and cycloplegics, for a few weeks, to control the inflammation and improve vision (Fig. 2). In some severe cases arises the need to use systemic steroids or immunosuppressive agents based on the severity of the inflammatory process.30

**Conclusion**

The safety and efficacy of the therapy with bisphosphonates are well documented.31,30–32 However, the increased use of intravenous bisphosphonates and their possible association with reversible ocular adverse effects requires population studies in this regard and the prospective evaluation of the reported cases in order to define the best management and the long term sequelae.
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