We describe 3 patients with ventricular tachycardia in whom epicardial ablation was done after prior attempts of endocardial ablation had failed. Clinical ventricular tachycardia originated at the right ventricular outflow tract in 1 patient and near the mitral annulus in another patient. In these 2 cases ventricular tachycardia was mapped and successfully ablated with a percutaneous subxiphoid approach to the pericardial space. In the remaining patient, ventricular tachycardia originated near the mitral annulus and was ablated via a coronary vein. The 2 patients who presented tachycardiomyopathy recovered normal left ventricular function after successful ablation. Failure of endocardial ablation may reflect a substrate of epicardial arrhythmia. Epicardial ablation is effective and provides an alternative therapy for patients with ventricular tachycardia, including those with no structural heart disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Percutaneous, epicardial, radiofrequency ablation is a non-surgical technique for treating ventricular tachycardia (VT). Its use has been described in patients with VT caused by Chagas disease and prior myocardial infarction, although rarely in patients with VT but with no structural heart disease.1-11

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Over a period of 2 years, 34 patients with clinical VT (of which 14 showed no post-infarction scarring) were treated with radiofrequency ablation. In 3 patients a percutaneous epicardial approach was indicated since prior attempts at conventional endocardial ablation had failed. Table 1 shows the characteristics of these 3 patients. Patients 1 and 3 showed frequent, non-sustained ventricular tachycardia and monomorphic ventricular extrasystoles (practically incessant at rest and sustained during exertion; Figure 1). Patient 2 showed sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia (SMVT) during exercise (Figure 1). Prior to the epicardial procedure, the 3 patients were examined in the electrophysiology laboratory (after giving their signed, informed consent). Their anti-arrhythmia me-
dication was suspended 5 half-lives before testing. Attempts were made to induce VT by programmed, basal, ventricular stimulation, and after the administration of isoproterenol.

Transient success was obtained in all 3 patients during endocardial radiofrequency ablation using irrigated-tip catheters. However, after a period ranging from a few minutes to a few days, arrhythmia reappeared in all cases. Pericardial puncture was necessary in patients 1 and 2. This was performed using a needle 69.85 mm (2.75”) in length, via which a guidewire (diameter 0.97 mm; 0.038”) was introduced. The latter allowed an 8F introducer to be positioned directly—a change of guidewire is necessary when epidural needles are used. The remainder of the procedure has been previously described. In patient 3, the epicardial procedure was performed via a left posterior coronary vein belonging to the coronary sinus branch. Endocardial and epicardial mapping was performed using the CARTO system (Biosense, Webster). In patients 1 and 2, 7F catheters with irrigated tips were used. Radiofrequency ablation lesions were induced at a power of 30-35 Watts, maintaining a temperature of 50°C. In patient 3, a 5F ablation catheter (Medtronic) was used since the small caliber of the vein precluded access with an irrigated-tip catheter. In this case, the temperature was maintained at 60°C. Simultaneous coronary angiography was performed in all patients to avoid inducing ablation lesions in the coronary arteries. Following the procedure, the patients were treated with acetylsalicylic acid for 2 months. All patients underwent an echocardiogram, Holter monitoring and a stress test at 1, 3, and 6 months after the procedure.

RESULTS

The VT mechanisms of all the patients were compatible with trigger activity: they were induced (although with poor reproducibility) after the infusion of isoprenaline, and with bursts of extrastimuli rather than single extrastimuli. The stimulation maneuvers showed re-entry not to be the mechanism of tachycardia. Epicardial pace-mapping was performed in all 3
patients during sinus rhythm, which reproduced the 12-lead electrocardiograms of clinical VT, although high stimulus output energies were required (10-15 V×2 ms). In all 3 patients, earlier local electrograms (with respect to the QRS complex in VT) were achieved than with the prior endocardial procedure (Table 1). Before radiofrequency ablation, none of the patients showed abnormal endocardial potentials in terms of duration or voltage. Coronary angiography showed patients 2 and 3 to have normal coronary arteries. Patient 1 had a 70% lesion in a poorly developed circumflex artery. This was not treated since it was believed to play no role in the etiopathology of the VT. In no case was it necessary to undertake ablation at less than 5 mm from an important coronary artery. Patient 2 underwent right ventriculography, magnetic resonance and right ventricular biopsy in order to exclude arrhythmogenic dysplasia. The endocardium and epicardium of the right ventricular outflow tract (Figures 1 and 2), the left ventricular outflow tract and the aortic root were unsuccessfully mapped in this patient. In patient 3, venography of the coronary sinus was performed. In all patients, VT similar to the clinical form was induced during the epicardial ablation procedure. No complications arose in any patient, although all referred to non-specific precordial discomfort after the procedure. At 24 h the patients’ echocardiograms were normal and no pericardial rubbing was heard. No patient showed any sign of recurrence at one year of follow-up, and in patients 1 and 3 the left ventricular ejection fraction had normalized.

DISCUSSION

Conventional endocardial ablation failed to resolve the VT of these three patients, but the described epicardial approach was successful. The recent literature reports a number of small groups of patients to have been successfully treated in this manner.6-11 The novelty of the present report lies in the fact that, in patient 2, the tachycardia originated in the right ventricular outflow tract. The shape of the QRS complex of this patient during VT coincided with some features recently

**TABLE. Patient Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>LVEF</th>
<th>Clinical VT</th>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>VT origin</th>
<th>Prior RFA approach</th>
<th>Final RFA Approach</th>
<th>Local Early Electrogram</th>
<th>No. of Epicardial Pulses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>30%-68%</td>
<td>CRBBB axis UL</td>
<td>Beta-blockers, amiodarone</td>
<td>Postero-inferior mitral annulus</td>
<td>Left endocardial mitral annulus</td>
<td>Pericardial and CS</td>
<td>−35 ms</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>CLBBB axis LL</td>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
<td>Left ventricular outflow tract</td>
<td>Endocardial left/right/aortic outflow tract</td>
<td>Pericardial</td>
<td>−25 ms</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>30%-45%</td>
<td>CRBBB axis UL</td>
<td>Beta-blockers, amiodarone</td>
<td>Postero-inferior Left endocardial mitral annulus</td>
<td>CS branch</td>
<td>−30 ms</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CRBBB indicates image of complete right bundle branch block; CLBBB, image of complete left bundle branch block; LL axis, lower left axis; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; CS, coronary sinus; UL axis, upper left axis; VT, ventricular tachycardia; RFA, radiofrequency ablation; LVOT, left ventricular outflow tract.

Patient 1 underwent right ventriculography, magnetic resonance and right ventricular biopsy in order to exclude arrhythmogenic dysplasia. The endocardium and epicardium of the right ventricular outflow tract (Figures 1 and 2), the left ventricular outflow tract and the aortic root were unsuccessfully mapped in this patient. In patient 3, venography of the coronary sinus was performed. In all patients, VT similar to the clinical form was induced during the epicardial ablation procedure. No complications arose in any patient, although all referred to non-specific precordial discomfort after the procedure. At 24 h the patients’ echocardiograms were normal and no pericardial rubbing was heard. No patient showed any sign of recurrence at one year of follow-up, and in patients 1 and 3 the left ventricular ejection fraction had normalized.
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tachycardia. Both showed left ventricular dysfunction of no other possible cause than VT, and improved spectacularly after the ablation procedure. In our opinion, epicardial radiofrequency ablation should be considered in patients with ventricular dysfunction and very frequent monomorphic arrhythmias (especially if there is no other cause to justify such dysfunction), since the risks associated with the procedure are relatively small and the benefit that might be gained is great.

In the epicardial approach, ablation occurs close to the coronary arteries. Recent studies suggest this presents no complications. However, none of the present patients required ablation be performed within 1 cm of these arteries. The use of an irrigated-tip might increase the risk of damaging these vessels.

In conclusion, epicardial radiofrequency ablation can be curative and should therefore be attempted in patients with VT (but without heart disease) when the endocardial approach fails. It should even be attempted if the point of origin of the tachycardia lies within the right ventricular outflow tract. A diagnosis of tachycardiomyopathy should be considered for patients who present with dilated cardiomyopathy or very frequent, non-sustained, monomorphic VT, since ablation treatment can lead to the normalization of ventricular function.
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