Myocardial regeneration is one of the most promising therapeutic strategies for heart failure patients. Many experimental studies have demonstrated that different types of stem cell can differentiate into myocardial cells and tissues necessary for regeneration of the damaged myocardium, while studies in experimental animals suggest that muscle (myoblast), bone marrow (mesenchymal, endothelial or hematopoietic progenitors) and even heart cells can help to improve heart contractility in vivo. These findings have led several groups to undertake studies in patients with myocardial infarction. However, the use of cellular therapy in clinical trials is not without controversy, mainly related with the need for better knowledge before these therapeutic strategies are used in clinical practice. Although significant enhancement of our knowledge of the processes involved is fundamental, we do not consider it unreasonable to initiate clinical trials in which specific questions are posed, whose answers will allow us to make further progress.
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The use of cells for tissue regeneration or repair is one of the most promising areas in biomedical research. The idea of regenerative medicine based on the use of the patient’s own cells is attracting increasing interest. However, only very preliminary trials are in progress and the translation of these strategies into clinical practice is still some way ahead. We present a perspective of the current state of heart cell regenerative therapy based on the recent experience of several groups involved in this field, and identify some of the main issues.

**STEM CELLS AND CARDIOMYOCYTES**

Different types of stem cells, both in embryonic and adult tissues, are able to proliferate and produce mature functional cells. Embryonic stem cells can
differentiate into almost any of the more than 200 tissues existing in an adult organism. Within the different organs are pluripotent stem cells able to differentiate into functional tissues, such as liver stem cells (oval cells), nerve stem cells, muscle stem cells, and gastrointestinal or bone marrow stem cells. Although still controversial, evidence suggests that certain adult stem cells are much more pluripotent than originally thought, and may also give rise to most adult tissues.1-6

The possibility of obtaining muscle and endothelial tissue from stem cells, together with the high incidence of patients with heart failure, the limited efficacy of medical treatment and the lack of available organs for heart transplants have led to the application of stem cell therapy for the treatment of patients with heart failure, mainly of ischemic origin.7-11

Embryonic stem cells

Despite the fact that embryonic stem cells can differentiate into cardiomyocytes and regenerate myocardium,12 their application has been restricted to experimental animal studies because of their immunogenic potential,13 the possibility of generating tumors in vivo, their potential to cause arrhythmia,14 and certain ethical issues.

Cardiac stem cells

As has happened with nerve cells, the traditional view that cardiomyocytes are unable to proliferate has had to be revised as a result of several recent studies, which demonstrate the existence in the human heart of cells with proliferative capacity.15,16 It is not known whether these cells are indeed present in the heart (cardiac stem cells in the atrium), or whether they derive from other adult tissues such as bone marrow.17 Although these studies are of great biological interest, they seem unlikely to have important clinical repercussions for patients with myocardial infarction.18 Nevertheless, the possibility of stimulating the migration and proliferation of stem cells in vivo is of great therapeutic interest. Recent studies in animal models given growth factors suggest that it may be possible to stimulate heart regeneration by «mobilizing» these stem cells.19

Bone marrow stem cells

Stem cells derived from bone marrow have shown the greatest ability to differentiate into heart muscle fibers or endothelial cells, thereby contributing to angiogenesis or vasculogenesis.7,20-24 Unlike those studies in which mononuclear bone marrow cells were used,20 work by Anversa and Orlic’s group used selected enriched populations of hematopoietic bone marrow stem cells. They demonstrated that intracardiac injection of Lin–Kit+ cells into the infarction scar in a rat model of infarction induces colonization of the scar by cardiomyocytes and vascular structures derived from the implanted cells.23 This regeneration was accompanied by improved cardiac function and increased survival of the animals. In addition to hematopoietic stem cells, bone marrow contains endothelial stem cells able to contribute to neo-angiogenesis, favoring myocardial regeneration.24-26 The systemic administration of these cells in infarction models helped to improve cardiac function as a result of increased vasculogenesis in the infarcted area, reduced cardiomyocyte apoptosis and improved contractility. Endothelial stem cells, however, do not acquire the characteristics of heart muscle. Mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow are able to differentiate into mesodermal tissues such as osteoblasts, chondrocytes, adipocytes or skeletal muscle.27 Recent studies even indicate that mesenchymal stem cells are able to differentiate into cardiomyocytes both in vitro and in vivo.20,28 Using animal models of infarction, several groups have shown that mesenchymal stem cells injected into the myocardial scar are not only able to engraft but also acquire the characteristics of cardiomyocytes, and more importantly, contribute to improved cardiac function.20,29 A subpopulation of pluripotent mesenchymal stem cells called MAPC was recently described as able to differentiate into tissues derived from any of the three embryonic layers.5,30

Muscle stem cells

In addition to bone marrow or cardiac stem cells, muscle stem cells (satellite cells or skeletal myoblasts), which are precursors of muscle fibers, may also have practical applications as they possess undoubted muscular potential.31 The possibility of in vitro expansion of the number of muscle progenitor cells has made studies in animal models of infarction possible. Implanted myoblasts were able to engraft and terminate the differentiation process into muscle fibers, thereby contributing to improved cardiac function and survival of the animals.32,33 Myoblasts can be administered not only by intracardiac injection but also percutaneously,34,35 since they are able to migrate via the microcirculation and integrate into the interstitium. One of the main disadvantages of muscle stem cells is their inability to acquire the necessary characteristics of heart muscle to enable transmission of the electromechanical stimulus, and thereby improve myocardial function.33,36,37

Experimental in vitro and in vivo studies with animals undoubtedly support the existence of stem cells able to contribute to improved cardiac function. However, numerous questions still remain and efforts
must be made to provide answers which justify therapeutic studies of these treatments. Stem cells clearly exist with endothelial, cardiac and muscle potential, but it is necessary to identify these cells and determine which signals are required to develop this potential, as well as the signals required to ensure that their potential is developed in harmony with their surrounding tissues, avoiding loss of functionality.

CLINICAL EXPERIENCE IN REGENERATIVE CARDIAC THERAPY

Despite the many unknowns, results accumulated over recent years from preclinical trials have led to the first clinical trials of the feasibility and safety of cardiac regeneration with stem cells. These trials have often been accompanied by a certain degree of controversy, owing to the opinion of researchers who argue that evidence is insufficient to justify clinical research. Seven clinical trials have so far been published on the use of percutaneous, intracavitary or intramyocardial infusion of bone marrow–derived mononuclear cells and cells enriched in hematopoietic, endothelial or myoblast progenitor cells. The results were monitored by function and imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging, echocardiography or positron emission tomography. Control groups have occasionally been used to compare results between patients either receiving or not receiving cells, but in all cases the patients also received additional therapy.

Menasché et al pioneered the use of skeletal myoblasts and carried out the first implant of autologous myoblasts in a patient with an infarction in June 2000. Their strategy consisted of obtaining a muscle biopsy 2–3 weeks before revascularization surgery in patients with an old infarction and non-viable myocardial tissue in the area. After in vitro culture the cells are then implanted by intra-myocardial injection into the peri-infarction area during surgery. Results from the first ten patients who underwent this treatment were recently published. They suggest improved cardiac function with a significant increase in ejection fraction. However, despite the fact that the cells were injected into non-revascularized areas, it is impossible to determine with certainty whether the effect was due to the bypass surgery or the implantation of myoblasts. Notably, four of the ten patients included in the study had ventricular arrhythmia (ventricular tachycardia) which required implantation of a defibrillator. This suggests that treatment with myoblasts may induce arrhythmias.

Other groups have used mononuclear bone marrow cells instead of myoblasts. Strauer et al used coronary angiography to inject mononuclear bone marrow cells into patients with acute myocardial infarction at the same time as they inserted a coronary stent, during the immediate post-infarction period. Their control group was composed of 10 patients who underwent the same therapeutic procedure except for cell injection. Ten weeks after treatment the size of the infarction was reduced, and there were increases in ejection fraction, cardiac index and systolic volume in the treatment group compared to the control group. This improvement in ventricular function was attributed to increased myocardial perfusion as measured by radioisotope studies. In the study by Tse et al, 8 patients with severe ischemic heart disease received mononuclear bone marrow cells via intracardiac catheter guided by electromechanical mapping. The improvements in cardiac perfusion and function were determined by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging.

Injections of bone marrow progenitor cells enriched with endothelial progenitor cells have also been given, with the aim of inducing increased angiogenesis and vasculogenesis in the infarcted tissue, to improve cardiac function and reduce apoptosis and ventricular remodeling. These 2 studies, however, differed in treatment indication and in type of implanted cells. In the German study, 20 patients with infarction in the acute phase undergoing reperfusion received intracoronary infusion of a heterogeneous population of cells, including a high percentage of peripheral blood endothelial or bone marrow progenitor cells cultured for four days in vitro. Patients who received cell therapy showed improved regional contractility as measured by echocardiography. Increased viability of the infarcted region was also demonstrated by positron emission tomography. Stamm et al have recently reported the use of AC133 cells purified from the bone marrow of patients with an old infarction. A group of six patients underwent aortocoronary bypass surgery during which they received 1.5 × 106 AC133 cells directly into the peri-infarcted myocardium. As with the other studies, there was an increase in cardiac contractility and perfusion of the infarcted area, as measured by radioisotope studies.

CONCLUSIONS

Despite the numerous experimental and clinical studies published to date, it still remains difficult to draw definitive conclusions. The interest in the use of cell therapy for heart failure is a result of expectations generated by this type of treatment. Nevertheless, the complexities of cell biology make great caution necessary when interpreting the results of this type of therapy, prior to clinical application. An additional aspect, which for reasons of space we are unable to discuss further, is the role of imaging techniques in determining the therapeutic benefit of this type of treatment. Technical advances play an important role in designing clinical and experimental studies.
 Perhaps the most important message here is the need for continued research in this field, and the need to facilitate multidisciplinary collaboration to enable some of the remaining questions to be answered. For example, what is the ideal source of stem cells? Do the different types of cell produce benefits in different situations? How many cells is it necessary to implant? What is the best route for stem cell administration—percutaneous, direct intracardiac injection, or intravenous? What are the indications for cell therapy in heart disease, i.e., is it better to administer the cells during the acute phase or in old infarctions? Can this therapy be used in the treatment of dilated cardiomyopathy? As we can see, there are far more questions than answers. We are facing a stimulating panorama in a completely new field which will doubtless lead to solutions and contribute to decisive improvements in therapy.
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