Early Heart Rate Increase Does Not Predict the Result of the Head-Up Tilt Test Potentiated With Nitroglycerin
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Introduction and objectives. The magnitude of the change in heart rate during the first few minutes of the head-up tilt test has been used to predict the test’s result. The aim of this study was to investigate whether the heart rate increase during the head-up tilt test potentiated with nitroglycerin is related to the development of syncope.

Patients and method. The study included 158 consecutive patients with syncope, with stable sinus rhythm, and without structural cardiac disease who were undergoing a head-up tilt test with nitroglycerin. The heart rate increment induced by the tilt maneuver and by nitroglycerin administration was calculated, and its relationship to clinical variables and to the test’s results was analyzed.

Results. The head-up tilt test gave positive results in 117 patients (74%). The heart rate was 68.7 (11.3) bpm in the decubitus position and 85.1 (15.4) bpm during the first 6 min of tilting. There was strong inverse correlation between the heart rate increase induced by tilting and age (r = –0.63; P < .001), but the increase (16.8 [9.3] bpm in patients with syncope vs 14.9 [11.3] bpm in those without; P = .3) did not predict the result of the test. The heart rate increase induced by nitroglycerin was also similar for patients with and without syncope during the pharmacologic phase of the test (27.3 [12.6] bpm and 26.7 [13.4] bpm, respectively; P = .8).

Conclusions. The magnitude of the heart rate increase during the first few minutes of tilt-testing and after nitroglycerin administration is inversely related to age but does not predict the result of the head-up tilt test with nitroglycerin.
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Introduction

The head-up tilt test (HUTT) is widely used for the diagnosis of vasovagal syncope. This test is simple and non-invasive, but normal protocols take a long time to complete (35-60 min).1 With the aim of reduc-
Head-up Tilt Test

The protocol followed has been previously described.\(^6\)\(^7\) Briefly, following venous cannulation the patient was allowed to rest in the decubitus position for at least 10 min. The HUTT test was then begun with an initial tilt of 60º for 20 min. If syncope did not occur, the patient was administered 0.4 µg of sublingual NTG in the form of an aerosol, and the tilt position maintained for another 15 min. The test was considered positive when either syncope or presyncope occurred with asystole, extreme bradycardia, and/or hypotension with a systolic blood pressure (SBP) of <70 mm Hg. Patients showing a non-specific response to NTG (progressive reduction in blood pressure over several minutes with non-specific symptoms) were excluded from the analysis. Patients were monitored using a Task-Force Monitor 3040 (CNSystems, Graz, Austria). This records the ECG, the HR, and the SBP and the diastolic blood pressure (DBP) beat by beat. Data were stored as ASCII files after manual validation.

Data Analysis

The data were analyzed using Matlab v. 6.5 (The MathWorks Inc, Natick, Estados Unidos) software. The program detected the moment when tilting began and calculated the mean of the RR intervals in the 5 preceding minutes; from these data the mean baseline HR (HRbaseline) was estimated. The first 6 minutes following tilting were then analyzed, the program calculating the mean RR interval in the 30 s following each beat during this period. The highest mean heart rate calculated was taken to be the post-tilting maximum (HRmax). The difference between the HRmax and the HRbaseline was therefore the increase in HR caused by tilting (inct-Tilt). The increase in HR following the administration of NTG was similarly calculated, comparing the mean HR in the 3 minutes prior to administration of the drug (HR pre-NTG) to the maximum recorded in the 3 minutes following administration (HR post-NTG). The difference between these was defined as the increase in HR caused by the NTG (inct-NTG).

The relationship between the clinical variables and changes in HR was analyzed using the Student t and Pearson correlation coefficient tests. Both groups of variables were then related to the results of the HUTT via the \(\chi^2\) test (for qualitative variables) and the Student t test (quantitative variables). All calculations were made using SPSS v. 9.0 software.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients and the results of the HUTT-
NTG. The mean age of the patients was 45.9 years; 72 patients (45.6%) were older than 50 years. The majority (74.1%) had presented more than once with syncope (median 3 times). Ninety one patients (57.6%) showed characteristics suggestive of a vasovagal syncope mechanism (which led to HUTT being performed). Positive tests were recorded for 117 patients, the great majority (82.7%) following the administration of sublingual NTG. The proportion of positive tests was higher among women (83.1%) than men (64%; \( P < .01 \)). The patients with positive HUTT-NTG tests were younger than those with negative tests (43.9±21.0 years compared to 51.6±20.8 years; \( P < .05 \)). The remaining clinical variables showed no significant relationship with the HUTT-NTG results. The mean initial HR was 68.7±11.3 beats/min; this value increased after the first few minutes of tilting to 85.1±15.4 beats/min. A strong negative correlation was seen between the increase in HR induced by tilting and age (\( r = -.63; P < .001 \)). No significant differences were seen in baseline and post-tilting HR between patients with positive and negative HUTT results, nor did the inc-Tilt vary significantly between them (Table 2). A significant inverse correlation was seen between the increase in HR induced by tilting and age (\( r = -.63; P < .001 \)).

### DISCUSSION

The results suggest that the increase in HR in the first few minutes following tilting is primarily related to age, and that it is of no use for predicting the result of HUTT-NTG in patients with syncope but with normal hearts. The increase in HR following the administration of NTG also appears to be related to age; the results obtained do not suggest it can predict the result of the test during the pharmacological stage.

It is thought that the activity of the autonomic nervous system is a determining factor in the genesis of vasovagal syncope.\(^6,9\) Although the precise mechanisms leading to the vasodilatory and/or cardioinhibitory response typical of this syndrome are not completely understood, several anomalies in the behavior of the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems have been described in patients with positive HUTT results.\(^10,15\) The observation made in some initial studies\(^10,11\) that patients with a positive

---

**TABLE 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Patient Population (n=158) and Results of the Head-up Tilt Test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, mean ±SD (years)</th>
<th>45.9±21.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male/female</td>
<td>75/83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure, n (%)</td>
<td>42 (26.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of syncopes</td>
<td>3 (range, 1-60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent syncpe, n (%)</td>
<td>117 (74.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vagal signs, n (%)</td>
<td>91 (57.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive head-up tilt test, n (%)</td>
<td>117 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline phase, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacological phase, n (%)</td>
<td>102 (67.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SD indicates standard deviation

**TABLE 2. Heart Rate Before and After Tilting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Positive HUTT (n=117)</th>
<th>Negative HUTT (n=41)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HRbaseline, beats/min</td>
<td>68.5±11.7</td>
<td>69.4±10.3</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hrmax after tilting, beats/min</td>
<td>85.3±14.4</td>
<td>84.3±17.9</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inc-Tilt, beats/min</td>
<td>16.8±9.3</td>
<td>14.9±11.3</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HUTT indicates head-up tilt test; HRbaseline, heart rate before tilting; Hrmax, maximum heart rate after tilting; inc-Tilt, increase in HR caused by tilting

**TABLE 3. Heart Rate Before and After the Administration of Nitroglycerin**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Positive HUTT (n=102)</th>
<th>Negative HUTT (n=41)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR pre-NTG, beats/min</td>
<td>80.6±12.8</td>
<td>80.9±16.6</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR post-NTG, beats/min</td>
<td>107.8±20.0</td>
<td>107.6±23.2</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inc-NTG, beats/min</td>
<td>27.3±12.6</td>
<td>26.7±13.4</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HUTT indicates head-up tilt test; HR pre-NTG, heart rate before administration of nitroglycerin; HR post-NTG, heart rate after administration of nitroglycerin; inc-NTG, increase in heart rate caused by nitroglycerin administration
HUTT result show a greater increase in the HR during the first few minutes following tilting led Mallat et al2 to analyze this possibility in more detail. These authors studied a series of carefully selected patients and found an increment in HR of >18 beats/min during the first 6 minutes after tilting always preceded a negative HUTT result (according to the Westminster protocol; no pharmacological provocation). They obtained similar results in a second series of patients who underwent HUTT potentiated with isoproterenol. In conclusion they suggested that, in patients with an increase in HR of <18 beats/min, the HUTT could be interrupted since it would be negative. This would mean a considerable saving in time. In later studies with less selected patients, poorer predictive results were obtained, but which in general confirmed the idea that patients who experience syncope during HUTT show an early increase in HR greater than that seen in patients with negative results.3-5 In addition, some authors report an inverse relationship between the increase in HR with tilting and age,6 a relationship noticed in the present work. This should be taken into account since adjusting for age could nullify any significance in the increase in HR with respect to the final test result.6,17 In all these studies, HUTT was either performed without pharmacological potentiation or with isoproterenol and according to different protocols. In our hospitals, the “Italian protocol” is often used. This is based on the administration of sublingual NTG after a short period of tilting without drugs.18,19 Potentiation with NTG seems to increase the sensitivity of HUTT in a manner similar to the administration of isoproterenol, but the patient populations with positive results under one or another methodology do not appear to coincide.20 It has been observed that HUTT potentiated with NTG provides a greater number of positive results than potentiation with isoproterenol, but only in patients who are >60 years of age.21 This suggests a different mechanism of action for the 2 drugs, and might explain the recording of different results depending on the technique used. The literature contains very few data on the relationship between the increase in HR following tilting and the result of HUTT-NTG. In a recent study it was reported that notable increases in HR at the beginning of tilting were more common in younger people, and that these subjects had a high percentage of positive results in HUTT-NTG. Chronotropic incompetence and negative results were more common among patients of advanced age.22 In this study, however, the possible predictive value of changes in initial HR with respect to the test result was not analyzed. In the present work, a strong, inverse relationship was also seen between age and the increase in initial HR, as well as a higher percentage of positive test results among younger patients. However, the inc-Tilt was not a significant predictor of the test result. This suggests that age, and not the increase in HR, is the variable most strongly related to the onset of syncope during HUTT-NTG. The fact that the majority of patients with a positive result for this test experienced syncope during the first few minutes after the administration of NTG6 led us to examine whether the increase in HR caused by the drug might be related to the test result. In a healthy population, Gisolf et al.23 recently showed that the behavior of the HR and the blood pressure during the first 5 minutes after NTG administration did not differ significantly between those who experienced syncope during tilting and those who remained asymptomatic. In the present work, the inc-NTG showed a marked, negative correlation with age, but was not significantly related to the appearance of syncope during the pharmacological phase of the test.

CONCLUSIONS

Unlike that described by other authors with respect to HUTT without pharmacological or isoproterenol potentiation, the magnitude of the increase in HR in the first few minutes of HUTT-NTG does not appear to predict the result of the test. A small increase in
HR at the beginning of HUTT-NTG does not guarantee that the test will be negative, and should not be used as an indication for interrupting the procedure. Further studies are required to independently establish the effect of age and the provocation technique on the predictive value of the early increase in HR during tilting.
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