

Original Article

## Characterization of primary percutaneous coronary intervention requiring predilation

Thiago Augusto Rubini Miranda<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ana Cristina Felício Rios Miranda<sup>a</sup>, Paulo Cícero Aidar Maiello<sup>b</sup>, Wagner Bento Pupim Filho<sup>b</sup>, Wellington Borges Reis<sup>b</sup>, Pedro Beraldo de Andrade<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Irmandade da Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Campo Belo, Campo Belo, MG, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Hospital Beneficência Portuguesa de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> Irmandade da Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Marília, Marília, SP, Brazil

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 2 December 2015

Accepted 5 March 2016

#### Keywords:

Angioplasty

Myocardial infarction

Myocardial reperfusion

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Although predilation during primary percutaneous coronary intervention offers greater predictability for stent implantation, it is associated with complications that may negatively influence immediate and late outcomes. The objective of this study was to characterize procedures requiring predilation, comparing them to those performed by direct stent implantation.

**Methods:** Primary percutaneous coronary interventions registered at the *Central Nacional de Intervenções Cardiovasculares* (CENIC) from 2006 to 2016 were analyzed. The clinical and angiographic profiles of the procedures performed with or without predilation, hospital outcome measures, and predictors of mortality were characterized.

**Results:** The sample consisted of 17,515 patients. Those who underwent predilation differed from the direct stent implantation group regarding clinical characteristics, with a higher prevalence of elderly, women, and associated comorbidities. In the first group, the rates of calcified lesions, bifurcations, occlusions, and multivessel coronary disease were higher. Intervention failure rates were also higher in patients undergoing predilation, as well as the rates of major adverse cardiac events. In the multiple logistic regression model, the need for predilation was correlated with the occurrence of hospital death.

**Conclusions:** Primary percutaneous coronary intervention requiring predilation was characterized by a higher prevalence of clinical comorbidities and by angiographic and technical complexity of the procedures. Predilation is an independent predictor of hospital mortality in this clinical setting.

© 2016 Sociedade Brasileira de Hemodinâmica e Cardiologia Intervencionista. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Caracterização da intervenção coronária percutânea primária com necessidade de pré-dilatação

### RESUMO

**Introdução:** Embora a pré-dilatação durante a intervenção coronária percutânea primária confira maior previsibilidade ao implante do stent, ela associa-se a complicações que podem influenciar negativamente em seus resultados imediatos e tardios. O objetivo deste estudo foi caracterizar os procedimentos com necessidade de pré-dilatação, comparando-os àqueles realizados pelo implante direto de stent.

**Métodos:** Foram analisadas as intervenções coronárias percutâneas primárias cadastradas na Central Nacional de Intervenções Cardiovasculares (CENIC) durante o período de 2006 a 2016, tendo sido caracterizados os perfis clínico e angiográfico dos procedimentos efetivados com ou sem pré-dilatação, aferição de desfechos hospitalares e preditores de mortalidade.

**Resultados:** A amostra foi composta por 17.515 pacientes. Aqueles submetidos à pré-dilatação diferiram do grupo stent direto, quanto às características clínicas, com maior prevalência de idosos, mulheres e comorbidades associadas. No primeiro, as taxas de lesões calcificadas, bifurcações, oclusões e coronariopatia multiarterial foram maiores. Também foram maiores as taxas de insucesso da intervenção entre pacientes submetidos à pré-dilatação e de eventos cardíacos adversos maiores.

#### Palavras-chave:

Angioplastia

Infarto do miocárdio

Reperusão miocárdica

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rbc.2017.03.001>

\* Corresponding author: Praça Menotti D'Aurea, 215, Centro, CEP: 37270-000, Campo Belo, MG, Brasil.

E-mail: [thiagoarmiranda@hotmail.com](mailto:thiagoarmiranda@hotmail.com) (T.A.R. Miranda).

Peer review under the responsibility of Sociedade Brasileira de Hemodinâmica e Cardiologia Intervencionista.

No modelo de regressão logística múltipla, a necessidade de pré-dilatação correlacionou-se com a ocorrência de óbito hospitalar.

**Conclusões:** A intervenção coronária percutânea primária com necessidade de pré-dilatação caracterizou-se pela maior prevalência de comorbidades clínicas entre os pacientes e pela complexidade angiográfica e técnica dos procedimentos. A pré-dilatação constituiu-se em variável preditora independente de mortalidade hospitalar neste cenário clínico.

© 2016 Sociedade Brasileira de Hemodinâmica e Cardiologia Intervencionista. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction

Primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), when performed in a timely manner and by a trained team, is considered the treatment of choice for ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).<sup>1</sup> Stent implantation during the procedure is known to reduce acute complications and restenosis rates, increasing angiographic success.<sup>2</sup> During primary PCI, two technical approaches are possible: the classic, with balloon predilation before endoprosthesis deployment, and direct stent implantation, without predilation.

The classic technique allows the best crossing and delivery of the stent in the lesion. However, balloon insufflation may cause barotrauma in the fragile vessel, leading to immediate complications, such as dissection, thrombosis, and microvascular obstruction; it can also influence late results, such as increased risk of target vessel failure.<sup>3,4</sup> Thus, direct stent implantation is recommended in selected cases, with potential improvement in the final epicardial flow, reduction in procedure duration and costs, reduced radiation exposure, and benefits regarding adverse clinical outcomes.<sup>5-8</sup>

The patient's emergency condition in the presence of an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) makes this an essential subject; however, there are few conclusive data available in the literature. This article aimed to characterize procedures requiring predilation, comparing them to those performed by direct stent implantation.

## Methods

The *Central Nacional de Intervenção Cardiovascular* (CENIC) is an official organ of the *Sociedade Brasileira de Hemodinâmica e Cardiologia Intervencionista* (SBHCI) created in 1991 to document the performance and evolution of the specialty in Brazil. It comprises a database of voluntary contributions from the full and aspiring members of this society who are authorized to practice PCI, comprising the five geographic regions of Brazil. Its coordinating center is located at the headquarters of SBHCI, in São Paulo (SP), and its operating system works through the collection of data in pre-specified telecards filled out electronically; they are identical for all the participating centers, as previously described.<sup>9</sup> PCI data collection began in 1992, and the new percutaneous instruments, different from coronary balloon angioplasty, were incorporated as of the second half of 1995.

This analysis used the data related to primary PCI performed between 2006 and 2016. The following criteria and definitions established by CENIC were used, after the interventionists' consideration: predilation with balloon prior to stent implantation; procedural success in obtaining a residual lesion < 30%; occurrence of in-hospital severe adverse outcomes: death and acute vessel occlusion; reinfarction or emergency surgery, if performed as a result of acute or subacute target vessel occlusion or triggered due to other modalities of percutaneous coronary intervention failure, accompanied by acute myocardial ischemia.

## Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as absolute numbers and percentages and compared using the chi-squared test. Fisher's exact test or the likelihood ratio test were used when necessary. Continuous variables were expressed as means and standard deviations and compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Simple and multiple logistic regression models were used to verify the influence of variables of interest in relation to mortality. A significance level of 5% ( $p < 0.05$ ) was considered in all analyses.

## Results

The sample of the present analysis consisted of 17,515 patients and 20,084 lesions treated between June 2006 and March 2016. Those who underwent primary PCI with predilation differed from those who underwent direct stent implantation in relation to clinical characteristics, with a higher prevalence of elderly individuals, women, and associated comorbidities (Table 1). Moreover, there was a higher rate of calcified lesions, bifurcations, occlusions, and multivessel coronary artery disease in the first group, which resulted in greater angiographic complexity of the cases (Table 2).

Stents were implanted in all procedures. The rates of intervention failure and use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors were higher among patients submitted to predilation (Table 3), as well as the rates of major adverse cardiac events at the expense of death and reinfarction (Table 4).

In the multiple logistic regression model, the variables that best correlated with the occurrence of death were predilation, advanced age, female gender, pre-existing coronary atherosclerotic disease, multivessel coronary artery disease, Killip classification, and use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors (Table 5).

## Discussion

The analysis of the CENIC database, which has national representativeness, shows that patients submitted to primary PCI requiring predilation have clinical, angiographic, and procedural characteristics that categorize them as having higher risk and technical complexity. Furthermore, it constitutes an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality. Similar findings have been reported in the literature. In the large, randomized, multicenter, HORIZONS-AMI (Harmonizing Outcomes With Revascularization and Stents in Acute Myocardial Infarction) study, involving 2,528 patients, direct stent implantation in patients eligible for the technique was associated with higher final epicardial flow rates – Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) 3, resolution of ST-elevation, and survival at 1 year of follow-up.<sup>10</sup> The EUROTRANSFER (European Registry on STEMI Patients Transferred for PCI With Upstream Use of Abciximab) registry,

**Table 1**  
Patients' characteristics.

Characteristics	Predilation		Total (n = 17,515)	p-value
	No (n = 6,508)	Yes (n = 11,007)		
Age, years	60.9 ± 12.3	61.7 ± 12.4	61.4 ± 12.4	< 0.0001
Male gender, n (%)	4,550 (70.8)	7,535 (69.2)	12,085 (69.8)	0.03
Smoking, n (%)	2,132 (33.2)	3,827 (35.2)	5,959 (34.4)	0.008
Arterial hypertension, n (%)	4,744 (74.7)	7,742 (71.2)	12,486 (72.5)	< 0.0001
Dyslipidemia, n (%)	3,101 (49.4)	5,274 (48.5)	8,375 (48.8)	0.24
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	978 (17.2)	2,269 (20.9)	3,247 (19.6)	< 0.0001
Previous infarction, n (%)	431 (7.6)	1,095 (10.1)	1,526 (9.2)	< 0.0001
Previous PCI, n (%)	900 (14.3)	848 (8.0)	1,748 (10.3)	< 0.0001
Previous CABG, n (%)	142 (2.2)	255 (2.3)	397 (2.3)	0.64
Killip classification, n (%)				0.001
I	4,984 (77.7)	8,381 (77.0)	13,365 (77.3)	
II	883 (13.8)	1,432 (13.2)	2,315 (13.4)	
III	240 (3.7)	388 (3.6)	628 (3.6)	
IV	311 (4.8)	680 (6.2)	991 (5.7)	

PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG: coronary artery bypass graft surgery.

**Table 2**  
Angiographic characteristics.

Characteristics	Predilation		Total (n = 17,515 patients, 20,084 lesions)	p-value
	No (n = 6,508 patients, 7,988 lesions)	Yes (n = 11,007 patients, 12,096 lesions)		
CAD extension, n (%)				< 0.0001
Single-vessel	3,253 (51.5)	4,933 (45.4)	8,186 (47.6)	
Two-vessel	1,861 (29.5)	3,351 (30.8)	5,212 (30.3)	
Three-vessel	1,184 (18.8)	2,552 (23.5)	3,736 (21.7)	
Multivessel + LMCA	3 (0)	0 (0)	3 (0)	
LMCA	11 (0.2)	32 (0.3)	43 (0.3)	
Treated vessels, n (%)				0.04
Left anterior descending artery	3,655 (45.8)	5,706 (47.2)	9,361 (46.6)	
Right coronary artery	3,032 (38)	4,551 (37.6)	7,583 (37.8)	
Left circumflex artery	1,124 (14.1)	1,618 (13.4)	2,742 (13.7)	
LMCA	91 (1.1)	96 (0.8)	187 (0.9)	
Surgical grafting	86 (1.1)	126 (1.1)	212 (1.1)	
B2/C lesions, n (%)	1,523 (19.1)	4,049 (33.5)	5,572 (27.7)	< 0.0001
Bifurcation lesions, n (%)	1,747 (21.9)	3,285 (27.2)	5,032 (25.1)	< 0.0001
TIMI flow, pre, n (%)				< 0.0001
0/1	4,496 (56.3)	9,243 (76.4)	13,739 (68.4)	
2/3	3,492 (43.7)	2,853 (23.6)	6,345 (31.6)	
LVEF < 50%, n (%)	3,019 (46.4)	5,519 (50.1)	8,538 (48.7)	< 0.0001
Collateral circulation, n (%)	662 (15.4)	2,286 (25.3)	2,948 (22.1)	< 0.0001

CAD: coronary atherosclerotic disease; LMCA: left main coronary artery; TIMI: Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction.

**Table 3**  
Characteristics of the procedures.

Characteristics	Predilation		Total (n = 17,515 patients, 20,084 lesions)	p-value
	No (n = 6,508 patients, 7,988 lesions)	Yes (n = 11,007 patients, 12,096 lesions)		
Stent use, n (%)	6,427 (100)	10,881 (100)	17,308 (100)	NA
Stent/patient ratio	1.2 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.6	1.3 ± 0.5	< 0.0001
Drug-eluting stents, n (%)	584 (6.4)	857 (6.6)	1,441 (6.5)	0.28
Stent diameter, mm	3.13 ± 0.49	3.06 ± 0.46	3.09 ± 0.47	< 0.0001
Stent length, mm	19.6 ± 7.1	21.1 ± 6.3	20.5 ± 6.7	< 0.0001
Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor, n (%)	699 (10.7)	2,307 (21)	3,006 (17.2)	< 0.0001
Thrombus aspiration, n (%)	466 (5.1)	632 (4.9)	1,098 (5.0)	0.43
TIMI post, n (%)				< 0.0001
0/1	184 (2.3)	435 (3.6)	623 (3.1)	
2/3	7,804 (97.7)	11,661 (96.4)	19,461 (96.9)	
Diameter stenosis, n (%)				
Pre-procedure	94.7 ± 9.8	97.6 ± 7.0	96.4 ± 8.4	0.03
Post-procedure	4.1 ± 9.8	4.0 ± 10.9	4.0 ± 10.5	0.03
Procedure success, n (%)	6,275 (96.4)	10,423 (94.7)	16,698 (95.3)	< 0.0001

NA: not applicable; TIMI: Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction.

**Table 4**

Clinical outcomes during the in-hospital phase.

Characteristics	Predilation		Total (n = 17,515)	p-value
	No (n = 6,508)	Yes (n = 11,007)		
Reinfarction, n (%)	13 (0.2)	64 (0.6)	77 (0.5)	0.001
Emergency CABG, n (%)	4 (0.1)	5 (0.1)	9 (0.1)	0.74
Death, n (%)	150 (2.5)	383 (3.5)	533 (3.2)	0.0003
MACE, n (%)	164 (2.6)	438 (4.0)	602 (3.5)	< 0.0001

CABG: coronary artery bypass graft surgery; MACE: major adverse cardiac events.

**Table 5**

Multiple logistic regression evaluating the influence of independent variables in relation to in-hospital death.

Variable	Estimate	p-value	OR	95% CI
Constant	-4.37	< 0.0001		
Predilation (yes vs. no)	0.34	0.0046	1.40	1.11-1.76
Age (years)	0.03	< 0.0001	1.03	1.02-1.04
Gender (female vs. male)	0.28	0.0091	1.32	1.07-1.63
Previous AMI (yes vs. no)	0.41	0.0048	1.51	1.13-2.01
Killip (I vs. IV)	-3.49	< 0.0001	0.03	0.02-0.04
Killip (II vs. IV)	-2.35	< 0.0001	0.10	0.07-0.13
CAD extension (multivessel vs. single-vessel)	0.74	< 0.0001	2.10	1.62-2.72
IIb/IIIa GPI (yes vs. no)	0.35	0.0039	1.41	1.12-1.79

OR: odds ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; AMI: acute myocardial infarction; CAD: coronary atherosclerotic disease; GPI: glycoprotein inhibitor.

which analyzed 1,143 patients, observed a significant reduction of 55% in 1-year mortality favorable to the group not requiring predilation, which was maintained even after adjustment by propensity score.<sup>11</sup>

The use of strategies aimed to minimize the risk of distal embolization and coronary flow impairment during primary PCI is an investigation hypothesis evaluated in different clinical trials. Manual or mechanical aspiration thrombectomy, distal protection filter, or delayed stent implantation were not shown to be effective in improving patients' survival.<sup>12,13</sup> Regarding direct stent implantation, a meta-analysis encompassing 12 studies and 9,331 patients, mostly observed a significant 44% reduction in mortality, suggesting this is a desirable technique when anatomically feasible.<sup>14</sup>

Although the absence of predilation can reduce the risk of periprocedural complications and adverse events, this intervention is technically associated to the presence of variables that allow its use.<sup>15,16</sup> In fact, vessels greater than 2.5 mm in diameter, absence of severe calcification, angulation greater than 45°, TIMI flow 0-1, and lesions involving bifurcations are characteristics that are more prevalent in the group submitted to direct stent implantation.<sup>17,18</sup>

Among the main limitations of the analysis, the authors highlight its observational, retrospective design, as well as the non-application of a propensity score for pairing and comparison between the groups. Additionally, in the CENIC registry, data is sent spontaneously, not including the total number of procedures performed in the period, although the high number of registered interventions (greater than 17,500) constitutes one of the largest series on the subject presented to date.

## Conclusions

Primary percutaneous coronary intervention requiring predilation was characterized by a higher prevalence of clinical comorbidities in patients and by angiographic and technical

complexity of the procedures when compared to direct stent implantation. Predilation is an independent predictor of hospital mortality in this clinical setting.

## Funding sources

None declared.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Keeley EC, Boura JA, Grines CL. Primary angioplasty versus intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: a quantitative review of 23 randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2003;361(9351):13-20.
- Al Suwaidi J, Holmes DR Jr, Salam AM, Lennon R, Berger PB. Impact of coronary artery stents on mortality and nonfatal myocardial infarction: meta-analysis of randomized trials comparing a strategy of routine stenting with that of balloon angioplasty. *Am Heart J*. 2004;147(5):815-22.
- Cazozzolo C, Piscione F, De Luca G, Cioppa A, Mazzarotto P, Leosco D, et al. Direct coronary stenting: effect on coronary blood flow and late clinical results. *Cathet Cardiovasc Interv*. 2001;53(4):464-73.
- Rogers C, Parikh S, Seifert P, Edelman ER. Endogenous cell seeding: remnant endothelium after stenting enhances vascular repair. *Circulation*. 1996;94(11):2909-14.
- Pentousis D, Guérin Y, Funck F, Zheng H, Toussaint M, Corcos T, et al. Direct stent implantation without predilatation using the MultiLink stent. *Am J Cardiol*. 1998;82(12):1437-40.
- Hamon M, Richardeau Y, Lécluse E, Saloux E, Sabatier R, Agostini D, et al. Direct coronary stenting without balloon predilatation in acute coronary syndromes. *Am Heart J*. 1999;138(1 Pt 1):55-9.
- Timurkaynak T, Ozdemir M, Cengel A, Dortlemey H. Conventional versus direct stenting in acute myocardial infarction: effect on immediate coronary blood flow. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2002;14:372-77.
- Loubeyre C, Morice MC, Lefreuve T, Piéchaud JF, Louvard Y, Dumas P. A randomized comparison of direct stenting with conventional stent implantation in selected patients with acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;39(1):15-21.

9. Sousa AG e demais participantes da CENIC. Procedimentos percutâneos de intervenção cardiovascular no Brasil em 1992 e 1993. Relatório do Registro Nacional – Central Nacional de Intervenções Cardiovasculares (CENIC). Arq Bras Cardiol. 1994;62(4):217-23.
10. Mockel M, Vollert J, Lansky AJ, Witzenbichler B, Guagliumi G, Peruga JZ, et al.; Horizons-AMI Trial Investigators. Comparison of direct stenting with conventional stent implantation in acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2011;108(12):1697-703.
11. Dziewierz A, Siudak, Rakowski T, Kleczyński P, Zasada W, Dubiel JS, et al. Impact of direct stenting on outcome of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction transferred for primary percutaneous coronary intervention (from the EUROTRANSFER registry). Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2014;84(6):925-31.
12. Mancini JG, Filion KB, Windle SB, Habib B, Eisenberg MJ. Meta-analysis of the long-term effect of routine aspiration thrombectomy in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Am J Cardiol 2016;118(1):23-31.
13. Kelbæk H, Høfsten DE, Køber L, Helqvist S, Kløvgaard L, Holmvang L, et al. Deferred versus conventional stent implantation in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (DANAMI 3-DEFER): an open-label, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2016;387(10034):2199-206.
14. Azzalini L, Millán X, Ly HQ, L'Allier PL, Jolicœur EM. Direct stenting versus predilatation in ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Interv Cardiol. 2015;28(2):119-31.
15. Briguori C, Sheiban I, De Gregorio J, Anzuini A, Montorfano M, Pagnotta P, et al. Direct coronary stenting without predilatation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1999;34(7):1910-5.
16. Quadros AS, Baldissera FA, Abelin AP, Camozzatto FO, Feijó IP, Martins JM, et al. Stent direto na intervenção coronária primária: avaliação de seu uso na prática clínica contemporânea. Rev Bras Cardiol Invasiva. 2010;18:412-8.
17. McCormick L, Brown A, Ring S, Gajendragadkar P, Dockrill SJ, Hansom SP, et al. Direct stenting is an independent predictor of improved survival in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST elevation myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2014;3(4):340-6.
18. Oblitas JO, Costa Jr. JR, Siqueira DA, Maldonado A, Barreira G, Shessarenko J, et al. Implante de stents com ou sem pré-dilatação em pacientes com síndrome coronária aguda sem supradesnivelamento do segmento ST. Rev Bras Cardiol Invasiva. 2013;21(4):338-43.