Lightning strike is one of the most frequent causes of death due to natural phenomena. In such cases, cardiac injury is the main cause of death, with type of lesion varying by type of impact. We report the case of a 29-year-old woman who was struck indirectly by lightning. Upon hospital admission, she showed both the echocardiographic disturbances characteristic of direct impact and electrocardiographic disturbances. Both types of change resolved spontaneously. After describing the case, we briefly review the literature on echocardiographic disturbances after lightning strike.
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and apicolateral hypokinesia. Although the patient did not complain of chest pain at any point during the evaluation, due to the suspicion of myocardial ischemia she was transferred to our hospital. During admission the patient remained asymptomatic, without any other data than that already described being obtained on repeat evaluation. The serial cardiac enzymes were determined; they did not follow the pattern for myocardial ischemia and became normal. On ECG a tendency to positive T-wave on the anterior and lateral surfaces was observed, making the T-wave biphasic. Electrocardiogram at discharge was normal, without contractile changes. The patient lived in another autonomous community, making follow-up impossible after discharge.

DISCUSSION

The case we present causes doubts as to the ischemic myocardial effects of lightening strike. Our patient suffered a typical indirect lightening strike.

Of note, in the study published by Lichtenberg, only those subjects who suffered direct lightening strikes had echocardiography anomalies. These changes took the form of pericardial hemorrhage and severe overall dysfunction of cardiac motility. In some subjects, these lesions were reversible, although this was not always the case. None of the study patients who suffered an indirect lightening strike had detectable echocardiography changes. In the case presented, the motility changes were not as severe as in the direct impact cases, and as occurred in some of them, the changes resolved spontaneously.

The ECG changes following lightening strike may appear in the absence of a significant decrease in motility and may not occur in the case of structural damage. They may translate into a banal occurrence or correspond to a potentially life-and-death situation. The changes most frequently described were sinus tachycardia and nonspecific ST segment and T-wave changes that generally resolved spontaneously in a matter of hours or days. Lengthening of the QT interval was also observed, but was more specifically seen with direct lightening strikes.

The early appearance of inverted T-waves on the anterior and inferior surfaces, together with changes in cardiac motility lead to the suspicion of a non-ischemic myocardial lesion secondary to lightening strike. Said changes appear to be due more to autonomous instability than to a myocardial lesion.

In our patient, there was no CK-MB elevation, but even if this had been the case, its interpretation would be difficult, as there is not always a correlation with clinical signs or ECG changes, and there is not a particular, uniform moment for its appearance. The initial elevation of the CK-MB fraction seems to have a more musculoskeletal origin than a cardiac origin.
In those patients who suffered a lightning strike, the clinical picture may appear later, in the form of recidivate pericarditis, and on ECG findings typical of pericarditis can be seen. In our opinion, the therapeutic management of these patients may be presumed to be in most aspects the same as for closed cardiac trauma.

In the case we present, the differential diagnosis was simple, given the patient’s age and the lack of antecedents. But there are cases in which differentiation of lesions produced by lightning strike from preexisting lesions may complicated.

CONCLUSION

In indirect lightening strikes, echocardiography changes may be observed. These may be transitory and resolve spontaneously. Careful evaluation of the patient’s course is indispensable for a correct diagnosis.
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