Determinants of the expenditure done by attendees at a sporting event: The case of World Padel Tour
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ABSTRACT

The influx of people attending sport events involves creating wealth in the environment where they are held. To understand the impacts of these events on the host community, it is necessary to analyze the main explanatory variables in relation to models of buying behavior in tourism, so as to know which variables are the ones that affect most the expenditure done by attendees at a sport event. Therefore, the objective of our research is to present a model to evaluate the influence that certain variables related to the model of consumer behavior have on the expenditure. For that, the variables have been grouped into those related to the personal characteristics of the attendee, and into those others of subjective nature related to the perceptions of those attending a sport event. The research has been based on the use of Structural Equation Models using the technique of Partial Least Square (PLS). The sport event that has been analyzed is the Padel tournament “Cáceres International Open”. The proposed model shows significant results to support the relationships contained in the hypotheses, and provides positive data regarding the predictive relevance of the model.

Introduction

Padel is a sport that has achieved tremendous growth over the last decade in Spain. In 1993, the Supreme Council for Sports (CSD) recognizes it as a sport. According to the data provided by the CSD in relation to the number of licenses and clubs, in 2002 the number of licenses amounted to a total of 8,344 and 140 clubs, occupying 37th position in sports by number of licenses; in 2012 the number of licenses was 39,652, and 593 clubs, occupying 19th position in sports by number of licenses. The increase in these ten years has been 375.21% in number of licenses and 323.57% in number of clubs.

Its modern origins date back to the late nineteenth century, although its background is common to tennis and badminton (Sánchez-Alcaraz, 2013); in 1997 the Spanish Federation of Padel was constituted. In order to professionalize the players and to manage the circuits in 2001, the Association of Professional Padel Players (AJPP) was created. In December 2011 World Padel Tour (WPT) was introduced as a professional padel circuit which emerges as initiative of the AJPP and a group of sponsors. WPT brings a new dimension to the professional circuit in the sport, economic and media areas. Thus, international tournaments begin in different cities with a sporting event taking place in each city, which is worth analyzing due to the economic impact they generate. Sport events which are considered the major components of Sport Tourism and perhaps the most significant in terms of number of tourists and economic impact (Deery, Jago, & Fredline, 2004; Getz, 2003).

Tourism services related to sport have increased in recent years, having adapted leisure centers and particular tourist spots to give service to a client with sport motivations. The sport itself, or through competitions is a generator of the movement of people,
that besides enjoying the sport, can do tourist activities. Thus, sport and tourism are two complementary activities: sport events generate tourism and tourists do different activities while enjoying their leisure, including sport activities.

Since 1990 there have been a significant number of studies to understand the nature of the relationship between sport and tourism (Weed, 2009). Previous research, among which is highlighted the work by Williams and Zelinsky (1970), show that link, but fundamentally analyze the economic effects of some sport events.

While major sport events have been thoroughly analyzed in relation to their impact on the cities that hosted them, we should not forget the importance of smaller sporting events, which nevertheless imply wealth creation in the environment where they are held, mainly due to the influx of attendees and participants (Barajas & Sánchez, 2011; Hurtado, Ordaz, & Rueda, 2007; Wilson, 2006).

Similar studies have been conducted, such as the one by Hurtado et al. (2007), which indicated that according to Lee (2001), it can be said that the organization of sport competitions is a source of benefits to the places where they are held. Basically, these benefits are reflected in terms of enhancement of the image of the city and/or the environment in economic terms (direct income) and also in the revitalization and development of the general socio-economic fabric of the territory. Therefore, sport tourism through events can be considered an alternative to complement the seasonality of tourism in a locality; the reason why we consider it necessary to analyze these events with the aim of contributing data relevant to decision-making and to maximize the resources used. The fact of promoting activities related to sport tourism can provide a number of resources to the tourism sector of the localities in order to combat seasonality and promote the sustainability of the sector.

In this context, in which wealth creation is assumed mainly due to the influx of attendees, we want to focus our analysis and specifically on the expenditure done by the attendees to one of the tournaments organized by WPT, “Cáceres International Open”, held from 20th to 26th May 2013. Thus, the aim of our research is to present a conceptual model based on the use of structural equations, in which the influence of certain variables are analyzed, which are related to consumer buying behavior in the expenditure done during their attendance to the “Cáceres International Open” sport event. To do this, the work is divided into five sections, besides this first introduction section. In the second section we present the literature on sport tourism is done to identify those people attending sport events, their expenditure as a relevant factor within the economic impact generated by sport events and the variables under analysis. The third section presents the model together with the research hypotheses. The fourth section presents the methodology used. The fifth section shows the results obtained from the research, and finally the conclusions of the study conducted are reflected.

### Literature review

In an effort to analyze the relationship between tourism and sport the term “sport tourism” to better understand the use of sport as a tourism determinant (Kurtzman, 2001) is coined. There have been many authors who during the last two decades have provided different definitions of sport tourism (Esteve-Secall, 1991; Gammon & Robinson, 1997; Hall, 1992; Latiesa, Paniza, & Madrid, 2000; Standeven & De Knop, 1999; among others), having turned this discipline in the subject of academic research and in a subject of great interest for governments.

According to Deery et al. (2004), it is important for the future of tourism segmentation that markets be defined accurately. Research in the profiles of the segments will benefit the sector and provide a higher level of accuracy with policy and planning purposes. Tourist participation in sport activities can be active or passive. Hall (1992) first introduced the possibility of tourists participating by watching a sporting activity; and Gammon and Robinson (1997), as well as Standeven and De Knop (1999), who included in their definition the opportunity to participate actively or passively in the sport. Thus, the concept of sport tourism includes those people attending a sporting event to observe it. Based on these authors, the population under study we will analyze is defined and which will be the attendees at a sport event.

Based on literature reviews on previous economic impact (Barajas, Salgado, & Sánchez, 2012; Gouguet & Nys, 1993; Halba, 1997; Késenne, 2001; Késenne, Taks, Chalip, Green, & Martyn, 2011; Otero, 1994; Pedrosa & Salvador, 2003; Salgado, Barajas, Lera, & Sanchez, 2013), it can be determined that the analysis of impacts on sport is difficult, since among other problems, there are those related to considering as benefits the amounts spent on an activity. Moreover, there is some research detailing the different methodologies that have been used to develop the economic scale of sport (Barajas & Sánchez, 2011; Barajas et al., 2012; Hurtado et al., 2007; Pedrosa & Salvador, 2003). In this research, various methods used in studies of economic impact of events are observed, such as Satellite Accounts, input–output tables, the contingent valuation method, the computable general equilibrium model, cost-benefit analysis, and sectoral-regional analysis. Among all of these, the Cost–Benefit Analysis (CBA) stands out, whose objective is to compare the benefits of sport events for a region or country, which is the increase in value of consumption of the local population, with the costs of production factors that are needed to organize the event (Késenne, 2005). Through these methods the importance of the expenditure done by the attendees to the sport event is revealed, as it is considered as one of the factors involved in economic impact.

### Conceptual model and research hypotheses

When proposing a model to analyze, we must first identify the variables that could have an influence on the expenditure of attendees at a sport event. Following Turco, Swart, Bob, and Moodley (2003), the socioeconomic characteristics must be studied to understand the consumption patterns related to sport tourism, and thus, as Johnson (2010) suggests, understand the impacts of these events on the host community. It is therefore necessary to analyze the main explanatory variables in relation to models of buying behavior in tourism, to know which variables will affect to a larger extent the expenditure done by attendees at a sport event. We can differentiate between internal and external variables to the individual, following groupings made by Swarbrooke and Horner (1999), Decrop (2005), Sirakaya and Woodside (2005). In our study we will focus on the influence that certain internal variables of the individual have on the expenditure; so that, following Aragonés (2013), the buyer’s personal characteristics, motivation, perception and perceived quality will be the individual’s internal variables, which will be analyzed. So, in this paper the influence of external variables such as pull factors of a particular sport, type of sport event, where it takes place, social class or lifestyle of the individual, among others (Sirakaya & Woodside, 2005) will not be analyzed.

The buyer’s personal characteristics have been analyzed in relation to consumer buying habits, and this is evident in studies such as those by San Martín, Barman, and Rodríguez (2006). Among the personal characteristics, variables such as origin, gender, age or education and training (Bryant & Cha, 1996; Gordon, McKeage, & Fox, 1998; Hubourg & Gering, 2001; Kolodinsky, Nam, Jinkook, & Drzewiczewski, 2001; Mägi, 2003; Mittal & Kamakura, 2001; Nicolau, 2011; Parker, 1971; Shenwell, Croning, & Bullard, 1994; Smart & Martin, 1993; Wu, 2002) are usually analyzed. The following hypotheses arise from these authors: Hypothesis 1. The
origin of those attending sport events is related to the expenditure done during the sport event. **Hypothesis 2.** The education of those attending sport events is related to the expenditure done during the sport event. **Hypothesis 3.** The gender of attendees at sport events is related to the expenditure done during the sport event. **Hypothesis 4.** The age of attendees at sport events is related to the expenditure done during the sport event.

On the other hand, as suggested by Loureiro and Miranda (2010), concepts such as quality and satisfaction are subjective, since they are based on individuals’ perceptions. Therefore among the selected variables, there is a difference established between those that are a consequence of the characteristics of attendees at events and those variables that will be based on the perceptions of attendees at sport events. Among the studies that have focused on the analysis of the perception of quality and satisfaction of spectators of sport events, and from which arise the last two hypotheses proposed, those by Trail and James (2001) and Aragonés (2013), focused on the analysis of motivation, given its strong influence on the behavior of the tourist at sport events; while Yingzhi, Xiaoming, and Liu (2013) analyzed the influence of motivation on the impact factors related to sport events, adding economic benefit as one of the factors. As a result economic **Hypothesis 5** is originated. The reason for attendees coming to the sport event is related to the expenditure done during the sport event.

Methodology

To test these hypotheses, we used a dual methodology: Descriptive Statistics and Multivariate Analysis. Descriptive statistics was used to sort out the information obtained through a series of questionnaires given to those attending the event. This is aimed at characterizing the sample through parameters that describe it and that allow for later interpretations. In this case, the tools used were SPSS (v.21) and Excel (2010). The multivariate analysis was based on the use of structural equation modeling (Structural Equations Models – SEM) using the technique of Partial Least Square based on the variance (PLS). In this case, the tool used was the SmartPls v. 2.0.M3 (Ringle, Wende, & Will, 2005).

Questionnaire design

With the aim of collecting sample data of the attendees at the sport event, a questionnaire structured into three sections was designed, the first one related to the personal characteristics of the attendees, the second one related to subjective perceptions related to motivation, quality and perception of the attendees, and the third one related to the expenditure of attendees during the event. More information on this is available in the Annex.

Data analysis technique

The justification of the relevance of the analysis by Partial Least Squares – PLS – (Hair, Sarstedt, Hopkins, & Kuppelwieser, 2014; Sanz, Ruiz, & Aldás, 2008), finds reasons in the features which characterize the analysis of sport events, as well as in the fact that the aim of the research is focused on prediction, i.e. to explain the behavior of the dependent variable (Roldán & Sánchez-Franco, 2014).
Table 1
Attendees’ profile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Attendees accompanied to the event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>72.5% 486</td>
<td>Yes 50.2% 336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>27.5% 184</td>
<td>No 9.6% 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cáceres</td>
<td>67.8% 454</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badajoz</td>
<td>24.6% 165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>7.6% 51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>69.3% 464</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-university</td>
<td>30.7% 206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of companions</td>
<td>1.3% 9</td>
<td>None 50.2% 336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>28.5% 191</td>
<td>One 27.1% 182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>23.4% 157</td>
<td>Two 12.2% 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>19.4% 130</td>
<td>Three 7.1% 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>10.4% 70</td>
<td>Four 1.9% 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five</td>
<td>5.2% 35</td>
<td>More than five 1.5% 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than five</td>
<td>11.6% 78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 670 (100%)

Source: Authors’ own data.

---

2012). It is also an appropriate technique for very complex structural models (many constructs with many indicators), and the existence of formative and reflective indicators make this methodology adequate for our analysis.

Considering the above, Fig. 2 shows the structural model presented, where both the analyzed latent variables and their indicators can be observed.

---

2 We appreciate the indication given by Dr. J.L. Roldán, of the “Universidad de Sevilla”, in developing the methodological part.

---

Research results

Analysis of the measurement instrument

The model presented consists of variables whose indicators are of reflective nature, and also by another variable with formative indicators. Firstly, we perform the analysis on the validity and reliability of the measurement instruments of the reflective variables as indicated by Sanz et al. (2008) regarding the individual reliability of each construct. It is required that all Cronbach’s α (Cronbach, 1951) be above 0.7 (Churchill, 1979; Nunnally &
Bernstein, 1994). Similarly the composite reliability was calculated, (Fornell & Larcker, 1981; Verts, Linn, & Jöreskog, 1974) whose values must be higher than 0.6 according to Bagozzi and Yi (1988). Other authors indicate that the values must be higher than 0.7 for early stages and 0.8 for basic research (Nunnally, 1978; Roldán & Sánchez-Franco, 2012). Following this, an analysis of convergent validity (significance and size of loads, AVE) through the average variance extracted, showing in this case values higher than 0.5 for each variable (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). The values of the indicator loadings are required to be higher than 0.7 (Hair et al., 2014).

To finish the evaluation of the measurement instruments of the reflective variables, discriminant validity through the cross-loadings of an indicator with all the latent variables was analyzed, and as stated by Fornell and Larcker (1981) and Sanz et al. (2008), in operational terms the AVE between each pair of factors with the square of the estimated correlation between those same factors should be compared. The data of these variables are shown in Table 2.

As well as the above, we perform the analysis on the validity and reliability of the measurement instruments of formative variables, which in our model is expenditure using the criteria of MacKenzie, Podsakoff, and Jarvis (2005); for this as indicated by Sanz et al. (2008) an analysis of co-linearity by using SPSS because SmartPils does not perform it by default was carried out. In this case, tolerance values greater than 0.2 are required (Hair, Ringle, & Sarstedt, 2011), and values less than 3.3 for the inflation factor of variance (Diamantopoulos & Siguaw, 2006) for the indicators. The results of the Co-linearity analysis are shown in Table 3. Finally, an analysis of the weight-load relationship of the indicator and its significance was performed (Hair et al., 2014).

### Analysis of the structural model

Firstly, an analysis of the significance of structural relationships through Bootstrapping was performed, using 5000 subsamples (Hair et al., 2014) so that if they are significant, there will be empirical support to support the relationships contained in the hypotheses. Then the variance of the dependent latent variables was examined, in our case the expenditure, explained by the

### Table 2

Evaluation of reflective indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CA</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Motivation</th>
<th>Perception</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>Perception</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>Origin</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ own data.

### Table 3

Co-linearity Analysis of the expenditure variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Co-linearity statistics</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>FIV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation expenses</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>1.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar and rest. expenses</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>2.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel expenses</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>2.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch-dinner expenses</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>3.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel expenses</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>1.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average expenditure events</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>1.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average expenditure pade</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>2.792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ own data.

### Table 4

Evaluation of the structural model. Effect on the endogenous variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Q²</th>
<th>Original sample (O)</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Explained variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1 Origin → Expenditure</td>
<td>0.5135</td>
<td>0.0857</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2 Education → Expenditure</td>
<td>−0.0419</td>
<td>−0.021</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3 Gender → Expenditure</td>
<td>0.0525</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4 Age → Expenditure</td>
<td>0.1736</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5 Motivation → Expenditure</td>
<td>−0.0526</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6 Evaluation → Expenditure</td>
<td>0.0689</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ own data.

### Table 5

Structural model results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original sample (O)</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>T statistics</th>
<th>Supported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1Origin → Expenditure</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>13.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Education → Expenditure</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>2.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Gender → Expenditure</td>
<td>−0.042</td>
<td>−0.109</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Age → Expenditure</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>1.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Motivation → Expenditure</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>2.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6Evaluation → Expenditure</td>
<td>−0.053</td>
<td>−0.122</td>
<td>−0.003</td>
<td>1.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7Perception → Expenditure</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>1.781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ own data.

*p (0.05);
*p (0.01);
*p (0.001);
2-tailed Student T.
constructs that predict them ($R^2$). According to Falk and Miller (1992) the required value should not be less than 0.1, following Sanz et al. (2008) the interpretation of the minimum value clearly depends on the context of the research. Finally, the analysis of the predictive relevance of the model ($Q^2$) by blindfolding, requiring in this case positive values (Hair et al., 2014) was performed. The data of these variables are shown in Table 4.

The variance of the expenditure explained by the constructs that predict them ($R^2$) has a value of 0.337, higher than 0.1 (Falk & Miller, 1992), the result can be identified as moderate according to Chin (1998). Regarding the predictive relevance of the model for the endogenous latent variable, we observe a value ($Q^2$) of 0.125, so that, according to Hair et al. (2014), we can consider that the proposed model has predictive relevance. Table 4 shows the extent to which the predictor variables contribute to the explained variance of the expenditure variable.

With the empirical data obtained, the existence of relationship between the variables analyzed and expenditure is verified. Our work shows the significance between the relationships of the variables origin, education, motivation and perception on the latent variable expenditure of attendees; relationships contained in hypotheses 1, 2, 5 and 7. Hypotheses 3, 4 and 6 do not find empirical support in this research and are considered non-significant, concluding that gender, age of attendees does not have influence on expenditure, as well as the attendees’ evaluation made of the sport event. The data obtained are shown in Table 5.

**Discussion, conclusion and implications**

As for the conclusions of this study, regarding the proposed model, we can highlight that a conceptual framework is provided to support research in the field of sport tourism; in particular one of the groups that affects the economic impact generated by sport events in cities, which are attendees at sport events.

The paper also provides a literature review regarding the variables and their impact on the field of sport events. This will enable to define them more accurately to advance research and segmentation of sport tourism. In addition to the above and meeting the objective of the research, some of the variables that can have an impact on expenditure by attendees at a sport event are shown.

Moreover, as a consequence of the literature review, we observed that the results obtained by measuring the economic impact in our study are similar to other research conducted on sport events with similar characteristics. We refer to those events with the attendance of national and international participants, however, its economic impact is very limited, being events which are characterized mainly for being unique and irregular (Barajas et al., 2012; Gratton, Dobson, & Shibli, 2000; Wilson, 2006).

Although the purpose of this analysis is not to measure the economic impact, a Benefit/Cost ratio of 13.85 Euros (Jiménez-Naranjo, Coca-Pérez, Gutiérrez-Fernández, & Sánchez-Escobedo, 2015) was obtained and this value was 9.98 Euros for Hurtado et al. (2007), or 15.53 Euros for Barajas and Sánchez (2011).

Then the proposed model and the results concerning the reliability of the model and its predictive capacity are discussed, analyzing the contrast of hypothesis proposed. The results suggest that variables such as gender, age, and perceived quality by the attendee at the sport event do not affect his/her global expenditure in the host city; while other variables such as their origin, education, motivation or perception of the sport event do affect the global expenditure of spectators, highlighting the origin of the attendee among the variables that affect expenditure. In this regard, we should note that the results obtained can relate to those in other studies discussed in the literature review, highlighting Nicolau (2011), where the effect that movement to the leisure destination involves, as it implies higher costs is analyzed. This author notes the design of promotional campaigns aimed at a segment with appropriate characteristics; Sánchez, Barajas, and Alen (2013), who indicate the positive aspects of holding a sporting event to ensure a number of attendees for several days in the town, which involves a daily expenditure; or Navarro-García et al. (2013), authors who point out the importance for sport organizations as event managers, the perception of the event and the quality perceived by its users, being consistent with our analysis because the first variable influences the expenditure of users or attendees at the event and although the second variable does not affect this analysis, it should be related to the other variables, as we will point out for future research.

With all of the above, it can be concluded that the model developed provide empirical evidence on the relationship of certain variables related to the model of consumer behavior on the expenditure of those attendees at a sport event, allowing us to advance the research of certain sport tourism activities, such as sport events. Therefore, this analysis will benefit the sector and provide a higher level of accuracy for policy and planning purposes. As for the implications for the management of such events, we consider that the identification of variables that influence the attendees’ expenditure will enable managers to make decisions about programming those sport events that attract a greater number of attendees, which will generate resources in the locality. As for the theoretical implications, this study provides a conceptual model that will allow further analysis, besides the literature review shows the variables and their effects on expenditure in the field of sport events.

Despite the above mentioned, it should be noted that this analysis has a major limitation, which is that it is a novel study regarding the subject and methodological tool applied, so in some cases it has been difficult to obtain a bibliography suitable in which to base ourselves to build the model. The fact that we are in the early stages of development of scales, and in order to analyze the measurement instruments related with the variables, it was considered appropriate to use a methodology which subsequently enables the analysis of the relationships between variables, based on the contributions made through our research, which will allow to compare the structural models through the same methodology. Another limitation that is derived from the novelty of our study is the interpretation of the expenditure as a latent variable, which we justify that expenditure components may not be the same for each individual, so there may be unobservable factors affecting the amount spent (Urquieta-Salomón, Figueroa, & Hernández-Prado, 2008). The consideration of the expenditure as a formative latent variable enables us to rank the indicators that form it, which will give us relevant information for the management of sport events, as we will know which ones have more weight on that variable.

Consequently and finally, we would like to express the future lines of research in which we want to work in future publications. Firstly, we consider it appropriate to group the variables that correspond to consumer characteristics into a single multi-group variable that meets these characteristics, in order to form a profile of the attendee. Secondly, we want to relate the variables between each other, so that the influence of attendees’ profile on motivation and perception of quality can be analyzed, without forgetting the expenditure as the dependent variable; following Uriel and Aldás (2005), and because dependency relationships between variables will be established. This analysis must be done through the same methodology that was used in this research, the use of structural equations, so that the structural models proposed can be compared. Thirdly, it would be suitable to analyze the influence that the incorporation of external variables could have on the model, using as a moderator variable the different types of sport events. And finally, we would like to apply the improved model to other sport events and other events, such as cultural events.
Annex. Conducted survey

A. Survey respondent’s information

1. Sex:  Male  Female
2. Age: .......... years old
3. Are you a resident in the city?  Yes  No
4. Origin (locality and province)..........................................................................................
5. Approx. distance in Km: .......... 
6. Education level:  University  Non-university
7. Work:  Public Administration  Housewife
Unemployed  Self-employed
Student  Employee
8. Relationship with participant/s:  None  Family  Friendship
9. Has the main reason for staying in Cáceres been to attend the event?:  Yes  No
10. Are you attending it accompanied?:  Yes  No
11. If yes, specify the number of people........ and how many of them are relatives: ........

B. Opinion about world padel tour event

12. Rate from 1-5 (1 = lowest score, 5 = highest score) the quality of different aspects concerning the event held in Cáceres.
   a) Sports facilities......  b) Program......  c) Organization...........

13. Tick the box giving your opinion about the following statements regarding the impact of the event in Cáceres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Totally disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Indifferent</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Totally agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It increases recognition and promotion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It represent an element of pride and satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It generates a traffic and security problem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It alters the normal rhythm and harms other activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It causes an increase in tourism during and after the event</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It involves economic loss as the investment is higher than the profits obtained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic advantages are concentrated in a few enterprises and people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public expenditure is necessary for holding these types of events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. What score would you give the event as a whole? Rate from 1-5 (1 = lowest score, 5 = highest score): ........
C. Estimate of expenditure during the world padel tour in Cáceres

15. Tickets: [ ] Daily [ ] Season [ ] Complimentary  
Total expenditure: …………€

16. Accommodation: Are you going to stay overnight in the city?  [ ] Yes  [ ] No

17. In the case that the previous answer is affirmative, how many nights are you going to spend? ……….. nights

18. What type of accommodation have you chosen?
   a) Hotel (indicate category)………
   b) Hostel
   c) Rural house
   d) Guesthouse
   e) Parador
   f) Others (indicate which)…………….

19. Could you specify how much you have approximately spent in Cáceres on the following concepts (tick the box)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>0 €</th>
<th>1-30 €</th>
<th>30-60 €</th>
<th>60-90 €</th>
<th>90-120 €</th>
<th>120-150 €</th>
<th>Over 150 €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch/dinner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport (taxi, bus…)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Press</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bars and restaurants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clothes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Souvenirs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museums/sightseeing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (specify):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. What means of transport did you use to come to the World Padel Tour? You can tick several options
   [ ] Own vehicle  [ ] In a friend/relative’s car  [ ] Coach
   [ ] Train  [ ] Provided by the organization  [ ] Aeroplane

21. How much did you spend on this means of transport (return)? ……………………€

22. What is the average expenditure you have on these types of events? …………€

23. And on this one in particular, how much do you estimate you will spend? …………€

24. How much would you prepared to pay for the World Padel Tour to be held in Cáceres again? …………€
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