Severe hypoxemia follows hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction and/or hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction inhibition by inhaled anesthetics: prognostic potential of 100% shunt fractions

Hipoxemia grave após vasoconstrição hipóxica pulmonar e/ou inibição da vasoconstrição hipóxica pulmonar por anestésicos inalatórios: potencial prognóstico de frações de shunt de 100%

Dear Editor,

Hypoxic Pulmonary Vasoconstriction (HPV) was first reported by Bindsley et al. in adult patients and was managed using double lumen catheters to ventilate one lung with 100% oxygen and the other with 95% N2 and 5% oxygen during intravenous barbiturate and fentanyl anesthesia.1 However, "HPV inhibition" has predominantly been attributed to the use of inhalation agents and is considered as a cause of hypoxia during anesthesia. Moreover, both in vitro and in vivo studies have demonstrated that inhalation agents inhibit HPV under a range of conditions,2-5 and sevoflurane has been shown to decrease HPV in a dose-dependent manner.4-6,7

Case description

Here we report a case of severe hypoxemia during sevoflurane induction in a 6 year-old boy who was scheduled for adenotonsillectomy. The patient was treated according to American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) class I and weighed 22 kg. Pre-operative assessments, physical examinations, and laboratory investigations were unremarkable, and the patient had a hemoglobin level of 12 mg.dL⁻¹ and a hematocrit of 36%. Following transfer to the operating room with no pre-medication, routine Electrocardiography (ECG), non-invasive blood pressure, and SpO2 levels were monitored. Anesthesia was introduced via a facemask and a pediatric circle system providing 8% sevoflurane in 100% oxygen at a flow rate of 6 L.min⁻¹. Subsequently, sevoflurane concentrations were reduced to 5% within the first minute and to 2% on loss of eyelash reflexes. Following intravenous cannulation, rocuronium (0.6 mg.kg⁻¹) was administered, and SpO2 levels rapidly and progressively decreased from 98% to 38% at 10 and 15 min of induction, respectively, with no clinical explanation. As a consequence, the patients’ Heart Rate (HR) suddenly decreased from 109 to 90 bpm in response to hypoxia, and sevoflurane concentrations were reduced to 2% and subsequently discontinued prior to tracheal intubation and ventilation with 100% oxygen. Efficient lung ventilation was possible throughout the period. A clinical improvement was observed within seconds of intubation and ventilation, and SpO2 levels and HR returned to 100% and 118 bpm, respectively. No blood pressure abnormalities were observed during the procedure, and the rapid restoration of SpO2 and HR with 100% oxygen was considered symptomatic of an adverse drug reaction. Thus, anesthesia was maintained with 1%-1.5% sevoflurane and oxygen in 50% N₂O.

Discussion

HPV is considered as a protective mechanism that optimizes systemic oxygen delivery. Thus, the inhibition of HPV by inhalational agents is believed to cause hypoxia during anesthesia. However, the protective effects of HPV against hypoxia may depend on the size of affected lung regions. Lung tissues are globally affected by all pulmonary anesthetics, and sevoflurane has been shown to induce pulmonary vessel dilatation in normoxia.7 Therefore, HPV should be considered as a multifactorial response to local or global pulmonary hypoxia during acute hypoxia. Thus, the present observations suggest that the degree of acute local shunting can be used to determine whether HPV mechanism is useful or not. The type of anesthetics can be significant with regard to this reaction.

It is widely accepted that inhalation agents have global effects on pulmonary vessels during either induction or maintenance of anesthesia. Thus, hypoxemia because of global HPV is likely in the presence of 100% intrapulmonary shunt fractions, and the protective effects of HPV-influenced perfusion to the better ventilated lung regions to improve oxygenation may be abolished under these conditions. In contrast, inhibition of HPV by inhalation agents in commonly affected lungs leads to rapid onset of hypoxemia because of normal effects on perfusion and alveolar hypoxia and direct effects of anesthesia. Thus, the effect of HPV inhibition by inhalation agents or hypoxia may depend on global effects on lungs and the ensuing shunt fractions. Therefore, we suggest that both HPV and HPV inhibition may cause
hypoxemia under certain conditions. However, the relationship between pulmonary anesthetic administration and HPV remains controversial.

In conclusion, global effects of inhalation induction with sevoflurane at high concentrations may cause oxygen desaturation in lungs that are normally ventilated with 100% oxygen, potentially resulting in global inhibition of HPV. However, these conditions may be a consequence of global HPV and associated 100% shunt fractions. Thus, despite the high level evidence of no differences in outcomes following pulmonary and intravenous anesthesia, the hypoxic consequences of inhalation anesthesia require further clarification.
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Anesthesia in a newborn with Klippel–Feil syndrome

Anestesia em recém-nascido com síndrome de Klippel–Feil

Dear Editor,

I read the case report of Altay et al. about anesthesia management of a newborn with Klippel–Feil syndrome (KFS) with interest. The authors presented their case as “the youngest child with KFS on whom oral intubation was performed”. I appreciate the colleagues for their management of this challenging case, but there are some points that have to be discussed.

Altay et al. performed a successful intubation at first attempt with Direct Laryngoscopy (DL), which was consistent with the literature. According to the literature, KFS alone may not be a predictor of difficult airway management in infants. Naguib et al. had reported a three-week-old boy diagnosed with KFS successfully intubated with DL.

Creighton et al. had reported 8 infants with KFS (6 of them had also cleft palate, most probably some of them were newborns) on whom oral or nasal intubation was performed with DL using regular laryngoscope. They performed awake DL successfully, despite the other present conditions that complicate intubation like cleft palate and lateral position in addition to KFS.

Recently we have reviewed the airway management and the success of DL in children with KFS and found that there is no report describing difficult mask ventilation or unsuccessful Laryngeal Mask Airway (LMA) insertion in the literature. Also, there is no report of an unsuccessful DL in infants with KFS. We think that the success rate of tracheal intubation with DL in early ages (probably before adolescence) seems to be increased when other predictors of difficult intubation does not accompany. These findings may encourage us for attempting DL in children with KFS alone, but accompanying airway anomalies are not rare in KFS and have to be investigated before anesthesia induction. Also, a previous successful DL does not ensure successful intubation because cervical fusion may become progressively worsen over time and DL may be challenging in older ages.

Another point: the authors mostly dwell on the airway management of the patient, but the anesthesia technique might be questionable. As, providing an adequate depth of
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