Pacifier and swaddling effective in impeding premature infant’s pain score and heart rate
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**Abstract**
Objective: To assess the effectiveness of pacifier and swaddling on premature infant’s pain score, heart rate, and oxygen saturation during an invasive procedure.

Method: This randomized control trial involved 30 premature infants who were randomly assigned into control (n = 15) and intervention (n = 15) groups using parallel design. Infants in the intervention group received pacifier and swaddling when they were undergoing invasive procedures. The outcome indicators of the two-day intervention were pain score, heart rate, and oxygen saturation. The Premature Infant Pain Profile (PIPP) was used in this study to measure infants’ pain.

Results: The paired t-test results showed that the pain score and heart rate were significantly increased following the procedure in the control group (p = 0.003; p = 0.013 < 0.05); meanwhile, there was no significant increase in the intervention group (p = 0.256; p = 0.783 > 0.005). There was no significant difference in oxygen saturation in the control group (p = 0.270) and in the intervention (p = 0.370) group before and after the procedure.

Conclusions: Providing pacifier and swaddling can impede the increase of premature infants’ pain score and heart rate during an invasive procedures, therefore it can be implemented as an alternative to pain management in premature infants.

© 2017 Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

**Introduction**
Premature infants receive invasive procedures for 93 times during hospitalization\(^1\). These invasive procedures can cause stress to the infants and lead to frequent stress response\(^2\). The Syncative Theory of Development proposed by Als in 1982 was relevant to this phenomenon. The theory consists of five subsystems: autonomic stability (physiological parameters), motor control (body movement); state control (sleep cycle), interaction and social responsiveness, and self-regulation\(^3\). During the invasive procedure, there will be over stimulation that influences all subsystems in infants. Those influences include instability in heart rate, oxygen saturation, and respiration rate. The changes in the motor subsystem are manifested by hand and leg agitation activities; while the changes in state subsystem can be manifested by infant sleep disturbances\(^4\). Those changes can influence the process of brain nerve myelinization that can cause development disturbances in the short and long term periods\(^5\).

*Corresponding author.
Email: defiefendi86@gmail.com (D. Efendi).
The non-pharmacologic pain management has been developed years ago. Previous studies had been analyzed the effectiveness of swaddling in pain controlling, physiological function, safety, and sleep. Meanwhile, the use of pacifier had been proved to prevent heart variability, and as a means of pain control. A numbers of previous studies have used combination between pacifier and sucrose in dealing with premature infants’ pain who undergoing several invasive procedures such as heel-stick procedure, intramuscular injection of Hepatitis Vaccine, or intra venous insertion. However, there are limited studies in using combination between pacifier and swaddling in different pain procedures in preterm infants.

Method

This study was a prospective randomized controlled trial with parallel design approach. Each sample received one type of invasive procedure such as hill-prick or venous access which was randomly allocated to the infants receiving pacifier and swaddling (Group A) or routine care (Group B). The blinding process was carried out by two experts to evaluate pain scores.

The study sample was selected based on the convenience sampling from the special care unit and Neonatal Intensive Care Unit at Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta. There were 30 preterm infants who met the criteria: (1) gestational age 26-36 weeks; (2) 2-30 days of post birth age, and (3) birth weight < 2500 g. The exclusion criteria were: (1) infants on sedative treatment, muscle relaxant, antiepileptic or analgesic drugs; (2) have congenital anomalies; (3) have neurological problems and history of neonatal seizure, and (4) experience severe physiological alteration.

Pain scores and physiological functions were measured 15 minutes before invasive procedure (baseline/T1). The intervention (pacifier and swaddling) was applied three minutes before the invasive procedure started (T2). The next measurements were carried out at the beginning of invasive procedure (T3), three minutes (T4) and 15 minutes after the procedure ending (T5). These measurements conducted in two days consecutively in both groups.

Infants’ pain was measured using the Premature Infant Pain Profile (PIPP) which was developed by Steven, Jonston, Pethyksen, and Taddion. The PIPP is reported to have a moderate internal consistency (0.59-0.76 item total correlation), high interrater reliability (0.95-0.97) and intrarater reliability (0.89-0.91). The Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Research Committee, Faculty of Nursing Universitas Indonesia (0244/UN2.F12.D/HKP.02.04/2015) and the Ethical Research Committee, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia (0244/UN2.F12.D/HKP.02.04/2015). Written informed consent was obtained from mothers.

Pain score and physiological responses were measured five times (T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5). Data were rated by two experts. A Bland Altman test was carried out to test the interrater reliability of two experts and the result were 0.632 and 1.99 in the range of -5 to +5. It means that there was a similar perception between experts.

Chi-square test was used to test the homogeneity of data in both control and intervention groups. The hypothesis was tested using dependent and independent t-test with the level of significance was 0.05.

Results

The result of the homogeneity test showed that there were no significant differences in infants’ characteristics between control and intervention groups. The characteristics were gestational age, chronological age, the length of stay, birth weight, body weight, length of the invasive procedure, gender, type of invasive procedure, and Apgar score.

The output of this study was the comparison of pain score, saturation, heart rate differences before and after invasive procedures between intervention and control groups (Table 1). There were no significant differences in all variables. However, there were significant differences in several spots of measurements between two groups (Figure 1).

The peak score of pain was during the invasive procedure. The score increased 6.67 point in the control group compared to 2.34 point in the intervention group. The increase of pain score was significant in the control group (p = 0.003) (Figure 2).

The heart rate increased in both groups during the procedure, and it decreased significantly in the intervention group after the procedure (p = 0.013).

The oxygen saturation was decreased in both groups and relieved three minutes after the procedure. There was no significant difference in oxygen saturations before, during, and after procedures between two groups.

Discussion

The low score of pain and stability of physiological function are the main objectives of non-pharmacologic pain management. The main objectives of this study were to measure the differences in pain score, saturation, and heart rate before, during, and after procedures between infants who received pacifier and swaddling, and the control group who received routine care. Based on those indicators, there were no significant effect of pacifier and swaddling in reducing pain score, stabilizing heart rate, and oxygen saturation. This result was relevant with previous studies that providing pacifier were not significantly reducing the pain score in preterm infants and preventing alteration in heart rate. Other studies also found that using pacifier and swaddling was not effective in preventing oxygen saturation variability. Longer stimulation is needed to give effect on the oxygen saturation changes.

On the other hand, several studies had different finding with this study. The previous study identified that pacifier with sucrose can decrease infant’s pain. A meta-analysis of 10 articles identified that providing pacifier can decrease pain responses and decrease pain score in term and preterm infants. Review articles also found that pacifier can decrease infants’ pain score.

This study was different with previous studies in term of infant gestational age. In the previous studies, gestational age of the infants involved was more than 37 weeks; meanwhile, in this study the gestational age of the infant was less than 37 weeks. Preterm infants are more sensitive.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement indicator</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Within group</th>
<th>Between group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t (p)a</td>
<td>t (p)b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain score</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>15 (50)</td>
<td>5.93 ± 2.22</td>
<td>2.81 (0.783)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.13 ± 2.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.33 ± 2.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>15 (50)</td>
<td>5.40 ± 1.84</td>
<td>3.56 (0.003)c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.67 ± 2.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td>−2.33 ± 2.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearth rate</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>15 (50)</td>
<td>139.40 ± 13.69</td>
<td>1.18 (0.256)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td></td>
<td>144.33 ± 20.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td>−4.93 ± 16.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>15 (50)</td>
<td>149.63 ± 11.45</td>
<td>2.84 (0.013)c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td></td>
<td>158.57 ± 18.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td>−8.93 ± 12.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77 (0.450)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen saturation</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>15 (50)</td>
<td>96.80 ± 3.05</td>
<td>1.16 (0.267)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td></td>
<td>96.13 ± 2.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8 ± 2.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>15 (50)</td>
<td>96.33 ± 4.62</td>
<td>0.92 (0.370)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td></td>
<td>97.13 ± 4.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8 ± 3.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.48 (0.150)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Difference: the result from mean after invasive procedure minus mean before invasive procedure.
Between groups: the comparison of mean differences between intervention and control groups.

*a*Calculated by dependent t-test.

bCalculated by independent t-test.
cSignificant at *α* < 0.05.

**Figure 1** Pain score of the preterm infant (n = 30).

**Figure 2** Heart rate of the preterm infant (n = 30).
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Pacifier and swaddling effective in impeding premature infant’s pain score and heart rate

Physiologically, heart rate, respiration rate, blood pressure, intracranial pressure of infants who receive pain procedure will increase. This response due to the release of adrenal stress hormones associated with pain. The pain was impeded by the antinociceptive effect of application pacifier and swaddling that can reduce pain sensation.

Based on Gate Control Theory, applying pacifier and swaddling can impede the transfer of pain impulse along the spinothalamic tract that can reduce the pain sensation. The combination of pacifier and swaddling can increase the stimuli that sift transferring pain stimuli which can generate stronger analgesic effect. Pacifier and swaddling are simple tools that are easy to find in the developing country.
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