Comparison of the effectivity of oral and intra-articular administration of tenoxicam in patients with knee osteoarthritis
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Abstract

Background and objectives: Tenoxicam is widely used in osteoarthritis treatment and we aimed to compare the effectivity of oral and intra-articular administration of tenoxicam in osteoarthritis treatment.

Methods: This study was performed between 2011 and 2012 by retrospectively analyzing and comparing the findings of 60 patients who were clinically and radiologically diagnosed with knee degenerative osteoarthritis in Bünyan state hospital pain policlinic. 60 patients included in the study were divided into two groups. The first group (tenoxicam IA, n = 30) included patient findings of those subjected to intra-articular injection of 20 mg tenoxicam to the knee once a week for three weeks and the second group (oral tenoxicam, n = 30) included patients who were administered 20 mg oral tenoxicam once a day for three weeks. All patients were clinically evaluated pre-treatment and in the 1st week, 1st month and 3rd month post-treatment according to specified criteria.

Results and conclusions: Twenty two of 60 patients included in the study were male and 38 were female. In both groups significant improvements were detected in all of the observed parameters: visual analog scale, Western Ontario McMaster Osteoarthritis Index (pain, physical activity, knee stiffness) and Lequesne index scores and in the evaluations performed in 1st week, 1st month and 3rd month with respect to pre-treatment values. Besides, a better compliance to treatment and gastrointestinal system tolerability in tenoxicam IA group was also observed. Intra-articular tenoxicam administration could be thought as an alternative treatment method in patients with knee osteoarthritis who cannot use oral tenoxicam especially due to systemic gastrointestinal system side effects and those who have difficulties in adapting to treatment.
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Comparação da eficácia de tenoxicam administrado por via oral e intra-articular a pacientes com osteoartrite de joelhos

Resumo
Justificativa e objetivos: Tenoxicam é amplamente usado no tratamento da osteoartrite (OA) e o nosso objetivo foi comparar a eficácia de tenoxicam administrado por via oral (VO) e intra-articular (IA) no tratamento da OA.
Métodos: Este estudo foi conduzido entre 2011 e 2012 por meio de análise retrospectiva e comparação dos resultados de 60 pacientes que foram clínicamente diagnosticados e esterilizados por OA degenerativa de joelhos na Policlinica de Tratamento da Dor do Hospital Estadual de Bünyan. Os 60 pacientes incluídos no estudo foram alocados em dois grupos. O primeiro grupo (tenoxicam IA, n = 30) incluiu resultados de pacientes submetidos à injeção nos joelhos por via IA de 20 mg de tenoxicam uma vez por semana durante três semanas e o segundo grupo (tenoxicam VO, n = 30) incluiu pacientes que receberam 20 mg de tenoxicam por VO uma vez por dia durante três semanas. Todos os pacientes foram avaliados clinicamente na fase basal pré-tratamento e em uma semana, um mês e três meses pós-tratamento, de acordo com os critérios especificados.
Resultados e conclusões: Dos 60 pacientes, 22 eram do sexo masculino e 38 do sexo feminino. Em ambos os grupos, melhorias significativas foram detectadas em todos os parâmetros da escala visual analógica, do índice Western Ontario and MacMaster (Womac – dor, atividade física e rigidez dos joelhos) e do índice de Lequesne nas avaliações feitas em uma semana, um mês e três meses e comparadas aos valores basais. Além disso, uma melhor adesão ao tratamento e tolerabilidade ao sistema gastrointestinal no grupo tenoxicam IA também foram observadas. A administração de tenoxicam IA pode ser considerada como uma prévia de tratamento em pacientes com OA de joelhos que não podem usar tenoxicam por VO, especialmente por causa dos efeitos colaterais sobre o sistema gastrointestinal, e naqueles com dificuldades de adaptação ao tratamento.
© 2014 Sociedade Brasileira de Anestesiologia. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Todos os direitos reservados.

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the arthritis form most commonly encountered in the world. OA is primarily defined as a repair process developed against joint degeneration and joint destruction that cause a series of biochemical and morphologic changes in joint capsule and synovial membrane and against erosion in joint cartilage, osteoarthritic hypertrophy of bones in joint edges, subchondral sclerosis. 1 OA is especially one of the leading causes of morbidity that affects life quality of geriatric patients negatively. Pain is the most encountered and the most important symptom. OA pain is complicated and complex. Tissues other than cartilage in the joint have a rich nociceptive net. OA treatment should be conducted with pharmacological and non-pharmacological method. The primary aim in OA treatment is to stop the pain; mainly acetylaminoephene and NSAI drugs are used for this purpose. But the physicians try to develop new treatment alternatives because the above stated treatment options remain inadequate and side effects develop in the long term. 2,3 Analgesics and NSAI (Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory) drugs are widely used in OA treatment. But care should be taken in the administration of these drugs in elderly patients due to their serious side effects and the weakness of their effectivity. 4 They must reach a specific concentration in the blood for anti-inflammatory characteristics of NSAI drugs to appear and but their potential side effects cause patients to decrease the dose they use and generally effective dose concentration cannot be reached. Tenoxicam is widely used in OA treatment. Furthermore it is shown that intra-articular injection of tenoxicam is commonly used in OA treatment and has beneficial effects. 5 

With this study we estimated that IA tenoxicam treatment in patients with OA provided a more effective treatment than oral tenoxicam (TXO), with less side effects.

Methods

This study was performed by retrospectively analyzing and comparing findings of 60 patients diagnosed clinically and radiologically with knee degenerative OA in Bünyan state hospital between 2011 and 2012. Required consents were obtained from the patients by explaining them the disease and the treatment to be performed. Consent of Çanakkale 18 Mart University Clinical Research Ethics Committee was also obtained (15.05.2013/11-08; Aksulu HA). Data of 50–80 years old patients in ASA I–III grade group were included in the study.

OA diagnosis was established following clinical story, radiographic changes and physical examination. Radiographies of both knees, standing, frontal and posterior and lateral were taken from all patients. The findings of patients with knee arthritis according to American Rheumatism Society, without any laboratory pathology, between 0 and III grade according to Kellgren-Lawrence classification were
included in the study. Sixty patients, data of which were
used in the study, were divided into two groups. In the first
group (n = 30) patients were administered 20 mg tenoxicam
IA (TXIA) injection once a week for three weeks. In the sec-
ond group (n = 30), patients administered oral daily dosis
of 20 mg tenoxicam (TXO) for three weeks. Furthermore, phy-
tical treatment program including rehabilitation, stretching
and aerobic exercises to increase joint range of movement
was applied to all patients. All patients were clinically
evaluated pre-treatment and in the 1st week, 1st month
and 3rd month post-treatment according to the specified
criteria. According to this, sensation of pain was evaluated
with visual analog scale (VAS) (0: no pain, 10: very severe
pain). Furthermore, pain status, functional capabilities and
morning stiffness of patients were evaluated according to
Western Ontario McMaster Osteoarthritis (WOMAC) index.
And, Lequesne index was used to evaluate pain and func-
tional capabilities of patients. Also complaints of patients
associated with gastrointestinal system (GIs) (gastritis, nau-
sea, epigastric burning, constipation) during treatment were
defined as GIs tolerability and data of compliance to treat-
ment were recorded.

Statistical analysis

The SPSS software (SPSS 13, Chicago, IL, USA) was used
for analysis. Descriptive parameters are presented as mean ±
standard deviation, median (minimum–maximum).
Independent simple t test was used for comparing means
of continuous variables between two groups. When there
were more than two groups, Friedman test was used,
Bonferroni correction was used for multiple comparisons
(α = 0.05/6 = 0.0083), respectively. A p-value of <0.05 was
considered as significant.

Results

22 of 60 patients included in the study were male and
38 were female. Demographic characteristics of patients
in both groups were shown in Table 1. Significant recov-
eries in all the parameters were detected in both groups
in VAS, WOMAC (pain, physical activity, knee stiffness) and
Lequesne index in 1st week, 1st month and 3rd month
when compared with pre-treatment values (Tables 2–4)
(p < 0.001).

Significant increases were detected in all the param-
eters in VAS, WOMAC (pain, physical activity, knee stiffness)
and Lequesne index in the 3rd month evaluations when
compared with the post-treatment 1st week values (p < 0.001).
But it was observed that these results remained lower than
pre-treatment values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Demographic characteristics of patients.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group TXIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>65 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (BMI)</td>
<td>30.9 ± 1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness (month)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA I/II/III</td>
<td>4/14/12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 2 | VAS in 1st week, 1st month and 3rd month when compared with pre-treatment values.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group TXIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st week</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st month</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd month</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General p value</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 3 | WOMAC (pain, physical activity, knee stiffness) in 1st week, 1st month and 3rd month when compared with pre-treatment values.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group TXIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>20.3 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st week</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st month</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd month</td>
<td>14 ± 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General p value</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Physical function |
| Baseline | 68.1 ± 0.83 | 68.4 ± 0.97 |
| 1st week | 44 ± 0.99 | 45.2 ± 1.22 |
| 1st month | 54.2 ± 1.32 | 53.7 ± 1.41 |
| 3rd month | 55.5 ± 1.22 | 54.9 ± 1.88 |
| General p value | 0.001 | 0.001 |

| Stiffness |
| Baseline | 8.2 ± 0.66 | 7.86 ± 0.77 |
| 1st week | 3.93 ± 0.73 | 3.46 ± 0.5 |
| 1st month | 5.26 ± 0.63 | 4 ± 0.2 |
| 3rd month | 5.56 ± 0.89 | 4.96 ± 0.18 |
| General p value | 0.001 | 0.001 |

GIS tolerability during the treatment and treatment con-
tinuity are shown in Table 5.

Discussion

Significant improvements were detected in all the param-
eters in scores of VAS, WOMAC (pain, physical activity,
knee stiffness) and Lequesne index in 1st week, 1st month and 3rd month post-treatment in intra-articular tenoxicam administered patients for three weeks and TXO administered patients for the same time when compared with the pre-treatment values. An improvement in all the parameters in scores of VAS, WOMAC (pain, physical activity, knee stiffness) and Lequesne index was observed in the 3rd month post-treatment when compared with the 1st week post-treatment in all the patients in both groups, but this improvement remained under the pre-treatment values.

Although NSAID drugs are used commonly for their analgesic and anti-inflammatory effects for low to mild pain in patients with knee OA, their systemic side effects limit their long-term use. And therefore in the last few years intra-articular procedures became a current issue and for this purpose intra-articular NSAID, corticosteroids, local anesthetics or hyaluronic acid preparations were used. But because hyaluronic acid treatment has a high cost and corticosteroid treatment is not suitable for frequent use, we consider that tenoxicam intra-articular injection with a low cost and few side effects can be used in suitable patients.

All selective COX-2 inhibitors are contraindicated to those with congestive heart failure, ischemic heart disease or stroke history. It should be used with caution in those with cardiovascular risk factor (hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, cigarette consumption). For this reason minimum effective dose should be used for the shortest period. Selective COX-2 inhibitors are indicated in those with high Gastrointestinal risk and with no cardiovascular risk. FDA demanded black box warning to be put on all NSAID boxes and also a warning stating that it could cause an increase in GI bleeding and Cardiovascular problems to be mentioned. The studies regarding this subject continue. Most of the patients in our study had at least one systemic disease; in other words they were ASA II-III group patients.

Although there are some questions regarding the safety of intra-articular injection of NSAID drugs, it is supposed that tenoxicam is safe in this respect. Especially in patients subjected to arthroscopic surgery it was administered intra-articularly in order to provide post-operative analgesia. Intra-artical tenoxicam appears to be a safe treatment method for knee OA. But although it is encountered rarely, risks such as bleeding and sepsis should be kept in mind. It is stated that tenoxicam does not affect prostaglandin metabolism in cartilage tissue and its effects on hyaluronan synthesis vary depending on the dosage. And it is indicated that it inhibits glycosaminoglycan loss in the cartilage. In the comparative study between tenoxicam and other NSAID it was shown that proteoglycan and collagen synthesis was suppressed by tenoxicam and tenoxicam could be helpful in decreasing cartilage catabolism in patients with OA. Intra-articular use of tenoxicam in patients with OA becomes increasingly popular due to its ease of use, chondroprotective and pain revealing characteristic. And NSAID drugs should be used with caution in old patients due to their systemic side effects. They increase bleeding risk in patients using anticoagulants. GIS should be thoroughly examined. In the study we conducted, we observed that direct injection of tenoxiam into knee joint provided a good alternative in patients who were required to use NSAID with regards to both gastrointestinal tolerability and treatment continuity. Furthermore, in a study 40 mg single dose tenoxicam was administered to patients with polyarthritis and then concentration of drug in plasma and synovial liquid were measured; half-life was 42 h in the plasma and 45 h in synovial liquid. Thus, half-life of tenoxicam in plasma and synovial liquid was shown to be parallel.

In a study patients with OA were divided into three groups: TXO, TXIA and only exercise group. Patients were followed for 6 months and compared with regards to functional capacity and pain, and no difference was observed between 3 groups. And in another study, single dose intra-articular injection of tenoxicam was performed to patients with knee OA. In the evaluations of patients performed one month later, 40% decrease in pain and 60% increase in the joint movement aperture was observed. Our results show that intra-articular tenoxicam treatment may be preferred to TXO treatment especially for patients that cannot use drug in sufficient doses due to gastrointestinal intolerance. In patients with knee arthritis who cannot use TXO due to systemic, especially GIS side effects or those who have difficulty in adapting to the treatment, intra-articular tenoxicam treatment can be thought as an alternative treatment method.
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