INTRODUCTION

Whenever technically possible, valve repair (VR) is the surgical treatment of choice for mitral regurgitation (MR). Among the advantages of this technique over valve replacement is the reduced use of prosthetic material, which lowers the incidence of endocarditis and thromboembolic complications, as well as the need for chronic anticoagulation. In addition, the subvalvular apparatus is spared, hence postoperative left ventricular function is more highly preserved.

This has resulted in benefits in long-term survival among patients with mitral valve prolapse who undergo VR versus artificial valve placement, without leading to a higher incidence of reoperation. Valve repair is also superior to valve replacement in nondegenerative valve disease involving greater surgical risk, such as ischemic MR and MR secondary to terminal dilated cardiomyopathy. In 1957, Lillehei et al performed the first open mitral annuloplasty under extracorporeal circulation. Since then, a number of surgical techniques to repair the mitral valve have been described and most nonrheumatic cases of MR are now amenable to repair. The purpose of this study was to analyze the mid-term results among patients with chronic MR who have undergone VR at our center over the last 8 years.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Between January 1997 and July 2004 we performed 74 mitral plasties as elective surgery in patients with chronic MR. Mean patient age was 60±14 years (range, 16-83 years); 59% (n=44) were men. Before surgery, 68% of the patients were New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class III-IV and 27% were in atrial fibrillation. The mean preoperative left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was 56%, although 16% of the patients (n=12) had severe ventricular dysfunction (LVEF=39%). The etiology of MR was degenerative in 37 (50%) cases, ischemic in 12 (16%), hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy in 11 (15%), dilated cardiomyopathy in 6 (8%), endocarditis sequelae in 4 (5%), and rheumatic in 4 (5%). The pathophysiological mechanism causing MR was most
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Reparación valvular en la insuficiencia mitral crónica

La reparación valvular es el tratamiento quirúrgico ideal de la insuficiencia mitral. En este trabajo presentamos los resultados de la reparación valvular en pacientes con insuficiencia mitral crónica operados en nuestro centro durante los últimos 8 años. Analizamos el grado de corrección de la insuficiencia, el beneficio funcional, la morbilidad hospitalaria, la evolución posquirúrgica de la función ventricular y la supervivencia global y libre de reoperación en medio plazo.

frequently posterior leaflet prolapse (n=29, 39%), followed by central MR due to ring dilation or restrictive motion of the valve leaflets (n=22, 30%), systolic anterior motion (n=11, 15%), mixed prolapse of both leaflets (n=6, 8%), and exclusively anterior leaflet prolapse (n=6, 8%). The type of mitral VR most frequently performed was quadrangular resection of the posterior leaflet in association with ring annuloplasty (n=26, 35%). In 18 (24%) patients, the double-orifice (Alfieri) technique was used; in 10 (56%) of these cases, together with ring annuloplasty. In 18 (24%) patients, anterior leaflet plasty was performed: longitudinal folding plasty (in 11 patients with refractory hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy, MR and systolic anterior motion), implantation of artificial expanded polytetrafluoroethylene chordae (n=3), triangular resection (n=2), excess tissue resection and repair with pericardium patch (n=1), and chordal shortening (n=1). In 50 (68%) patients, a partially flexible ring was implanted (Carpentier-Edwards Physio®, Edwards Lifesciences LLC, Irvine, CA, United States), as a single technique in 15 (30%) of them associated with ring annuloplasty required reentry into extracorporeal circulation due to residual Grade 3 MR in the intraoperative echocardiogram, which was resolved with the double-orifice technique. There was no operative mortality. One patient (1.3%) was reoperated for hemorrhaging and one required intraaortic balloon counterpulsation during the postoperative period. Two patients (2.7%) died while hospitalized (Table 2). Three patients (4%) required reoperation for recurrence of significant MR (Table 3); one of these patients died after valve replacement, the only case of mortality in our series during follow-up (1.3%). Overall survival and reoperation-free survival were 95.9±2.3% and 94.7±3.1% at 3 years, respectively (Figure). At the time of the final clinical follow-up visit, 75% of the patients were not receiving anticoagulants. No hemorrhaging, thromboembolic, or infectious complications were reported during follow-up, although there were 2 cases of ventricular tachycardia that required defibrillator implantation: one patient with MR secondary to dilated cardiomyopathy

### TABLE 1. Comparison of Clinical and Echocardiographic Data Before and After Repair

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree of MR, n (%)</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13 (18)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46 (62)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12 (16)</td>
<td>5 (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
<td>69 (88)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>1.17±0.9</td>
<td>3.63±0.59</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA Class, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-I-</td>
<td>71 (96)</td>
<td>24 (32)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-IV</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>50 (68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>1.15±0.36</td>
<td>2.64±0.82</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (20)</td>
<td>20 (27)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrium, mm</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDD, mm</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD, mm</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*EDD indicates left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; ESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MR, mitral regurgitation; SD, standard deviation.

### RESULTS

Mean patient follow-up was 38±22 months. A comparison of the preoperative and postoperative clinical and echocardiographic data is shown in Table 1. Both the MR grade and degree of dyspnea decreased significantly after VR, with LVEF with postoperative preservation of LVEF and a decrease in the left ventricular end-diastolic diameter. One (1.3%) patient who had undergone quadrangular resection of the posterior leaflet associated with ring annuloplasty required reentry into extracorporeal circulation due to residual Grade 3 MR in the intraoperative echocardiogram, which was resolved with the double-orifice technique. There was no operative mortality. One patient (1.3%) was reoperated for hemorrhaging and one required intraaortic balloon counterpulsation during the postoperative period. Two patients (2.7%) died while hospitalized (Table 2). Three patients (4%) required reoperation for recurrence of significant MR (Table 3); one of these patients died after valve replacement, the only case of mortality in our series during follow-up (1.3%). Overall survival and reoperation-free survival were 95.9±2.3% and 94.7±3.1% at 3 years, respectively (Figure). At the time of the final clinical follow-up visit, 75% of the patients were not receiving anticoagulants. No hemorrhaging, thromboembolic, or infectious complications were reported during follow-up, although there were 2 cases of ventricular tachycardia that required defibrillator implantation: one patient with MR secondary to dilated cardiomyopathy

### TABLE 2. Deaths Following Mitral Valve Repair (n=3, 4%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>MR Cause</th>
<th>MR Mechanism</th>
<th>Plasty</th>
<th>Post-VR MR (IOTEE)</th>
<th>Phase at Death</th>
<th>Cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male, 71 years</td>
<td>F (DM, LVEF=28%)</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Wooler+AVR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>Cardiogenic shock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, 41 years</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Prolapse of both leaflets</td>
<td>Ring+QR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td>MR, MVR, HF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, 59 years</td>
<td>HOCM</td>
<td>SAM</td>
<td>AMLP+myectomy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>Arrhythmia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AMLP indicates anterior mitral leaflet plication; AVR, aortic valve replacement; D, degenerative; DM, dilated cardiomyopathy; F, functional; IOTEE, intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography; HF, heart failure; HOCM: hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MR, mitral regurgitation; MVR, mitral valve replacement; QR, quadrangular resection; SAM, systolic anterior motion; VR, valve repair.
and 40% LVEF and another with posterior leaflet prolapse and a history of syncope.

**DISCUSSION**

The series we present is not homogeneous and is small in terms of number of patients and follow-up, but it reflects the actual situation of MR and VR in our setting. In Spain, rheumatic disease continues to be the most frequent cause of MR, and therefore the percentage of mitral valves repaired is low as compared to Anglo-Saxon countries, where degenerative valve disease predominates. Nevertheless, MR is a tremendously heterogeneous disease from the etiological, anatomic, and functional standpoint, making it necessary for the surgeon to be familiar with a wide range of techniques so that each patient can be treated individually, while also making it difficult to enroll patients with similar characteristics.

In our experience, VR has been shown to be an effective surgical option for correcting MR and allowing significant functional recovery for patients. The in-hospital mortality of the series was low (2.7%), particularly if we consider that VR was associated with another type of surgery in almost 50% of the cases and that 16% of the patients had severely depressed LVEF, which has been related to increased operative risk. The fact that there were no thromboembolic, hemorrhagic, or infectious complications can be attributed to a reduced use of prosthetic material and low percentage of anticoagulated patients after 3 months post-VR (25%). These results are consistent with large VR series, which show a lower incidence of complications and reduced in-hospital mortality when compared to valve replacement.

The mitral subvalvular apparatus contributes to optimizing the inotropic state of the left ventricle, decreasing wall stress and ensuring adequate ventricular geometry. Its preservation is essential to maintain postoperative LVEF and the key to obtaining better results with VR, replacement, and chordal preservation than with conventional replacement. In our series, LVEF was preserved after surgery and, the significant

---

**TABLE 3. Reoperated Patients Following Valve Repair Due to Recurrent Mitral Regurgitation (n=3, 4%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Type of MR</th>
<th>MR Mechanism</th>
<th>Plasty/Replacement</th>
<th>Post-VR MR (IOTEE)</th>
<th>VR-Valve Replacement Time</th>
<th>MR Recurrence Mechanism</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male, 41 years</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>ALP+PLP</td>
<td>Ring+QR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33 days</td>
<td>Ring dehiscence</td>
<td>Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, 64 years</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>PLP, chordal rupture</td>
<td>Ring+QR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27 months</td>
<td>Progression degenerative disease</td>
<td>Alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, 75 years</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>ALP+PLP, chordal rupture, segment A3</td>
<td>Ring+Alfieri</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>Ring dehiscence</td>
<td>Alive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ALP indicates anterior leaflet prolapse; D, degenerative; IOTEE, intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography; MR, mitral regurgitation; PLP, posterior leaflet prolapse; QR, quadrangular resection; VR, valve repair.*

---

**Figure.** Kaplan-Meier survival curves. The values on the x-axis below the time points indicate the number of patients at risk for each follow-up interval. A: overall survival. B: reoperation-free survival.
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Valve repair adequately corrects valvular maladaptation and facilitates functional recovery of patients with chronic MR. Since the subvalvular apparatus is preserved, postoperative systolic dysfunction is prevented and ventricular remodeling tends to revert. Hospital morbidity and mortality is low, complications inherent to the prostheses are avoided, and mid-term overall and reoperation-free survival is higher than 90%.
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