



Brazilian Journal of
OTORHINOLARYNGOLOGY

www.bjorl.org



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The analysis of expression of p16 protein in group of 53 patients treated for sinonasal inverted papilloma☆

Roland Zydrón^{a,*}, Andrzej Marszałek^{b,c}, Magdalena Bodnar^{a,b},
Paweł Kosikowski^a, Grażyna Greczka^a, Małgorzata Wierzbicka^a

^a Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Department of Otolaryngology and Oncological Laryngology, Poznan, Poland

^b Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Collegium Medicum, Department of Clinical Pathomorphology, Bydgoszcz, Poland

^c Poznan University of Medical Sciences & Greater Poland Cancer Center, Oncologic Pathology and Prophylaxis Department, Poznan, Poland

Received 18 January 2017; accepted 28 March 2017

KEYWORDS

Inverted papilloma;
Sinonasal tumors;
p16;
HPV;
Malignant transformation;
Recurrences

Abstract

Introduction: Sinonasal Inverted Papilloma (IP) constitute relevant therapeutic problem due to destructive character of growth, tendency to recur and the possibility of malignant transformation. Therefore, many attempts to identify risk factors for IP occurrence have been undertaken, as well as research to find markers that would allow for the earlier detection of tumors and the application of adequate therapy. A widely known risk factor of IP is HPV infection. One of the markers of HPV infection and the ongoing effect of this change (although arousing some controversy) is the expression of the p16 protein.

Objective: The aim of the study was to analyze the correlation between the expression of p16 as a surrogate of HPV infection in analyzed histopathological material and epidemiological variables, recurrences or malignant transformation.

Methods: The retrospective study includes a group of 53 patients (18 women and 35 men) undergoing treatment for sinonasal IP in the period of 2002–2012. The intensity of the p16 protein in histopathological material was scored as: 0 – no expression, 1 – diffuse expression (borderline) and 2 – positive expression; or 0 – no expression/diffuse expression (borderline); 1 – positive expression. The Ethics Committee agreement was obtained (1089/12; 245/13).

☆ Please cite this article as: Zydrón R, Marszałek A, Bodnar M, Kosikowski P, Greczka G, Wierzbicka M. The analysis of expression of p16 protein in group of 53 patients treated for sinonasal inverted papilloma. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjorl.2017.03.011>

Peer Review under the responsibility of Associação Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia e Cirurgia Cérvico-Facial.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: rolandpoz@gmail.com (R. Zydrón).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjorl.2017.03.011>

1808-8694/© 2017 Associação Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia e Cirurgia Cérvico-Facial. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Papiloma invertido;
Tumores nasossinusais;
p16;
HPV;
Transformação
maligna;
Recorrências

Results and conclusion: There was no statistically significant relationship between the expression of p16 and the age of patients, cigarette smoking, tumor location, tumor staging according to the Krouse and Cannady classification, the presence of dysplasia or the occurrence of relapse. © 2017 Associação Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia e Cirurgia Cérvico-Facial. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Análise da expressão da proteína p16 em um grupo de 53 pacientes tratados para papiloma invertido nasossinusal

Resumo

Introdução: Papiloma Invertido (PI) nasossinusal constitui um problema terapêutico relevante devido ao caráter destrutivo do crescimento, tendência à recorrência e a possibilidade de transformação maligna. Assim, muitas tentativas têm sido realizadas para identificar fatores de risco para ocorrência de PI, bem como pesquisas para encontrar marcadores que permitam a detecção precoce de tumores e a utilização de terapia adequada. Um fator de risco amplamente conhecido de PI é a infecção pelo HPV. Um dos marcadores da infecção por HPV e do efeito contínuo dessa alteração (embora suscitando alguma controvérsia) é a expressão da proteína p16.

Objetivo: O objetivo do estudo foi analisar a correlação entre a expressão de p16 como um substituto da infecção pelo HPV no material histopatológico analisado e as variáveis epidemiológicas, recorrências, ou transformação maligna.

Método: O estudo retrospectivo inclui um grupo de 53 pacientes (18 mulheres e 35 homens) submetidos a tratamento para PI nasossinusal no período de 2002 a 2012. A intensidade da expressão da proteína p16 no material histopatológico foi pontuada como: 0 - sem expressão, 1 - expressão difusa (limite) e 2 - expressão positiva; ou 0 - sem expressão/expressão difusa (limite); 1 - expressão positiva. O Comitê de Ética aprovou o estudo (1089/12; 245/13).

Resultados e conclusão: Não houve relação estatisticamente significativa entre a expressão de p16 e a idade dos pacientes, tabagismo, localização tumoral, e estadiamento tumoral de acordo com a classificação de Krouse e Cannady, presença de displasia ou ocorrência de recidiva.

© 2017 Associação Brasileira de Otorrinolaringologia e Cirurgia Cérvico-Facial. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Introduction

Sinonasal Inverted Papillomas (IPs) develop from the ciliated epithelium covering the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses, called Schneiderian membrane. They constitute 0.4%–4.7% of sinonasal tumors.¹ The Schneiderian membrane is an epithelial lining similar in structure to the respiratory epithelium of the lower respiratory tract, but unlike that tissue, is derived from the ectoderm not the endoderm. Schneiderian epithelium is composed of a single layer of cylindrical ciliated cells, with a small amount of goblet cells. IPs are built with hyperplastic epithelium which grows endophytically into down-lying stroma. The epithelial growths are limited by the basement membrane. The epithelium forming the IP is formed from a few to several layers of cells of the type of squamous, transitional and/or ciliated columnar epithelium. Usually, an admixture of goblet cells is also visible. IP is characterized by a low number of mitoses (mainly located close to the base). Sometimes, superficial keratosis (10%–20% of cases) and intraepithelial dysplasia (5%–10% of cases) is observed.²

The most well-known IP risk factor is HPV infection.^{3–6} The other considered risk factors for IP development are: inflammatory infiltration,⁷ welding fumes and organic solvents.⁸

The maintenance of the transformed phenotype, dependent upon high-risk human papillomavirus (hrHPV) infection, is mainly caused by the expression of two viral oncogenes, E6 and E7. hrHPV E6 protein initiates carcinogenesis by its ability to target p53. The E6/UBE3A (E6-AP) ubiquitin ligase complex targets TP53 for ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation. Moreover, hrHPV E6 activates telomerase (TERT) to regulate cellular adhesion, and uncontrolled proliferation.⁹

The hrHPV E7 protein initiates carcinogenesis by degradation and inactivation of the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein (RB1) by preventing it from binding to the transcription factor E2F, and thereby promoting cell cycle progression.¹⁰ E2F activation by hrHPV E7 is thought to stimulate expression of the tumor suppressor p16 [INK4A]. The p16 [INK4A] tumor suppressor inhibits CDK4/CDK6 activity by recalling the complex formation with D-type cyclins (CCND). CDK4/6 inhibition causes accumulation of hypophosphory-

Table 2 Location of the tumor in p16 positive and negative group.

Number of patients	Nasal cavity	Nasal cavity + maxillary sinus	Nasal cavity + ethmoid	Other localizations	Value of the test	<i>p</i>
p16– (NEG)	8 (15.09%)	7 (13.21%)	8 (15.09%)	10 (18.87%)	Chi ² (3) = 0.763	0.85823
p16+ (POS)	3 (5.66%)	4 (7.55%)	6 (11.32%)	7 (13.21%)		
p16– (NEG)	1 (1.89%)	1 (1.89%)	1 (1.89%)	5 (9.43%)	0.44422	
p16 borderline (NEG)	7 (13.21%)	6 (11.32%)	7 (13.21%)	5 (9.43%)		
p16+ (POS)	3 (5.66%)	4 (7.55%)	6 (11.32%)	7 (13.21%)		

Table 3 Staging according to Krouse classification in p16 positive and negative group.

Number of patients	T1	T2	T3	T4	Value of the test	<i>p</i>
p16– (NEG)	8 (15.09%)	4 (7.55%)	17 (32.08%)	4 (7.55%)	Chi ² (3) = 2.427	0.48865
p16+ (POS)	3 (5.66%)	5 (9.43%)	11 (20.75%)	1 (1.89%)		
p16– (NEG)	1 (1.89%)	1 (1.89%)	4 (7.55%)	2 (3.77%)	0.54503	
p16 borderline (NEG)	7 (13.21%)	3 (5.66%)	13 (24.53%)	2 (3.77%)		
p16+ (POS)	3 (5.66%)	5 (9.43%)	11 (20.75%)	1 (1.89%)		

Average duration of symptoms was 17.6 months (0–120 months). In 75% of cases, duration was less than 24 months (Table 1).

In the analyzed group, the percentage of patients with the full expression of p16 was 37.64% and 84.91%, also taking into account borderline expression.

Twenty-five (47.16%) patients stated a history of cigarette smoking. The percentage of smokers in the group of patients with p16 expression and in the group of patients expressing diffuse expression/absent expression was similar (45% vs. 48%). There was no statistically significant correlation between smoking and p16 protein expression (Table 1).

There was no statistically significant correlation between the location of the tumor and the expression of p16; however, the percentage of patients with full expression of p16 was higher in the case of changes spreading beyond the nasal cavity, and hence, was more advanced (Table 2).

There was no statistically significant correlation between the tumor staging according to Krouse¹² classification and the expression of p16 (Table 3). Nevertheless, the proportion of p16+ patients is higher in the group of patients with T2 (56%) and T3 (39%) tumors than in patients with early changes (T1 – 27%). Low percentage (20%) of p16+ patients

in the T4 group may result from it being a small group (*n* = 5).

According to the Cannady classification,¹³ 20 (37.74%) patients had a tumor classified as ‘‘A’’, 28 (52.83%) patients were ‘‘B’’, and 3 (5.66%) were ‘‘C’’. The percentage of patients showing full expression of the p16 protein in each group was 40%, 34% and 33%, respectively.

There was no statistically significant correlation between the occurrence of dysplasia and the expression of p16. The percentage of patients with dysplasia in the p16+ group was 45% (Table 4).

In 5 (9.43%) patients, transformation to squamous cell carcinoma was observed. In this group, one patient had full expression of p16, two patients had borderline expression and the final two had a total lack of p16 expression.

The average follow-up time was 51 months (4.5 months – 154 months). Every patient was referred to regular check-up visits. 12 of them (22.6%) did not respond to referral.

Recurrence occurred in 25 (47.17%) patients. The average time to recurrence was 36.9 months (2.6 months – 9.6 years). The percentage of patients with full p16 expression in the recurrence group was 36%. There was no statistically significant correlation between the incidence of recurrence

Table 4 Occurrence of dysplasia in p16 positive and negative group.

Dysplasia degree	Without	I	II	III	Value of the test	<i>p</i>
p16– (NEG)	21 (39.62%)	9 (16.98%)	1 (1.89%)	2 (3.77%)	Chi ² (3) = 6.944	0.07371
p16+ (POS)	11 (20.75%)	4 (7.55%)	5 (9.43%)	0 (0.0%)		
Whole	32 (60.38%)	13 (24.53%)	6 (11.32%)	2 (3.77%)		
Dysplasia degree	Without	I	II	III	Razem	<i>p</i>
p16– (NEG)	4 (7.55%)	2 (3.77%)	1 (1.89%)	1 (1.89%)	8 (15.09%)	0.15801
p16 borderline (NEG)	17 (32.08%)	7 (13.21%)	0 (1.89%)	1 (1.89%)	25 (47.17%)	
p16+ (POS)	11 (20.75%)	4 (7.55%)	5 (9.43%)	0 (0.0%)	20 (37.74%)	
Whole	32 (60.38%)	13 (24.53%)	6 (11.32%)	2 (3.77%)	53 (100%)	

and the expression of p16 ($p = 0.21872$). Among 25 patients with recurrence 20 underwent reoperation; 5 patients were disqualified from surgery because of poor general condition.

In group of 20 patients after re-surgery the second recurrence occurred in 5 cases (25.0%); average time to relapse was 12 months.

Discussion and conclusion

In scientific studies, using the query “inverted papilloma”; 1478 indexed publications were found, “inverted papilloma HPV” yielded 126 publications, and “Inverted papilloma p16” identified 19 publications.

The role of HPV infection in cervical cancer as well as cancers of the head and neck, esophagus, bladder, breast, and many others has been proven.⁵ The percentage of HPV+ among patients with IP ranged between 38% and 63%.^{4,14–16}

Syrjänen et al. prepared a meta-analysis of research concerning HPV infection among patients with sinonasal papilloma (note that this is a broader concept than IP (includes fungiform, cylindrical (oncocyctic) and inverted papilloma). They analyzed a total of 1956 cases. In total, 38.8% of cases were identified as HPV-positive (37.8% in the IP subgroup). Differences in the percentage of HPV-positive patients within different subgroups were explained by the different histological structure.⁴

The IP recurrence rate is estimated at 15%–20%.^{17,18} Recurrent tumors tend to be more “aggressive”, with a greater tendency to further recurrences.^{19,20} HPV infection seems to be a risk factor for recurrent IP.²¹

Expression of p16INK4a protein is considered to be the exponent of HPV infection, but there were reports to contradict this thesis. Yamashita et al. detected p16INK4a overexpression in only 1/4 of the HPV+ patients with squamous cell carcinoma, but in 80% of cases of HPV+ with IP, without showing a statistically significant correlation. The author concludes that p16INK4a cannot be considered a surrogate marker of HPV infection in the IP.¹⁴

Intraepithelial dysplasia is found in 5%–10% of inverted papillomas. There are no clear histological factors indicating the higher risk of malignant transformation; however, among the potential features, the presence of intraepithelial dysplasia (middle and high-grade) is mentioned, as is the presence of dyskeratosis and superficial keratosis in papilloma.²²

The most common form of malignant transformation of inverted papilloma is squamous cell carcinoma, and less often is adenocarcinoma. Small cell cancer is a rare form of malignancy. Squamous cell carcinoma derived from IP is usually characterized by a high degree of differentiation, and thus have a better prognosis than other sinonasal squamous cell carcinomas. Even in the case of advanced changes, advanced lymphatic metastases are rare.²³

Factors for malignant transformation of IP considered to be HPV infection; especially high risk HPV (HPV-16 or HPV-18),^{5,14} smoking,²⁴ p53 overexpression,^{25,26} Ki-67 overexpression,²⁶ cyclooxygenase-2 overexpression,²⁷ a high degree of hyperkeratosis, high mitotic index, lack of inflammatory polyps and neutrophils, and the presence of plasma cells.²⁸ It is believed that malignancy accompanies bilateral

IP more often.²⁸ Despite intensive research, certain predictors and biomarkers or malignancy have not yet been found.²³ In the analyzed group, malignant transformation into squamous cell carcinoma occurred in 5 patients (one patient with full p16+ expression, two with borderline expression and two without p16 expression).

Zhao et al. prepared a meta-analysis of 31 studies exploring the relationship between HPV infection and malignant transformation of IP, stating that it is statistically significant, especially for HPV-18.⁵

The clinical features of IP will probably lead to further research that may allow the isolation of more perfect markers. A desirable feature would be not only defined group with an increased risk of IP, but also the identification of patients with already diagnosed IP with a higher risk of recurrence and malignant transformation. This is especially important when choosing treatment pathways as well as the regulation of post-operative follow-up.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Szyfter W. Nowotwory w Otolaryngologii. *Termedia*. 2015;133–4.
2. Barnes L. Schneiderian papillomas and non-salivary glandular neoplasms of the head and neck. *Mod Pathol*. 2002;15:279–97.
3. Kim J-Y, Yoon J-K, Citardi MJ, Batra PS, Roh H-J. The prevalence of human papilloma virus infection in sinonasal inverted papilloma specimens classified by histological grade. *Am J Rhinol*. 2007;21:664–9.
4. Syrjänen K, Syrjänen S. Detection of human papillomavirus in sinonasal papillomas: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Laryngoscope*. 2013;123:181–92.
5. Zhao R-W, Guo Z-Q, Zhang R-X. Human papillomavirus infection and the malignant transformation of sinonasal inverted papilloma: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Virol*. 2016;79:36–43.
6. Syrjänen KJ. HPV infections in benign and malignant sinonasal lesions. *J Clin Pathol*. 2003;56:174–81.
7. Roh H-J, Procop GW, Batra PS, Citardi MJ, Lanza DC. Inflammation and the pathogenesis of inverted papilloma. *Am J Rhinol*. 2004;18:65–74.
8. d’Errico A, Zajacova J, Cacciatore A, Baratti A, Zanelli R, Alfonzo S, et al. Occupational risk factors for sinonasal inverted papilloma: a case-control study. *Occup Environ Med*. 2013;70:703–8.
9. Mürger K, Baldwin A, Edwards KM, Hayakawa H, Nguyen CL, Owens M, et al. Mechanisms of human papillomavirus-induced oncogenesis. *J Virol*. 2004;78:11451–60.
10. Munger K, Jones DL. Human papillomavirus carcinogenesis: an identity crisis in the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor pathway. *J Virol*. 2015;89:4708–11.
11. Ndiaye C, Mena M, Alemany L, Arbyn M, Castellsagué X, Laporte L, et al. HPV DNA, E6/E7 mRNA, and p16INK4a detection in head and neck cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol*. 2014;15:1319–31.
12. Krouse JH. Development of a staging system for inverted papilloma. *Laryngoscope*. 2000;110:965–8.
13. Cannady SB, Batra PS, Sautter NB, Roh H-J, Citardi MJ. New staging system for sinonasal inverted papilloma in the endoscopic era. *Laryngoscope*. 2007;117:1283–7.

14. Yamashita Y, Hasegawa M, Deng Z, Maeda H, Kondo S, Kyuna A, et al. Human papillomavirus infection and immunohistochemical expression of cell cycle proteins pRb, p53, and p16(INK4a) in sinonasal diseases. *Infect Agent Cancer*. 2015;10:23.
15. Beck JC, McClatchey KD, Lesperance MM, Esclamado RM, Carey TE, Bradford CR. Presence of human papillomavirus predicts recurrence of inverted papilloma. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 1995;113:49–55.
16. Beck JC, McClatchey KD, Lesperance MM, Esclamado RM, Carey TE, Bradford CR. Human papillomavirus types important in progression of inverted papilloma. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 1995;113:558–63.
17. Kim D-Y, Hong S-L, Lee CH, Jin H-R, Kang JM, Lee B-J, et al. Inverted papilloma of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses: a Korean multicenter study. *Laryngoscope*. 2012;122:487–94.
18. Gu F-M, Zhang L-S. Clinical outcomes of endoscopic and open resection of recurrent sinonasal inverted papilloma. *J Craniofac Surg*. 2014;25:1090–3.
19. Lee T-J, Huang S-F, Lee L-A, Huang C-C. Endoscopic surgery for recurrent inverted papilloma. *Laryngoscope*. 2004;114:106–12.
20. Sciarretta V, Fernandez IJ, Farneti P, Pasquini E. Endoscopic and combined external-transnasal endoscopic approach for the treatment of inverted papilloma: analysis of 110 cases. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2014;271:1953–9.
21. Lawson W, Schlecht NF, Brandwein-Gensler M. The role of the human papillomavirus in the pathogenesis of Schneiderian inverted papillomas: an analytic overview of the evidence. *Head Neck Pathol*. 2008;2:49–59.
22. Lester DR, Thompson MD, Bruce M, Wenig MD. *Diagnostic Pathology: Head and Neck*, vol. 1. Amirsys Publishing Inc.; 2011. p. 53.
23. Yu HX, Liu G. Malignant transformation of sinonasal inverted papilloma: a retrospective analysis of 32 cases. *Oncol Lett*. 2014;8:2637–41.
24. Hong S-L, Kim B-H, Lee J-H, Cho K-S, Roh H-J. Smoking and malignancy in sinonasal inverted papilloma. *Laryngoscope*. 2013;123:1087–91.
25. Gujrathi C, Pathak I, Freeman J, Asa S. Expression of p53 in inverted papilloma and malignancy associated with inverted papilloma. *J Otolaryngol*. 2003;32:48–50.
26. Bura M, Seiwerth S, Vladika I, Perović D, Nagy P, Corić M, et al. Possible prognostic significance of p53 and Ki 67 in inverted sinonasal papilloma. *Coll Antropol*. 2007;31:545–9.
27. Lee G-H, Yoon Y-H, Kim YM, Yeo M-K, Liang ZL, Kim J-M, et al. Pattern of expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in malignant transformation of sinonasal inverted papilloma. *Am J Otolaryngol*. 2012;33:585–9.
28. Wormald PJ, Ooi E, van Hasselt CA, Nair S. Endoscopic removal of sinonasal inverted papilloma including endoscopic medial maxillectomy. *Laryngoscope*. 2003;113:867–73.