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**A B S T R A C T**

Exopolysaccharide (EPS) biopolymers produced by microorganisms play a crucial role in the environment such as health and bio-nanotechnology sectors, gelling agents in food and cosmetic industries in addition to bio-floculants in the environmental sector as they are degradable, nontoxic. This study focuses on the improvement of EPS production through manipulation of different culture and environmental conditions using response surface methodology (RSM). Plackett–Burman design indicated that; molasses, yeast extract and incubation temperature are the most effective parameters. Box–Behnken RSM indicated that; the optimum concentration for each parameter was 12% (w/v) for molasses, 6g/L yeast extract and 30 °C for incubation temperature. The most potent bacterial isolate was identified as *Bacillus velezensis* KY498625. After production, EPS was extracted, purified using DEAE-cellulose, identified using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), gel permeation chromatography (GPC) and gas chromatography–mass spectroscopy (GC–MS). The result indicated that; it has molecular weight $1.14 \times 10^6$ D consisting of glucose, mannose and galactose.

© 2018 Sociedade Brasileira de Microbiologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

**Introduction**

Microbial exopolysaccharides are the most significant group of biopolymer. Depending on their location, EPS represented either in capsular form or slimy layer. Microbial exopolysaccharide are mainly composed of sugar polymers that are produced by large number of microorganisms including bacteria, fungi and yeasts. Natural biopolymers (bacterial polysaccharides) are biodegradable and biocompatible in comparison with harmful synthetic polymers. Over the past few decades, the number of known exopolysaccharide (EPS) produced by microbial fermentation has gradually increased. Microbial biopolymers have many application in biotechnology fields including pharmaceutical, tissue engineering, cosmetics, food, textile, oil recovery, metal mining and metal recovery. The production of microbial EPS are highly affected with nutritional and environmental conditions.

Compared with conventional methods, RSM can be used to design experiments, build models, search optimum factors for desirable responses, and evaluate the relative significance of several influence factors even in the presence of complex interactions. The new era of microbial biopolymer production...
on industrial scale is directed for the production from inexpensive sources like agro-industrial wastes.\textsuperscript{10} Molasses is the final effluent produced in the production of sugar by repeated crystallization.\textsuperscript{11} Sugarcane molasses could be a better source of carbon due to its higher content of total sugars at 48.3\%. Large scale production of microbial polysaccharide requires intensive research activities for the application of innovative ideas on a large scale. This research aimed to enhance EPS production from most potent bacterial strain using powerful statistical experimental design as one of the most important steps toward production at the industrial level.

**Material and methods**

*Isolation and purification of bacteria producing exopolysaccharide (EPS)*

Seven soil samples were collected from Al-Bahariya Oasis, Egypt 28°24′17″N 28°52′25″E. Each sample was serially diluted from $10^{-1}$ to $10^{-7}$ in phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.2 ± 0.2. One mL of each dilution was inoculated into tubes containing 9 mL EPS culture medium ([0.2 g KH$_2$PO$_4$; 1.5 g K$_2$HPO$_4$; 0.2 g MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O; 0.1 g CaSO$_4$·2H$_2$O; 2.0 mg FeCl$_3$; 0.5 g yeast extract, 20 g sucrose (per liter)]. Bacteria producing EPS (characterized by colonies of bacteria that form thick slime or mucoid) was selected and purified.\textsuperscript{12}

**Determination of EPS yield**

Bacterial culture was mixed with two volumes of cold absolute ethanol, and centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 rpm. This step was repeated twice to remove impurities of low molecular weight. Exopolysaccharide was dissolved in deionized water and quantified using phenol-sulfuric acid method.\textsuperscript{13} All experiment was repeated in triplicate to calculate mean value of results.

**Preparation of cane molasses as the carbon source**

Sugarcane molasses was obtained from a sugar factory at (sugarcane factory in Kafr El Sheikh, Egypt) that has been used as carbon source. Molasses was diluted with distilled water containing sodium di-hydrogen orthophosphate (2.0 g/L) with ratio 1:1. The solution was autoclaved at 121 °C for 15 min and left to settle for 24 h.\textsuperscript{14} The clarified molasses were used as sole carbon source at different concentrations 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 10%.

**Experimental designs and optimization**

Classical one factor at a time: this method depend on change in one factor at a time where other factors remaining constant.\textsuperscript{15} Single-factor experiments were carried out in 500 mL flask containing 100 mL medium at 200 rpm/min and 30 °C (glucose, sucrose, lactose, maltose, soluble starch, fructose and sugarcane molasses), six nitrogen sources (yeast extract, peptone, urea, (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, NH$_4$Cl and KNO$_3$). Statistical analysis was generated by Microsoft excel 2010 software and p levels at 0.05 were considered as significant.

**Optimization of EPS using Plakett–Burman (PB) design**

The PB experimental design was applied to screen the significant variables that influence EPS production.\textsuperscript{16} Eleven variables of medium composition and culture conditions were tested at low (−1) and high (+1) levels as shown in Table 1. Based on PB matrix design, two-level factorial design, it allows the investigation of 12 variables ($n + 1$).\textsuperscript{17} This design consisted of three replicated center points to avoid error.

$$Y = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_i x_i$$

(1)

where Y is the predicted response, $\beta_0$ is the model intercept; $\beta_i$ is the linear coefficient and $X_i$ is the level of the independent variable.

EPS production was measured in triplicate and the average value was taken as the response. The variables significant at 95% level ($p < 0.05$) were considered to have significant effect on EPS production and thus used for further optimization.

**Response surface methodology**

Box–Behnken design (BBD) with three factors and three levels, including three replicates at the center point, were used for fitting a second-order response surface to provide a measure of process stability and inherent variability.\textsuperscript{18,19} The center points and parameters were selected according to Plackett–Burman design. Fifteen trials for three different variables including medium consisted of sugarcane molasses, yeast extract and incubation temperature were designed. Three levels, “high (+1)”, “middle (0)” and “low (−1)” as shown in Table 2. Regression analysis was performed on the data obtained using software package ‘Design Expert’ software (Version 7.0) and confirmed by Microsoft Excel 2010.\textsuperscript{20} The accuracy of polynomial model equation was expressed by coefficient of determination $R^2$. All experiments were performed in triplicates. The design is represented by a second-order polynomial regression model as follows:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_i x_i + \sum \beta_{ij} x_i x_j + \beta_{ijk} x_i x_j x_k + \Sigma$$

(2)

where Y is the predicted response, $\beta_0$ is the intercept, $\beta_i$ is the linear coefficient, $\beta_{ij}$ is the interactive coefficients, $\beta_{ijk}$ is the quadratic coefficients, and $\Sigma$ is the error, and $x_i$ and $x_j$ are the coded independent variables.

**Identification of bacterial isolate**

Identification of most potent bacterial isolate was based on 16S rDNA sequence analysis. This step was carried out in Sigma Company for Research, Cairo, Egypt. Forward and reverse primers used for PCR amplification were:

27f 5′AGAGTTTGATCCTTGCCAG3′ and 1492r

5′GTACCTTTGTGACACTT3′.
PCR sequence was carried out in GATC (Guanin Adenin Thymin Cytosine) German Company using ABI 3730 X1 DNA sequencer. The sequence of closely related strains were retrieved for constructing the phylogenetic tree to confirm similarities of most potent strain with other related groups. The sequence obtained was subjected to BLAST search and the bacterial species were determined. The percentages of sequence matching were also analyzed and the sequences was submitted to NCBI-Gen Bank and obtained accession numbers.

### Purification of EPS by diethylaminoethyl-cellulose (DEAE-cellulose)

DEAE-cellulose was used as anion exchanger Peterson and Sober. Ion exchange chromatography was performed for purification of the EPS by the DEAE-Cellulose column after first purification by trichloroacetic acid. It was equilibrated with 0.05 M Tris—buffer (pH 7.5) to be used in chromatography. The lyophilized crude EPS sample was dissolved in small volume of 0.1 M NaCl. Then fractions was initially purified by DEAE-cellulose column chromatography and eluted with 25 mM Tris—HCl (pH 8.5). Polysaccharide containing fractions were determined for the presence of the total sugar by phenolsulfuric acid method. The fractions containing sugar were pooled, concentrated by ultra-filtration, and precipitated with 2 volumes of ethanol, respectively.

### Characterization and identification of purified fraction

The purified fraction was characterized using the following spectroscopic analysis, Molecular Weight Distribution Assay by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) (Agilent 1100 series), Infrared IR (Matson Satellite 113 spectrometer), gas mass

---

**Table 1 – Plackett-Burman experimental design for screening of culture conditions affecting EPS production.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>X1</th>
<th>X2</th>
<th>X3</th>
<th>X4</th>
<th>X5</th>
<th>X6</th>
<th>X7</th>
<th>X8</th>
<th>X9</th>
<th>X10</th>
<th>X11</th>
<th>EPS g/L observed</th>
<th>Predicted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.21</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.42 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6.48 ± 0.22</td>
<td>6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.31</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7.05 ± 0.28</td>
<td>7.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6.76 ± 0.44</td>
<td>6.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.92 ± 0.13</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.15 ± 0.35</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.69 ± 0.37</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.44 ± 0.21</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.65 ± 0.65</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.84 ± 0.29</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.13 ± 0.22</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.94 ± 0.51</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X1, yeast extract (g/L); X2, molasses (g/L); X3, MgSO4 (g/L); X4, K2HPO4 (g/L); X5, K2HPO4 (g/L); X6, peptone (g/L); X7, incubation time (h); X8, inoculum size (%); X9, incubation temperature (°C); X10, pH; X11, shaking (rpm).

**Table 2 – Experimental design and results of Box–Behnken optimization experiment.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trials</th>
<th>X1 (molasses) (Coded level)</th>
<th>X2 (yeast extract) (Coded level)</th>
<th>X3 (incubation temp.) (Coded level)</th>
<th>EPS (g/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Real level (%)</td>
<td>Real level (g/L)</td>
<td>Real level (°C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>6.92 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>4.55 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7.78 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.31 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.44 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7.16 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>5.36 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>4.78 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>3.97 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>4.69 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.88 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.39 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>−1.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.41 ± 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.41 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X1, molasses (%); X2, yeast extract (g/L) and X3, incubation time (h).
chromatography according to Seviour et al.22 (Shimadzu GCMS-QP5050 Thermo Scientific Prop). The peaks were identified and compare with by with the standard monosaccharide.

Result

Isolation and purification of bacteria producing exopolysaccharide (EPS)

The most potent bacterial isolates producing exopolysaccharide were M7 (30), E1 (52) and 9I (55) that have been selected from sixty isolate. The isolate 9I (55) was the maximum productivity of about 3 mg/mL that has been selected for further study of research. The selection was based on both rop strain formation and quantitative method by phenol sulphuric after ethanol precipitation as shown in Fig. 1a. The bacterial isolate 9I was highest for mucoid and ropy formation after 24 h of incubation as shown in Fig. 1b.

Single factor optimization design and data analysis

Our results showed that there was strong correlation between bacterial growth and exopolysaccharide production in culture media where the isolate 9I (55) exhibited lag phase during 16 h of growth (no productivity of EPS). After 18 h of incubation; the log phase of bacterial growth was maximum until 40 h were the productivity of exopolysaccharide start to increase with increasing bacterial growth while the maximum productivity of EPS was during Stationary phase (after 48 h) and still constant until 80 h of incubation (Fig. 2).

To elucidate the optimal growth medium composition for maximal EPS production, various factors were assessed. Different carbon and nitrogen sources were used, the result obtained from this experiment indicated that; the best carbon source 4.2 g/L was molasses at 4% w/v while the lowest concentration was 1.88 g/L with starch (4% w/v) (Fig. 3). The best nitrogen source 4.4 g/L was yeast extract at concentration 3 g/L (Fig. 4).

Screening significant variables using Plackett–Burman design

Eleven factors of media components were examined with fifty different trials, and maximum EPS production was obtained for trial number (5), while the lowest production was obtained for trial number (the regression coefficients (t value) and confidence level are given in Table 3. The media components showed both positive and negative effects on EPS production. Statistical analysis (t values) demonstrated that molasses and yeast extract and incubation temperature had significant positive influences on the EPS production with main effects of 1.57, 1.28 and 1.01 respectively (Fig. 5) whereas the media components namely KH₂PO₄ (X₁), and MgSO₄ (X₃) were found to decrease the EPS production at their higher level. The ANOVA of Plackett–Burman (PB) design for EPS production is shown in Table 3 where the determinant coefficient R² of the first-order model was 0.995 for EPS production. The significant F-values of the models were 0.0095 indicating that the models were significant.

![Fig. 1](image1.png)  
**Fig. 1** – The two selection criteria for the most potent bacterial isolate were: (A) EPS precipitation using ethanol 70% and (B) is the ropy strand formed by 9I strain.

![Fig. 2](image2.png)  
**Fig. 2** – Correlation between bacterial growth curve and exopolysaccharide production of bacterial isolate 9I (55).
Based on above results, three key factors yeast extract, sugarcane molasses and incubation temperature were significantly affect EPS production. These factors were selected for further analysis with Box–Behnken Design (BBD) (Table 2). The following second-order polynomial equation was:

\[ Y = 5.64 + 0.64X_1 + 1.08X_2 - 0.16X_3 + 0.29X_1X_2 - 0.35X_1X_3 + 0.38X_2X_3 - 0.55X_1X_1 + 0.17X_2X_2 + 0.36X_3X_3 \]

where \( Y \) represents EPS production (g/L); 5.64 is the intercept; 0.64, 1.08 and -0.16 are the linear coefficients; 0.29, 0.35 and 0.38 are the interactive coefficients, -0.55, 0.17and 0.36 are the quadratic coefficients; and \( X_1, X_2 \) and \( X_3 \) are the concentrations of sugarcane molasses, yeast extract and incubation temperature, respectively. The statistical significance of Eq. (3) was evaluated by F-test and ANOVA analysis.
(Table 4). The three-dimensional graphs that obtained from Eq. (3) were very reliable, with $R^2$ value of 0.9401, which indicated that 99% of the variability in the response explained by this model (Fig. 6). The Model F-value of 8.72 implies the model is significant. There is only a 1.40% chance that a “Model F-Value” this large could occur due to noise. Values of “Prob > F” less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant. In this case, the mutual interactions between every two of the three variables were significant. By solving the inverse matrix using Expert-Design software, the optimal concentrations of molasses, yeast extract and incubation time were 12% (w/v), 6 g/L and 30 °C, respectively.

**Molecular characterization**

Based on sequence alignment results revealed that; the isolate belongs to bacillus species with maximum relation to Bacillus velezensis with 99% sequence similarities. The sequence was deposited in NCBI and assigned with accession number KY471306. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighbor-joining tree making algorithm of the MEGA 4 package (Fig. 7).

**Purification of bacterial polysaccharide by DEAE**

Ion exchange chromatography was performed for purification of the EPS by the DEAE-Cellulose column afforded 61 fractions, the first recovered polysaccharide in the nine eluting solution (fraction no. 9 to 22) that confirmed through phenol-sulphuric acid assay (Fig. 8).

**Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)**

The purified EPS polymer obtained from DEAE-cellulose column chromatography was prepared for IR analysis. The data chart (Fig. 9) shows the presence of many functional groups which are typical to bacterial EPS.

**Determination of molecular weight by gel permeation chromatography (GPC)**

The weight-average (Mw) and number-average (Mn) molecular weights and polydispersity (Mw/Mn) of the polysaccharide produced by B. velezensis was analyzed by GPC and found to have a weight average molecular weight (Mw) of $1.29 \times 10^5$ Da, number average molecular weight (Mn) of $1.14 \times 10^5$ Da and a size average molecular weight (Mz) of $1.47 \times 10^5$ Da (Fig. 10). The Molecular Weight and Polydispersity Index (PDI) (Mw/Mn) of the exopolysaccharide was obtained as 1.13, which is a measure of the distribution of molecular mass in the sample.

**Table 4 – Identification of significant variables for EPS production of strain (9) using PB design.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANOVA</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Significance F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficients</td>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>t Stat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>18.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-1.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1X2</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1X3</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2X3</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1X2X3</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2X3</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3X4</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiple R = 0.973; $R^2$ (coefficient of determination) = 0.947; Adj. $R^2$ (adjusted coefficient of determination) = 0.853, model are significant.
Fig. 6 – Response surface plots of three variables in medium on EPS production. (A) Interaction of molasses and yeast extract; (B) interaction of temperature and yeast extract; (C) interaction of molasses and temperature.

Gas–mass chromatography (GC–MS)

Monomers from the repeating unit of the EPS produced by B. velezensis, were analyzed by GC–MS giving one major peak at 13.59 min with some minor peaks at 9.73 and at 5.36 min. The MS fragmentation patterns obtained from GC generated by each peak, were subsequently analyzed it shows the characteristics of aldohexoses such as glucose, galactose and mannose in sugar alditol acetate form. To identify each peak, the MS was generated and then compared with the available MS of different monomers. The MS fragmentation obtained for the peak at 13.59 min. gives us fragment ions with m/z ratio of 55, 74, 87, 97, 115, 129, 157, 199, 227 and 241 (Fig. 11).
Fig. 7 – Neighbor-joining tree of 16S rDNA of the local isolate 9I with respect to closely related sequences available in GenBank databases.

Fig. 8 – Estimation of bacterial polysaccharide by phenol sulfuric acid methods.

Fig. 9 – FTIR spectroscopy analysis of Bacillus velezensis polysaccharide extracted showing the transmittance trough at different wave number.
Discussion

Exopolysaccharides (EPS) was extracted from B. velezensis broth media by using ethanol to bacterial culture in ratio 2:1 respectively. The first screening of different bacterial isolates for selection of most potent EPS producing strain, the maximum productivity obtained was 3 g/L from isolate 9I (55). This result is agreed with that obtained by Reshetnikov et al.24 according to different growth conditions used in this study, EPS production by B. velezensis increased during the stationary phase of growth (after 32 h) and still constant until 80 h of incubation. This was probably because of the action of glycolyrdrolases possibly produced in the culture that catalyzed the degradation of polysaccharides, resulting in decreased EPS yields after stationary phase.25 These results indicated that the maximum productivity of EPS reached post-stationary phase of growth. This result is similar to that obtained by Roberson and Firestone.26

The experiment of single factor optimization was designed to identify the key variables which can affect EPS production keeping other factors constant.27 The result obtained from this experiment indicated that; EPS production was significantly affected by the type of carbon source and concentration in the medium. Molasses was most effective and economically for large scale production of EPS among the carbon sources. Carbon and nitrogen sources are well known factors that affect the cellular metabolism and EPS production.28 The present study was carried out to study the influence of various parameters on elevation of EPS yield. One factor at a time, although difficult and labor intensive method, this experiment supported in selecting the center points for the optimization study using RSM. This method of using single variables is disadvantageous as the interactive effects between two factors cannot be studied.

Data obtained from single factor optimization was followed by Plackett–Burman design for screening of significant variables that depend on multi-variables at a time.29 Data analysis obtained from this model indicted the use of yeast extract as nitrogen source and sugarcane molasses during production of polysaccharides by isolate code (9I). Moreover, yeast extract could provide growth factors such as vitamins and amino acids that support much bacterial growth.28

With the same consequence; RSM depend on data obtained from Plackett–Burman and the data analysis indicated that the maximum yield was estimated to be 7.68 g/L, and the actual yield obtained with the optimal medium was 7.88 g/L (the average value of triple experiments), which was in close accordance with the model prediction. In addition, the sole carbon source was used in the fermentation medium for
maximum EPS production, which would be advantageous to reduce production cost and operation process of EPS.\textsuperscript{30} IR analysis for purified EPS showed a characteristic absorption band appeared at 1654.11 cm\textsuperscript{−1} (Fig. 9) and was assigned to the stretching vibration of carbonyl group (C=O) in accordance with Grigori et al.\textsuperscript{31}

Another absorption band at 2941.03 cm\textsuperscript{−1} was intensified and assigned to the stretching vibration of methylene group (−CH\textsubscript{2}−), usually present in hexoses, like glucose or galactose or deoxyhexoses like rhamnose or fucose. Absorption peak at 1063.57 cm\textsuperscript{−1} was assigned to carbohydrate C−O stretching vibrations and dominated by glycosidic linkage (−C(=O)−C)−stretching vibration. This also agree with He et al.\textsuperscript{32}

Absorption peak around 1540 cm\textsuperscript{−1} corresponding to an amino group was also observe in the spectrum of EPS and a weak symmetrical stretching peak near 1447−1380 cm\textsuperscript{−1}, suggests the presence of carboxyl groups. The carbohydrates show high absorbencies in the region 1200−950 cm\textsuperscript{−1}, that is within the so-called fingerprint region, where the position and intensity of the bands are specific for every polysaccharide, allowing it possible identification. These results are harmony with Sun et al.\textsuperscript{33}

Based on the result of GC-mass analysis, it was concluded that the major monosaccharide for purified EPS obtained from Bacillus velezensis KY498625 revealed that glucose, galactose and mannose. Couso et al.\textsuperscript{34} reported that G. hansenii LMG1524 produce EPS that contains glucose, mannose that agreed with our EPS.

### Conclusion

Two statistical experimental design Plackett–Burman and Box–Behnken were used to improve Exopolysaccharide production from Bacillus velezensis KY498625. The production of EPS under optimal conditions obtained from data analysis succeeded to increase productivity from 4.1 to 7.6 g/L compared to the initial EPS production. Industrial production of EPS requires cost effective and optimal culture medium. The purified EPS has molecular weight 1.29 × 10\textsuperscript{5} Da with number average molecular weight (Mn) 1.14 × 10\textsuperscript{4} Da that consists mainly of glucose, mannose and galactose.
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