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Betting exclusively for private labels: Could it have negative consequences for retailers?
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Abstract Private labels have experienced significant growth in Spain in recent years. The size and composition of product assortments has been impacted by the development of own retail brands, in many cases discarding national brands. Some retailers experienced problems arising from these decisions, being forced to reintroduce some of these brands, suggesting the need to have extensive knowledge about the expected consequences of these decisions on brand management, both in terms of volume of sales and image. In this paper, we analyze the relationship between different consumer attitudinal variables and a number of variables related to consumer perception of the store and purchasing behavior, in assortments composed exclusively of private labels. By means of an experiment based on an online survey, the model’s causal relationships are established using structural equations to conclude that the image of stores that only offer their own brand is mainly configured by price consciousness and the attitude toward the private label. The private label purchase intention is strongly influenced by the store image and a favorable attitude toward the brand, and loyalty strategies should be aimed at securing a clear perception of providing real value.

© 2017 ESIC & AEMARK. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

PALABRAS CLAVE
Marca privada; Minoristas; Diversidad; Marca nacional; Imagen

Apuesta exclusiva por las marcas privadas: ¿podría tener un impacto negativo para los minoristas?

Resumen En los últimos años, las marcas privadas han experimentado un crecimiento considerable en España. El tamaño y composición de la diversidad de producto se han visto influenciados por el desarrollo de las marcas minoristas propias, descartando en muchos casos
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Introduction

Retail distribution sector is clearly important in Spain’s economy. According to the country’s Annual Trade Survey, carried out by its National Statistics Institute, in 2013 wholesale and retail contributed 12.3% to the gross added value of the Spanish economy, and accounted for 10.9% of the workers in the social security system. In 2014, the estimated turnover of retail trade stood at 206,776,441 euros, achieving the greatest increase in recent years. According to figures from consultants Kantar Worldpanel (2016), Mercadona is, by far, Spain’s leading supermarket chain, with a 22.3% share of retail food sales in 2015, followed by Carrefour (8.6%), DIA (8.2%), Grupo Eroski (5.8%) and Lidl and Auchan (3.8%). Also according to the same report, the ten leading distribution groups in Spain encompass 52.9% of sales of fast-moving consumer goods. This is why any decisions made by sector companies with regard to their brand portfolio are of particular importance.

The market share of private labels (PLs) in Spain reached 42% by value and 49.7% by volume in 2014 (IRI, 2015). Large supermarkets increased their share to 48%, with Mercadona leading the market, followed by Carrefour and Eroski. Spain’s top ten retailers accounted for 84% of the market share. Nevertheless, noteworthy is the strong progress made by retail formats such as “hard discount” stores and “category killers”, some of which can on occasion sell only their own PLs.

The changes arising in Spain in food-based retail distribution from the 1970s onwards have been highly significant, and have been accentuated by the recent economic recession, which has caused a shift in consumer priorities and behavior. One of the most important changes has been the consolidation of PLs, something that has caused far-reaching changes in the makeup of companies’ assortments. The increased market share of PLs has become generalized worldwide, with a special impact upon the consumer packaged goods sector (Ailawadi, Pauwels, & Steenkamp, 2008). Development of PLs has been boosted by the organization of food distribution through chains of retailers, mainly in Europe and other developed economies. Although the start of this great growth coincided with the economic crisis, companies have been able to develop strategies that allow them to take advantage of the opportunity to develop and consolidate their PLs. These own brands have also noticeably improved their positioning and image amongst consumers, thanks to greater on-shelf presence and significant investment in communication by retailers. In 2014 13% of PLs sold in Spain were on sale, a 4% increase over the previous year, due largely to Mercadona’s firm backing for its own brand, which led it to using the price promotion strategy for the first time (IRI, 2015). All this has meant that PLs are often considered as an optimal alternative to national brands (Lamey, Deleersnyder, Steenkamp, & Dekimpe, 2012), in that they offer good value for money.

The literature provides a variety of definitions for private labels. Serra and Puelles (1993) refer to them as those that, disassociated from the brand of the manufacturer producing them, are sold by a specific distributor that gives them its own or another different name, with it being this distributor that carries out the marketing work. Casares and Rebollo (1996) state that private labels refer to those products made by a specific industrial concern that are offered to consumers under the name or brand of the distributor or retailer, which carries out all the marketing work associated therewith. For Puelles and Puelles (2003), private labels are brands whose legal title is held by the distributor and whose production is usually entrusted to an established manufacturer. In their production, the manufacturer follows the specifications set by the retailer with regard to composition, quality, design, packaging, etc. The American Marketing Association (AMA) refers to private labels as a brand that is owned and controlled by the product’s distributor, such as the retailer or wholesaler, rather than by its manufacturer. The term applies not only to the brand itself, but also encompasses the product and its content. There is a wide variety of names used to describe these brands (Fernández & Reinares, 1998; Galván, 2007), including:
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private labels, private brands, store brands, own labels, own brands, retailer’s brands and home brands.

Retailers may launch PLs developing different market strategies and seeking different competitive positions by means of different customer value propositions (González-Benito & Martos-Partal, 2010). Said value propositions may vary significantly in terms of coverage of product categories, price, objective quality, shelf positioning, promotional efforts, etc., meaning that consumer perception of the benefits and risks of PLs varies greatly from one to the other. The classification of PLs most commonly used, in both academic and professional circles, is that proposed by Kumar and Steenkamp (2007), who point to the existence of four types of private labels with regard to customer value propositions: generics, copycats, premium store brands and value innovators. This gives rise to different types of PLs. The Alliance Consulting Group (2014) establishes a classification of the different private labels based on their features, distinguishing between the traditional private label, retailer brand, brand with value position, retailer brand with premium position, umbrella brand, category brand, store brand and orphan brand.

The market features two opposing kinds of demand or trends: the demand for increasingly differentiated products and that for basic products, through which the consumer basically considers price (Berné, 2006). The demand for basic products, which has grown significantly in recent years and which has increased market share following the economic crisis, mainly translates into PLs or low-cost brands. With such products, retailers seek to obtain a better return from their assortment (Cruz, 1999; Méndez, Oubiña, & Rubio, 2008) and to boost customer loyalty (Oubiña, Rubio, & Yague, 2006). It must be borne in mind that consumers seek greater efficiency when purchasing these brands: in other words, lower prices, similar perceived quality to national brands and confidence in their choice. Nevertheless, these opposing trends also affect many products and intermediary brands, which, taken together, make up the retailer’s portfolio. Price is not the only determining factor in a consumer’s decision-making process, which is also affected by those such as convenience and good value for money.

The expansion of PLs has caused structural changes that affect the sector as a whole: retailers, manufacturers and consumers. Retailers have embarked upon a clear strategy of market segmentation through their PLs, in close accordance with price, product category and the benefits sought by consumers (Casteló, 2012), originating a number of scenarios in which to implement the wide variety of PLs. So it is that PLs have expanded beyond market segments based almost exclusively on price, to position themselves as brands that offer unbeatable value for money and are attractive to a new kind of consumer who has developed a keen consciousness of pricing and value. Today, retail management cannot simply rely on offering very broad assortments or on designing a sales strategy based on a single brand, the in-house one, at very aggressive prices. They must offer customers an assortment that, irrespective of its size and composition, offers real value to customers and an appropriate response to their needs (Miranda & Joshi, 2003). The main function of the retailer must be to help secure a significant improvement in the efficiency of the consumer’s purchasing process, which will help give the former a competitive edge and specific commercial differentiation (Berné, 2006). As a result, a new concept in stores is emerging that aims to attract a greater number of consumers toward its PLs, offering both low prices and good value for money. In recent years, Spain has seen the opening of retail establishments with very wide assortments, but employing a model similar to that of cash and carries (e.g., Costco and Dealz).

Within this context of the growing presence of PLs, many companies have implemented strategies of reducing their assortment, chiefly by means of withdrawing a great number of national brands and giving more prominence to their own labels (Ailawadi & Harlam, 2004; Henderson & Mihas, 2000). It is known that there are different assortment reduction strategies, ranging from those that eliminate only some products from different brands, to other, more radical, ones that choose to remove all of a specific brand’s products within an assortment category (Sloot & Verhoef, 2008), which is also known as “brand delisting”.

Looking at the compilation made by Gázquez-Abad, Martínez-López, Mondejar-Jiménez, and Esteban-Millat (2015) of retailers implementing strategies of delisting brands from their assortment, we can quote the examples of Wal-Mart (which cut its overall assortment by 30% in the UK and 7.6% in the US), Dutch food chain Edah, Britain’s Asda and Germany’s Edeka and Metro, among others. The Carrefour group introduced a product category optimization program, reducing the size of its assortment by 15% (Berg & Queck, 2010). In Spain, best known is the case of Mercadona, which, in December 2008, removed from its shelves almost 800 brands from different manufacturers, some of which are leaders in their product category (e.g., Nestlé, Calvo and Pascual). Nevertheless, many of these retailers (including Mercadona) were subsequently forced to reintroduce some of these previously withdrawn brands to prevent consumer boycotts and the harm that the decision was causing to their own image (Sloot & Verhoef, 2011).

Therefore, it is not just a simple decision of delisting brands from the assortment, without further ado. Withdrawing certain national brands may harm the store’s image, as consumers regard its assortment as incomplete, either because it does not include most available brands (Pepe, Abratt, & Dion, 2012), or because it does not include leading ones (Sloot & Verhoef, 2008). Some papers suggest that retailers should seek a proper balance between PLs and national brands (e.g., González-Benito & Martos-Partal, 2010).

So, what are retailers to do? Should they indeed seek such a balance between national brands and their own labels? Or should they, by way of contrast, opt for assortments without national brands? Obviously, the decision taken by the retailer in this regard is a vital one, not only from the viewpoint of costs and sales margins, but also from that of the image that consumers will develop of the company itself. The response to the above questions is therefore key to a retailer’s success, as it will allow it to know which brands should comprise its assortment and which it can eliminate without hurting its image or its turnover figures.

With this in mind, an online experiment has been developed, with four product categories and a sample of 1400 individuals belonging to a large Spanish consumer panel. The consumer response has been analyzed by means of estimating a structural equation model in which
this is measured in terms of: (1) store image; (2) store switching intentions, and (3) the private label purchase intention.

This research represents a significant contribution to brand management literature because, whilst it is true that some papers have analyzed the potential consequences for the retailer of using different assortment combinations (e.g. Sloot & Verhoef, 2008; Wieland & Hildebrandt, 2002), such works kept at least one national brand alongside the PLs in the makeup of the assortments offered. Only the paper by Gázquez-Abad et al. (2015) considers, alongside two “mixed” alternatives (national brands with PLs), a scenario in which the retailer offered only its own brand. Nevertheless, these authors restricted themselves to comparing which option was most beneficial to the retailer based on an estimation of regression models that only included loyalty to the store as a dependent variable. Our study, unlike the aforementioned one, includes, together with loyalty to the store, its image and the PL purchase intention as consumer response variables. Another differentiating feature of our work is the methodology employed. In this regard, estimation of the structural equation model permits the simultaneous estimation of the relationships between these three crucial aspects of retail management, as well as that between the set of attitudinal variables used (product category involvement, value consciousness, attitude toward the PL, value consciousness and perception of assortment variety) and the former.

The paper is structured as follows. Firstly, it reviews the literature to provide justification for the proposed hypotheses for the relationships between the variables considered. Next, it presents the methodology employed for this research, as well as the results obtained. Finally, it presents the conclusions, with our proposals with regard to the implications arising for retail management.

Review of the literature and development of hypotheses

Fig. 1 shows the framework for the research and depicts how the attitudinal variables (product category involvement, price consciousness, attitude toward the PL, price consciousness and perception of assortment variety) and the dependent variables (store image, store switching intentions and PL purchase intention) are related, always within an environment of assortments made up exclusively of PLs, excluding national brands.

It then provides theoretical justification for the incorporation of the variables into the general model for the dependency relationship proposed between them, which subsequently translates into the formulation of the research’s hypothesis. It starts with the variables that may have a direct influence on the store image, to continue with the background for store switching intentions and for the PL purchase intention.

Store image

Price is an indicator of the quality of products and of retailers (Darwar & Parker, 1994), such that large differences in prices between products and retailers may also lead to significant differences in the perception of quality (Richardson, Dick, & Jain, 1994). In this way, price becomes an important factor in choosing brands and stores (Ailawadi, Neslin, & Gedenk, 2001; Burton, Lichtenstein, Netemeyer, & Garretson, 1998; Manzur, Olavarrieta, Hidalgo, Farias, & Uribe, 2011; Méndez et al., 2008; Sethuraman, 2006).

Price consciousness refers to the degree to which consumers focus exclusively on paying as little as possible (Lichtenstein, Ridgway, & Netemeyer, 1993). Those with high...
price consciousness prioritize low prices, excluding other factors when evaluating a brand or store, in that they believe them to be superfluous elements that do not provide them with real value. Based on the aforementioned evidence, we propose the following hypothesis:

H1. High price consciousness has a direct and positive impact on a store image.

The current economic crisis has helped give rise to growing generalized consumer interest in PLs (Lamey, Delheersnyder, Dekimpe, & Steenkamp, 2007). In fact, there is now broad acceptance for PLs, which in some cases directly compete with national brands. Many retailers have taken strategic advantage of all of this to develop plans to expand their PLs (Gómez, Oubiña, & Rubio, 2011), even going so far as to introduce them into new product categories.

Numerous research papers have found a relationship between different variables associated with consumer behavior and store image, although only a few of them have analyzed the relationship between attitude and the perception of a store image and found it to be significant (e.g., Ajzen & Fishbein, 2004).

Given the above, we propose the following hypothesis:

H2. A favorable attitude toward private labels has a direct and positive impact on a store image.

Perception of assortment variety can be defined as the overall assessment made by a consumer of a specific set of products constituting a category within the store, to satisfy a specific consumer need (Bauer, Kotouc, & Rudolph, 2012). Said perception may be influenced by numerous factors, such as the shelf/display implementation (Simonson, 1999), or the presence or absence of a favorite product (Broniarczyk, Hoyer, & McAlister, 1998).

The assortment is one of the key factors in a store image, helping to establish its personality and its success (Vázquez & Trespalacios, 2006). Although there is no consensus as to the optimal size of the assortment, since both larger and smaller ones have both pros and cons for consumers (Briesch, Chintagunta, & Fox, 2009; Cherny & Hamilton, 2009), it can be stated that large assortments have greater backing from, and tend to be beneficial for, consumers, in that they offer more options (White & Hoffman, 2009).

We therefore propose the following hypothesis:

H3. Perception of a wide variety in the assortment has a direct and positive impact on a store image.

Private label purchase intention

Consumer engagement with a product category tends to be defined as their long-term perception of the importance of a particular category, based on their inherent needs as a consumer, their values and their interests (De Wulf, Odekerken-Schröder, & Iacobucci, 2001). The literature includes a number of papers regarding purchase intention as a key element in understanding consumer behavior in the decision-making process (e.g. Chakravarti & Janiszewski, 2003).

Product category involvement impacts the PL purchasing decision, although there is no clear sense of the relationship, as the literature provides conflicting results (Berkowitz, Bao, & Allaway, 2005; Miquel, Capilliure, & Aldas-Manzano, 2002). This is probably due to the fact that the relationship between category involvement and PL purchase intention is dependent upon the type of product. Given that a large number of consumers currently perceive PL products as providing assurances and competing in quality with national brands (e.g., Castelló, 2012; Fernández, 2010), we suggest the following hypothesis:

H4. A high degree of product category involvement has a direct and positive impact on private label purchase intention.

Private labels have greatly improved their image in recent years, allowing consumers to develop a more favorable attitude toward them. For many consumers, PLs are no longer a secondary option or a second-class brand, but are now regarded as a real alternative to national brands, competing with them on an equal footing.

Retailers have seen that they must provide real value to consumers with their assortments, leading them to make efforts to develop strategies delivering brand value (Pappu & Quester, 2006). It is in this regard, that they are aware that they must boost their PLs, which are perceived of as having a quality similar to that of national brands, but at better prices, to thereby increase the purchase intention of said brand on the part of consumers.

In the literature, we find that a positive prior attitude toward a brand has a positive influence on the decision to purchase said brand (e.g., Kelmei-Schneider, 2004; Zielke & Dobbelstein, 2007). In light of this, we propose the following hypothesis with regard to PLs:

H5. A favorable attitude toward private labels has a direct and positive impact on private label purchase intention.

Value consciousness is defined as the concern for paying less, but subject to certain limitations with regard to product quality (Lichtenstein et al., 1993). Put another way, it involves securing optimal value for money.

Currently, and to a great extent due to private labels’ new position amongst consumers, the latter are more inclined to regard PLs as giving them an optimal balance between quality and price, leading them to consider them during their purchasing decisions. The literature points to a positive and significant relationship between value consciousness and a favorable attitude toward purchasing PLs, showing that these brands have achieved the value positioning sought by retailers (Garretson, Fisher, & Burton, 2002). This is confirmed by the existence of a positive and direct correlation between value consciousness and PL purchase intention (Jin & Suh, 2005), thus allowing us to formulate the following hypothesis:

H6. A high degree of value consciousness has a direct and positive impact on private label purchase intention.
The conveyance of trustworthiness by a store image will allay doubts about a product and increase a consumer’s purchase intention (Semeijn, Van Riel, & Ambrosini, 2004; Siti, Pan, & Mohaini, 2012). A favorable retailer image can reduce the consumer perception of risk, thereby adding value to its PLs (Agarwal & Teas, 2001).

Furthermore, the literature supports the existence of a positive relationship between a store image and the private label purchase intention of consumers (Liljander, Polsa, & Van Riel, 2009). Similarly, a strong, exclusive PL can boost loyalty toward a store and the PL purchase intention (Hu & Chuang, 2009). Based on this, we suggest the following hypothesis:

**H7.** A favorable store image has a direct and positive impact on private label purchase intention.

**Store switching intentions**

Martineau (1958) introduced the concept of store image, as a consumer’s definition of a store based on its attributes, on both a functional and a psychological level. A store image therefore has a different positioning for every consumer. For its part, North, De Vos, and Kotze (2003) links a store’s identity to its own image, which it considers an influencing factor in the initial stage of the consumer’s purchasing decision-making process.

Store image is considered an important background factor in store loyalty, favoring repeat purchases and lessening store switching intentions (Wu, Yeh, & Hsiao, 2011). Someone with a favorable image of a store will develop a degree of loyalty commensurate with the favorable image he or she possesses (Johnson, Gustafsson, & Andreassen, 2001).

Based on this review of the literature, we propose the following hypothesis:

**H8.** A positive store image has a direct and negative impact on store switching intentions.

Value-conscious consumers are characterized by paying special attention to the value for money they receive (Lichtenstein, Netemeyer, & Ridgway, 1990). Perceived value has a positive influence upon loyalty toward stores (Chen & Quester, 2006).

Nevertheless, we should highlight the fact that perceived value is a concept that is subjective in nature, arising from the comparative analysis by consumers of perceived benefits and the effort they must make (McDougall & Levesque, 2000). Loyalty is in this way defined from a twin standpoint: attitudinal and behavioral (Dick & Basu, 1994; Oliver, 1999). Given that changing store also entails cost and effort on the consumer’s part, we propose the following hypothesis:

**H9.** Value consciousness has a direct and negative impact on store switching intentions.

The literature provides support for the fact that decisions on choosing stores display even more sensitivity toward changes in assortment variety than changes in prices (Briesch et al., 2009). Numerous authors have found that assortment variety has a positive effect on store choice and loyalty thereto (e.g., Arnold, Ma, & Tigert, 1978; Baker, Parasuraman, Grewal, & Voss, 2002; Finn & Louviere, 1996; Hoch, Bradlow, & Wansink, 1999; Sirohi, McLaughlin, & Wittink, 1998; Verhoef, Langerak, & Donkers, 2007). Wider assortments tend to be more attractive to consumers (Chernev, 2003; White & Hoffrage, 2009). So, we propose the following hypothesis:

**H10.** Perception of a wide assortment variety has a direct and negative impact on store switching intentions.

When consumers buy a product from a company, they not only purchase the product itself, but also receive a set of values from said company. In the specific case of PLs, ownership and control are in the hands of the retailer, which normally sells the products exclusively in its stores. In this way, PL products boost a retailer’s image and can attract consumers (Gómez & Okazaki, 2007).

We find numerous papers arguing that a positive PL image encourages consumers to repurchase the same PL, in that it represents a series of values and, as a result, to return to the same store, discouraging store switching intentions (e.g., Allen & Rao, 2000; Corstjens & Lai, 2000; Martenson, 2007; Paiva, Sandoval, & Bernardin, 2012; Rondán, Navarro, & Phau, 2006; San Martin, 2006).

In particular, Spanish consumers inclined toward PLs are characterized by their store loyalty (Martínez & Montaner, 2008). So, the following hypothesis is suggested:

**H11.** Private label purchase intent has a direct and negative impact on store switching intentions.

**Methodology**

To test the hypotheses formulated above, an online experiment was developed with a sample of 1400 individuals belonging to an existing large consumer panel in Spain belonging to IRI. At the time of the study (March 2013), IRI had a consumer panel comprising 322,883 individuals aged between 24 and 65 responsible for their household purchases of food, cleaning and personal care products in supermarkets and hypermarkets, The IRI panel is statistically representative of the Spanish population, in terms of both socio-demographic variables (gender, age, income level, education level and family size) and geographical distribution.

Following IRI’s recommendations of having a sample of at least 1000 individuals (at least 35 people for each of the experiment’s scenarios) to guarantee its representativeness, it was decided to use a sample of 1400 individuals. These 1400 people, aged between 24 and 65 (with an average age of 41.75) had a gender distribution of 59.7% women and 40.3% men. The final number of individuals for each type of category was 35 and, bearing in mind that the experiment covered four product categories, the total number of subjects for each assortment combination (this paper exclusively examines assortments offering PLs only) was 140. This paper focuses on the two scenarios composed exclusively of PLs (high and low value), and so the sample comprises a total of 280 individuals.

The experiment took into account four product categories: yogurt, sliced sandwich bread, detergent and toilet paper. The choice of these categories was made based on
the classification carried out by Dhar, Hoch, and Kumar (2001) on the basis of the penetration/frequency relationship, which established four product categories: (1) staples (high penetration/high frequency); (2) niche products (low penetration/high frequency); (3) variety enhancers (high penetration/low frequency); and (4) fill-ins (low penetration/low frequency). Selection of product categories, and their inclusion in one of the four groups defined by Dhar et al. (2001), was carried out on the basis of a sample of 53 categories, which represented more than 60% of sales of fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG) on the Spanish market.

Within each category, the brands submitted in the different scenarios were classified and selected based on their market share in Spain and the rating given to each brand by members of the IRI consumer panel, looking for those that were representative of the market. The same private label was used in all the categories. After analysis of a number of factors such as sales, PL awareness, the shelf space given over by the retailer and the number of stores, we selected the following PLs: Hacendado and Bosque Verde (Mercadona), and Auchan (Alcampo).1

Composite scales were used to measure the different variables of the proposed theoretical model, as they permit the assessment of psychological variables that are not directly observable (Churchill, 2003). Likert-type scales were employed, which are widely used in the literature on assortment and brands.

After validating the measurement scales by means of exploratory factor analysis (EFA) of the available data and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), the causal relationships (relationship hypotheses) of the proposed structural equation model were compared. To perform this analysis, the SPSS 18 statistics package was used, as was AMOS 16.

In accordance with the procedure and in line with Anderson and Gerbing (1988), we first carried out a joint confirmatory analysis of all the measurement scales using the structural equations methodology. Joint CFA of all the measurement scales of the proposed model gave satisfactory results. The goodness of fit of the confirmatory model showed a correct specification of the proposed factorial structure (Table 1).

Having assessed the reliability and validity of the model’s scales using CFA, we proceeded to test the causal relationships of the structural model using the structural equations methodology. The SEM statistical technique was considered the most appropriate for analysis of the relationships identified in the proposed model. Once it was confirmed that the model had a good overall fit, we proceeded to observe the significance of the estimated parameters and thus assess the relevance of the initially specified causal relationships.

The findings confirmed that the different indicators showed a good fit with the data model, with squared multiple correlation (R²) values of 0.076 (store image), 0.274 (private line purchase intention) and 0.21 (store switching intentions).

Findings

The findings obtained show significant and non-significant relationships between the model’s variables. Table 2 contains the final results of the estimations of all the relationships.

Beginning with the significant relationships, the findings allow us to accept hypotheses H1, H2, H5, H7, H10 and H11. H1 and H2 have a direct relationship with store image. It is confirmed that the relationship between price consciousness and store image is consistent with the arguments made by the literature, to the effect that consumers concerned with price pay greater attention to this variable, focusing exclusively on paying as little as possible (Lichtenstein et al., 1993) or prioritizing it above other product attributes, which they consider superfluous. Also confirmed is the relationship between a favorable attitude toward PLs and store image. The literature endorses the positive relationship between a favorable attitude toward assortment and store image, with the attitude toward private brands being the subject of much research (e.g., Mihic & Culina, 2006; Pandaya & Joshi, 2012), with some papers focusing on the relationship between the perception of store image and attitude (e.g., Ajzen, 1988; Ajzen & Fishbein, 2004). This relationship is also supported by the work of Zielke and Dobbelstein (2007), who argue that attitudes toward PLs have, generally, a significant impact upon the image of and attitude toward a specific store.

The results obtained also support the existence of a relationship between a favorable attitude toward a PL and the intent to purchase this label. Generally speaking, the more favorable the attitude with regard to a behavior, the greater an individual’s likelihood of carrying out said behavior (Ajzen, 1991). In this regard, the strategic aspect of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Goodness of fit of structural model (single-brand assortments).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>NFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Final results of estimates.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis</td>
<td>Estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H1)</td>
<td>IMG_E ← CONC_P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H2)</td>
<td>IMG_E ← AT_PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H3)</td>
<td>IMG_E ← P_VAR_ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H4)</td>
<td>INT_CP.PL ← IMP_CAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H5)</td>
<td>INT_CP.PL ← AT_PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H6)</td>
<td>INT_CP.PL ← CONC_V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H7)</td>
<td>INT_CP.PL ← IMG_E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H8)</td>
<td>INT_CB.E ← IMG_E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H9)</td>
<td>INT_CB.E ← CONC_V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H10)</td>
<td>INT_CB.E ← P_VAR_ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H11)</td>
<td>INT_CB.E ← INT_CP.PL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Image - store image; INT_CP.PL - PL purchase intentions; INT_CB.E - store switching intentions; CONC.P - price consciousness; AT.PL - attitude toward PLs; P_VAR.ST - assortment variety perception; IMP_CAT - category involvement; CONC.V - value consciousness.

n.s. - not significant.
brands as intangible assets creating value is clear. A number of
papers confirm the positive relationship between a favor-
able attitude toward PLs and the percentage of PL purchases
(e.g., Burton et al., 1998; Garretson et al., 2002), or how
a positive consumer rating of private brands translates into
a greater propensity to purchase them (Sethuraman, 2006).

With regard to the variables associated with store switch-
ing intentions, the direct and inverse relationship with the
perception of assortment variety and PL purchase inten-
tion is confirmed. In the former case, the literature holds
that perception of a store’s assortment variety affects the
consumer’s process of selecting it, in addition to find-
ing a positive effect between the perception of a store’s
assortment variety and the intention of loyalty toward the
latter (e.g., Baker et al., 2002; Hoch et al., 1999; Verhoef
et al., 2007). Confirmation is also received of the direct
and inverse relationship between PL purchase intention and
store switching intentions. This is in line with papers such as
that of Allen and Rao (2000), who argue that customer retent-
on is the result of a number of factors, including perceived
brand image, and research like that of Corstjens and Lal
(2000), who state that the PL quality can give rise to differ-
etiation between retailers and increase store loyalty. San
Martin (2006) holds that, when a customer trusts a PL, he or
she will also trust the store, thus lessening store switching
intentions. These days, PLs help differentiate and position a
store image, and this is consistent with research such as that
of Bigné, Borreda, and Miquel (2013), whose findings show
that a favorable image of PLs helps build a more solid store
image, which impacts upon creation of the latter’s value.

Table 3 shows all the parameters of the significant rela-
tionships, while Fig. 2 provides a summary of the different
relationships, as well as the values of the estimations.

Additionally, we found five non-significant relationships,
moving we could not accept hypotheses H3, H4, H6, H8
and H9. With regard to the relationship between assort-
ment variety and store image, although the literature argues
that larger assortments tend to be perceived more favorably
by consumers, there is really no consensus on this issue, as
both large and small assortments have their pros and cons
(Briesch et al., 2009; Chernev & Hamilton, 2009). In this
work, we have found that the relationship is not significant
in PL-only assortments, as the perception of assortment variety
is related to the degree of differentiation of the different
product variables with regard to their key elements (Hoch,
Bradlow, & Wansink, 2002; Van Herpen & Pieters, 2002): in
the case of single-brand assortments, this does not occur.

Also not borne out is the hypothesis associating product
category involvement and PL purchase intention. Although
the literature argues that the degree of consumer engage-
ment with the product influences the decision to purchase
PLs, the relationship is not clear, given the conflicting results
obtained (e.g., Berkowitz et al., 2005; Miquel et al., 2002).
It appears that the level of consumer engagement can vary
depending upon the different product categories. Along
the same lines is the work by Zaichkowsky (1985), who
states that, in general, consumers show a higher level
of engagement with durable than with non-durable products.
Nor do the findings obtained allow one to argue for the
relationship between value consciousness and PL purchase
intention when dealing with assortments featuring an own
brand only. Several authors (e.g., Jin & Suh, 2005) argue
for the existence of a positive direct effect between value
consciousness and PL purchase intention. Nevertheless, we

can see that this does not occur with single brand (PL)
assortments. We believe that consumers highly focused on
obtaining the best value in their purchases wish to have more
information to hand and be able to compare between dif-
erent options and decide upon the information obtained.
Because of all this, they feel more comfortable in stores
where they can select products from amongst numerous
alternatives.

As far as store switching intention is concerned, the
findings do not allow us to accept the direct and nega-
tive relationship proposed with the positive store image. A
number of authors (e.g., Sirgy & Coskun, 1985; Wu et al.,
2011) argue that a store image is considered an impor-
tant background factor to loyalty to it, in that it favors
repeat purchases and lessens store switching intentions.
However, our findings indicate that, in assortments com-
promising solely PLs, said relationship is not significant.
This may be associated with the fact that hard discount store
category killer customers could be more loyal to a
specific kind of purchasing behavior (the search for low
prices, mainly via PLs) than to the store itself, which may
lead them to store switching if they perceive better condi-
tions elsewhere. The proposed relationship between value
consciousness and store switching intentions is also not
significant. The literature suggests the existence of a positive
influence of perceived value on store loyalty (e.g.,
Chen & Quester, 2006). In this regard, Gómez et al. (2011)
confirm that value consciousness plays a key role in the
formation of loyalty, especially in the case of large buyers.
Nevertheless, consumers concerned with value are also aware
that the search for information and for price comparisons,
as well as the diversity of purchasing in general, entail
an investment in time and opportunity costs. We therefore con-
sider that consumers’ value consciousness lessens their store

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Final results (significant relationships).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H1) IMG.E ← CONC.P</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H2) IMG.E ← AT.PL</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H5) INT_CP.PL ← AT.PL</td>
<td>0.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H7) INT_CP.PL ← IMG.E</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H10) INT_CB.E ← P_VAR.ST</td>
<td>−0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H11) INT_CB.E ← INT_CP.PL</td>
<td>−0.226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** 0.000.
switching intentions, provided that it offers wide assortments and presents offerings perceived by the buyer as contributing value.

Conclusions and implications for retail management

Below, we set out the conclusions obtained and propose a number of recommendations, in terms of management implications, for retailers opting for assortments comprised exclusively of PLs, be this due to strategic reasons or budgetary restrictions. We feel these conclusions and recommendations are appropriate in line of the three result variables analyzed.

(1) The image of stores only offering their own brands is mainly configured on the basis of price consciousness and attitudes toward PLs. Therefore, offering an assortment with price-competitive PLs is key to the strategy of differentiating them from other retailers. The current context of economic recession would appear to have accentuated purchasing processes based on obtaining low prices, which has led to considerable enhancement of the image of those establishments able to react and adapt to the new times. We can observe the development of numerous image campaigns focused on very competitive prices, allowing these retailers to position themselves as the consumers’ allies at times of particular economic difficulties. In this same regard, the fact that many consumers regard PLs as real alternatives and as offering quality similar to national brands has led to the securing of a better image not only for the PLs themselves but also for the retailers opting for them. When the consumers have a good attitude toward PLs, retailers positioning themselves as hard discount stores, characterized by a very restricted assortment, may see their image bolstered if they promote a sales strategy based on low prices and display a firm commitment to their own brands. This fact is important in the sense that, if consumers have a favorable attitude toward a PL and find the product to satisfy their needs in the store, they do not need wider assortments, and may even have a better perception if the assortment comprises exclusively PLs since, for them, the positive rating is even greater when they can find their preferred option (the PL, in this case) on the shelves, above and beyond other considerations regarding assortment size. Retailers need to know the extent to which their customers demand greater variety, in addition to knowing in which kinds or categories of products.

(2) The private label purchase intention on the part of consumers is, at the same time, greatly influenced by the image of the store itself and by a favorable attitude toward PLs. It is reasonable to assume that, if retailers have a favorable image, customers transfer this brand value to their PLs and trust them. It is clear that consumer attitudes toward PLs have changed substantially in recent years, allowing them to compete directly with national brands. This is because, among other factors, consumers have reduced their brand consciousness in favor of greater price and value consciousness. A change has occurred in the consciousness of consumers, who see themselves as rational and intelligent individuals in their purchasing processes, seeking the best value for money. This has led to the appearance of a new kind of consumer, who now seeks not only lower prices in PLs, but also a degree of quality. This can be appreciated more clearly in the case of customers of retailers whose assortments are made up exclusively of PLs, who are very aware that they seek keenly priced products that allow them to save time and money while purchasing. Private label customers of establishments such as hard discount stores, with assortments made up exclusively of PLs, display a high level of loyalty toward said brands. This being the case, PLs constitute a tool for creating loyalty for these retailers, as their customers can only buy them at their stores. Nevertheless, when consumers have a high degree of engagement with a particular product category, even if they have the intention to purchase PLs, they are more comfortable in stores where they can compare between different products.

(3) Customer loyalty strategies on the part of retailers only featuring own brands in their assortments should

---

be aimed at ensuring that consumers clearly perceive that their assortment provides real value and that, although it is limited in terms of number of brands and alternatives, it can meet all their needs. Price consciousness, and even value consciousness, are therefore configured as factors that are more important than store loyalty itself. Customers of this kind of store do not consider switching retailer when they perceive that the assortment meets their requirements, as they are aware of the costs involved in the process of searching for alternatives. Additionally, PLs are nowadays regarded as a smart purchase option. Therefore, retailers of this kind must develop strategies that allow them to prioritize their PLs, this helps them improve their image, provided that this gives value to their customers, and which furthermore offers them better sales margins. Some PLs are so deeply rooted in society that they are perceived as if they were another national brand, with a different positioning and aimed at different segments of consumers. Those such as Aliada, SeleQetia and Hacendado (the own brands of Hipercom, Eroski and Mercadona, respectively) have their own image amongst consumers, many of whom visit the retailers’ stores specifically in search of these brands. The success of Mercadona’s strategy in terms of image is well known, with its clear commitment to assortments made up of its PLs. Mercadona’s positioning is that of a retailer making quality brands, with the additional peculiarity that, if consumers wish to buy said brands, they must necessarily do so in this supermarket chain’s stores. This has been achieved, above all, by means of a good brand creation strategy.

Limitations and future lines of research

As is only logical, this work is not free from some limitations, most of which can provide the jumping off point for future lines of research. Firstly, our research has been limited in scope to Spain. Given the special circumstances of this market, where the economic crisis has been particularly intense and where the market share of PLs is well above the world average, it would be interesting to replicate the experiment in other geographical areas to test whether the relationships and models developed can be confirmed or not. Secondly, an experimental approach has been used to obtain the data, with all the limitations inherent in this type of research, in that it is based on a representation of real situations, without actually being “real”. Thirdly, another possible limitation comes as a consequence of the way in which store image has been measured. Although we have used a scale validated in the literature, store image is a multidimensional construct affected by many variables, some of which have not been taken into account in this research (e.g., physical environment, shelving/display implementation, and sales promotions), due, amongst other reasons, to the methodology employed. Therefore, the way in which image has been measured provides a simplification of the real image. Fourthly, although the sample is representative of Spanish consumers, we have found different socioeconomic circumstances amongst them. In future research, it would be worth understanding what consumer behavior is like in different segmentations, to analyze whether there are significant differences between profiles or groups. Lastly, not all brands have the same value for consumers, with the existence of the concept of high and low value. It would be interesting to tackle this point in future research to try to understand how brand value impacts upon consumer behavior.
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