CLINICAL INFORMATION

Ropivacaine use in transnasal Sphenopalatine ganglion block for post dural puncture headache in obstetric patients – case series
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Abstract

Purpose: Sphenopalatine ganglion block is widely accepted in chronic pain; however it has been underestimated in post dural puncture headache treatment. The ganglion block does not restore normal cerebrospinal fluid dynamics but effectively reduces symptoms associated with resultant hypotension. When correctly applied it may avoid performance of epidural blood patch. The transnasal approach is a simple and minimally invasive technique. In the cases presented, we attempted to perform and report the ganglion block effectiveness and duration, using ropivacaine.

Clinical features: We present four obstetrics patients with post dural puncture headache, after epidural or combined techniques, with Tuohy needle 18G that underwent a safe and successful Sphenopalatine ganglion block. We performed the block 24–48 h after dural puncture, with 4 mL of ropivacaine 0.75% in each nostril. In three cases pain recurred within 12–48 h, although less intense. In one patient a second block was performed with complete relief and without further recurrence. In the other two patients a blood patch was performed without success. All patients were asymptomatic within 7 days.

Conclusion: The average duration of analgesic effect of the block remains poorly defined. In the cases reported, blocking with ropivacaine was a simple, safe and effective technique, with immediate and sustained pain relief for at least 12–24 h.
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Uso de ropivacaina em bloqueio do gânglio esfenopalatino via transnasal para cefaleia pós-punção dural em pacientes obstétricas — série de casos

Resumo
Justificativa e objetivo: O bloqueio do gânglio esfenopalatino é amplamente aceito em dor crônica; porém, esse bloqueio tem sido subestimado no tratamento de cefaleia pós-punção dural. O bloqueio do gânglio não restaura a dinâmica normal do líquido cefalorraquidiano, mas reduz de modo eficaz os sintomas associados à hipotensão resultante. Quando aplicado corretamente, pode evitar a realização de tampão sanguíneo epidural. A abordagem transnasal é uma técnica simples e minimamente invasiva. Nos casos apresentados, tentamos realizar o bloqueio do gânglio e relatar sua eficácia e duração usando ropivacaina.

Características clínicas: Apresentamos quatro pacientes de obstetricia com cefaleia pós-punção dural, após técnica epidural ou técnicas combinadas, com agulha Tuohy (18 G), que foram submetidas ao bloqueio do gânglio esfenopalatino de forma segura e bem-sucedida. Realizamos o bloqueio após 24 a 48 horas da punção dural, com 4 mL de ropivacaina a 0,75% em cada narina. Em três casos, a dor voltou em 1–48 horas, embora menos intensa. Em uma paciente, um segundo bloqueio foi realizado com alívio completo e sem recorrência. Nas outras duas pacientes, um tampão sanguíneo foi realizado sem sucesso. Todas as pacientes estavam assintomáticas dentro de sete dias.


© 2017 Sociedade Brasileira de Anestesiologia. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

The Sphenopalatine ganglion (SPG) is a cranial ganglion and a complex neuronal centre. This structure lies in the Pterygopalatine fossa bilaterally, and receives multiple sensitive and autonomic afferents, sending efferent connections to the nasopharyngeal cavity, meningeal structures, and probably displaying an important role in neural modulation.1,2

The Sphenopalatine ganglion block (SPGB), is known for its efficacy in severe migraine and trigeminal neuralgia management, as in other cranial pain syndromes.1,4 There are also some reports regarding the success of this block in post dural puncture headache (PD PH) secondary to diagnostic lumbar puncture,5 however reports regarding application of this procedure in post partum PD PH due to neuraxial techniques in labour are scarce.5–9

The aim of SPGB in PD PH is symptomatic relief. The block does not change cerebral fluid production or circulation. Its analgesic effect is due to the trigeminal and parasympathetic block, therefore changing the meningeal vessel tone and nociception transmission.2,4

It is important to note that even though the resolution of the dural tear is a spontaneous process that usually takes less than seven days, this analgesic procedure allows an asymptomatic recovery until then.7–10

The PD PH in obstetric population has an incidence of 1%, and is associated with a low therapeutic efficacy.10–13 Epidural blood patch (EBP) is presently considered the therapeutic gold standard, although it’s an invasive technique, its associated with major complications and only with a 30% complete success rate (defined by Numeric Scale Rate [NSR 0–10] of 0 after management).10–13

Although more studies are required, SPGB could be discussed as a first line option because it is effective and it may prevent the need for EBP, a more invasive technique, up to 69%.5,7,11

Several block techniques were described, but the transnasal approach is the simplest and least invasive.1,2,4 The only local anesthetic known to have been used in this procedure is lidocaine.5,9 However, there aren’t any reports regarding the duration of the analgesic effect.14

In the reported cases, we attempted to perform the ganglion block using ropivacaine. We present a small case series of transnasal SPGB in obstetric patients, in order to highlight our drug regimen, ropivacaine 0.75%, 2 mL in each nostril plus 2 mL (after 10 min), duration of the block analgesic effect, its safety and effectiveness in the postpartum period.

Consent for publication

The patients reviewed the case report and gave written permission to the authors to publish the report. All the authors described in the case report participated in the care of the patients.

Case description

Case 1

27 years old woman with obesity and gestational diabetes, who had an epidural catheter inserted for pain relief during labour. The technique was performed with an 18G
Tuohy needle without immediate complications. Twenty four hour postpartum she complained about occipital headache that was worse when standing and with head motion and that irradiated to the neck. Despite that, there was no dural tear noticed during the technique. The symptoms suggested a PDPH, and according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders criteria this was the best accounted diagnosis. Conservative treatment was started, oral caffeine, hydration and ketorolac, without improvement. Twenty four hours later, as she rated her pain with similar intensity, the SPGB was performed with immediate relief of pain to a NSR 0 in one hour span. Hospital discharge was allowed. When contacted by phone, seven days later, she remained asymptomatic.

Case 2

During epidural catheter insertion, for labour analgesia in a healthy 27 year old woman, a positive aspiration test is noticed (18G Tuohy needle). Twenty four hours after puncture the patient complained about headache, compatible with PDPH, NRS 6 when lying and NRS 8 supine. The SPGB was performed with total pain relief NRS 0. Twelve hours after the blockage, pain recurrence, NSR 4, when in supine position, motivated a second SPGB that completely relieved symptoms with no pain recurrence. She was discharged the next day. In the follow up contact, seven days later, she reported no symptoms.

Case 3

A healthy 26 year old woman that underwent a combined anesthesia for an urgent caesarean section (demanded by a failure to progress in labour). The combined anesthesia was technically difficult, with multiple punctures with an 18G Tuohy needle and 25G Whitacre. Twenty four hours later, she reported head and neck pain, NRS 4 when lying and 6 when standing. As there were technical difficulties and multiple punctures, and the pain pattern was suggestive of PDPH we performed a SPGB with immediate regression of symptoms to NSR 0. The patient maintained asymptomatic throughout the first 48 h after the blockage but later reported headache NSR 6 when standing. Rather than repeating SPGB, the anesthesiologist attempted to relieve the pain with EBP with 20 mL of autologous blood, ineffectively (NRS 4–6). The patient refused a second blood patch. She became asymptomatic the next day and was discharged. In the follow up contact, 7 days later, she reported no symptoms.

Discussion

The SPG locates approximately 3 mm from mucosal surface of posterior wall of the nasal cavity, at the middle turbinate level. To perform the SPGB the patient should be in supine position, with a slight cervical extension. Two cotton tipped applicators should be soaked in 2 mL of anesthetic drug and introduced smoothly and rapidly up to the posterior wall of both nostrils. After 10 min, 2 mL of anesthetic drug should be instilled over the applicator cable, thereafter rotating the applicators in order to confirm the correct location, where they are well tolerated by the patient. The applicator must remain in the nasal cavity for 15–20 min (Fig. 1). In case of headache recurrence, this technique can be repeated.4

Due to its successful, yet unpredictable analgesic effect duration, this procedure can be taught to the patient, allowing repeated self-ganglion block in an ambulatory setting and earlier discharge home.1-4

No major complications associated with this technique have been described. Nevertheless, minor bleeding due to traumatic applicator introduction, paresthesia and initial discomfort of the nasopharynx, related to the spread of anesthetic, have been reported.1-5,15

The four cases presented obstetric patients with symptoms compatible with PDPH who underwent a safe and successful SPGB, within 24–48 h after puncture. In all cases the SPGB led to a complete pain relief, NRS 0, in one hour span. In Case 1 there was no pain recurrence. In Case 2, the pain recurred after 12 h, although less intense, and a second block also provided complete pain relief. In Case 3 and 4, SPGB had a prolonged efficacy of 48 h. Both patients 3 and 4 performed an EBP after 48 h with only partial symptomatic relief. As expected, and according to the natural disease course, all patients were asymptomatic within 7 days of dural puncture.

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjane.2017.11.007) Cotton tipped applicators in correct position at middle turbinate level at posterior nostril wall during performance of sphenopalatine ganglion block.
The pain relief of 12–48 h was the expected for the pharmacokinetic characteristics of ropivacaine.

Heterogeneity of treatment, due to patient management by different professionals, limited SPGB repetition and education in all patients.

The average duration of analgesic effect of SPGB remains poorly defined and is currently the main technical limitation as the anesthetic spread in the nasal cavity is unpredictable and dependent on anatomy. Which factors are associated with technical success or failure; how different anesthetic drugs act in this context; how effective are block repetitions; how conservative therapy or EBP are affected by SPGB, these are some of the questions that need to be addressed in further studies in order to produce high evidence recommendations.

These cases highlight the effectiveness and safety of SPGB with ropivacaine on immediate and sustained pain relief for at least 12–24 h in obstetric context.
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