Introduction and objectives. The identification and ablation of atrial ectopic foci could complement the conventional empirical pulmonary vein approach and may increase the success rate of atrial fibrillation ablation. Although both adenosine and isoproterenol infusion have been reported to induce ectopics, no clear findings on their use during ablation have been published. Our aim was to investigate the utility of these two pharmacologic maneuvers in patients referred for atrial fibrillation ablation.

Methods. The effects of adenosine infusion, isoproterenol infusion, or both were evaluated in 53 patients with refractory atrial fibrillation referred for ablation. Patients were in sinus rhythm during evaluation.

Results. Administration of adenosine or isoproterenol induced atrial arrhythmias in 46 patients (87%). Arrhythmia inducibility was similar in those with paroxysmal and those with persistent atrial fibrillation (87% and 86%, respectively). Atrial ectopics alone were induced in 31 patients (65%), atrial tachycardia in four (8%), and atrial fibrillation in 13 (27%). In 10 patients (19%), ectopic foci were located outside the pulmonary veins and subsequently underwent ablation. In 32 of the 46 patients with inducible arrhythmias, only the induced ectopic foci were ablated (mean 1.4 ± 0.6 targets per patient). The long-term success rate of first procedures was 66%.

Conclusions. Adenosine and isoproterenol infusion induced atrial ectopics in most patients with drug-refractory atrial fibrillation while they were in sinus rhythm. In almost 20%, the ectopic foci were located outside the pulmonary veins. The effectiveness of induced ectopic-guided ablation observed in our patient series supports the clinical utility of this approach.
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INTRODUCTION

The onset of atrial fibrillation (AF) is due, in most cases, to ectopias originating in the pulmonary veins (PV), and, less often, in other atrial structures.\(^1,2\) Identifying and locating the ectopic focus is a complex procedure that, in patients without abundant spontaneous ectopic activity, requires the use of various maneuvers aimed at revealing them and mapping the causative foci. Although these procedures initially formed the basis for AF ablation,\(^3,4\) they have subsequently been relegated to second place largely due to ignorance regarding how well the induction maneuvers perform and the clinical meaning of the induced arrhythmias because of the lack of systematic studies.

The aim of our study was to analyze: \(a\) the inducibility of atrial arrhythmias via adenosine and isoproterenol infusion in patients with AF; \(b\) the reproducibility of induction; \(c\) locating the causative ectopic foci; and \(d\) the clinical meaning of the induced arrhythmia.

METHODS

A retrospective analysis was made of the results obtained using the pharmacological induction protocol used in our center for identifying ectopic foci in patients with AF referred for ablation. All these patients had paroxysmal or persistent AF refractory to, at least, a class I or III antiarrhythmic drug. Following our clinical protocol, pharmacological induction was used in patients with AF who presented a high density of atrial extrasystoles (>10/h) and/or paroxysmal atrial tachycardia (AT) or AF during Holter monitoring obtained before ablation, but without sufficient spontaneous ectopia at the time of ablation to be able to establish its origin.

Electrophysiological Study and Pharmacological Maneuvers

A 24-electrode catheter (Orbiter\(^6\), Bard Electrophysiology) was advanced into the coronary sinus making a loop in the right atrium, thus making it possible to monitor wide zones of the left and right atrium with the distal and proximal electrodes, respectively. By using the double-guide technique via a single transseptal puncture guided by intracardiac sonography,\(^5\) a circular decapolar catheter (Lasso\(^6\), Biosense Webster) and an ablation catheter with a 4-mm tip (Navistar\(^6\), Biosense Webster) were positioned in the proximal segment of the superior PV. The PV were previously visualized via selective angiography and an anatomical reconstruction was done using the CARTO\(^8\) system (Biosense Webster). The patients with AF at the time of the study underwent cardioversion once the catheters were positioned in the left atrium.

Due to its ease of use and speed of effect, adenosine is used first in our pharmacological induction protocol, and then isoproterenol. In given circumstances (contraindications for adenosine use, induction of repetitive ectopia, induction of AF, presumed predominant sympathetic AF, etc), and depending on the criterion of the acting physician, the order of the drugs could be switched or some of them dispensed with not used.

Adenosine was administered as an ultra-rapid intravenous bolus via the peripheral route at an initial dose of 6 mg, with 6-mg increases in successive bolus until reaching a maximum dose of 18 mg or atrial ectopia induction. Patients with bronchial asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease did not receive adenosine. Isoproterenol was administered as continuous infusion beginning with a dose of 1 µg/min, which was increased at a rate of 1 µg/min every 5 min until reaching a maximum dose of 4 µg/min, an increase in baseline sinus rhythm higher than 30%, the induction of ectopia, or the appearance of side effects. The electrophysiological study was done without sedation or general anesthesia, except in the patients who required electro-cardioversion, where a brief period of profound sedation was induced with propofol (1-2 mg/kg).

Three types of pharmacological response were distinguished: isolated atrial ectopia, AT, and AF. The location of the focus was established according to the origin of the ectopic beats, estimated via premature local atrial activation higher than 30 ms in respect to the start of the P wave, the analysis of the atrial activation sequence in the coronary sinus and right atrium, the unipolar electrocardiograms and electroanatomic mapping when possible.\(^4,9\) When the induced arrhythmia was AF, the location of the focus was estimated via mapping the first ectopic beat that initiated the AF (Figures 1 and 2).

Ablation

Once the provocation maneuvers were done radiofrequency ablation was carried out using the CARTO system\(^8\). In the cases where ectopia or AF
was induced in a consistent and reproducible manner, only this focus was ablated, directly in the case of extrapulmonary foci, or through electric isolation of the ostia in the case of PV foci. Empirical isolation of the PV was done in the cases where reproducible ectopia was not induced. The

Figure 1. Intracavitary monitoring of ectopia induced in 2 different patients after adenosine administration. A: isolated ectopia proceeding from the PVSD; the first beat corresponds to sinus rhythm and the second to ectopia. Note the inversion of the electrocardiograms in the RSPV, the premature potential of the pulmonary vein (P') with respect to the P wave of the surface electrocardiogram, and the change in sequence in the RA, PCS and DCS recordings. In ectopia proceeding from the vein of Marshall region. The interval between the bipolar ablation (BAB) catheter electrocardiograms and the P wave is 30 ms. Note the QS-type morphology under unipolar ablation (UAB). II and V1 indicates ECG leads; RA, right atrium; DCS, distal coronary sinus; PCS, proximal coronary sinus; LSPV, left superior pulmonary vein; A, atrial electrocardiograms in sinus rhythm; P, electrocardiogram of pulmonary vein in sinus rhythm; A', atrial ectopia electrocardiograms; P', pulmonary vein ectopia electrocardiograms.
immediate success of ablation was defined by the disappearance of the ectopia in the case of extrapulmonary foci or the bidirectional blockage of venoatrial conduction when electric isolation of the PV was done. Long-term success was defined by the absence of AF recurrence within a period of 6 months from the time of ablation, evaluated clinically and with serial Holter monitoring in the first, third and, sixth month.

**Statistical Analysis**

The quantitative variables were adjusted to normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test, and thus are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). They are analyzed using parametric tests (Student t). The qualitative variables are expressed as the number (percentage) of observations and are analyzed using χ², or the Fisher exact test if the expected values are <5. P-values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 53 patients were included in the analysis. The average of age of the population studied was 50±13 years, with more males (37 patients, 70%). There was no structural heart disease in 94% of the patients. The size of the left atrium was 42±6 mm. In total, 46 patients had a history of paroxysmal AF (87%) and 7 (13%) had persistent AF. The average number of antiarrhythmic agents used before ablation was 2.5±0.8.

Of the 53 patients included in the study, 43 (81%) did not present baseline spontaneous ectopia and the ectopia was insufficient in 10 (19%) to establish its origin (mean 12±4 extrasystoles/h), thus indicating the pharmacological induction protocol. The monitoring time prior to administration of the induction agents was 122±24 min (time between the start of baseline electrocardiographic record and the administration of the first drug).

In the pharmacological induction protocol adenosine was used in 21 patients, isoproterenol in 17 patients, and both drugs in 15 patients. No significant differences were found regarding baseline characteristics in each group of patients. During adenosine infusion, the patients described general discomfort, flushes, or dyspnea lasting seconds producing high-grade atrioventricular block in 50, 86, and 100% of the patients when receiving doses of 6, 12 and 18 mg, respectively. During the administration of isoproterenol in doses of ≥ 2 µg/min, the patients reported palpitations, sometimes associated with sweating. No other adverse effects associated with the administration of adenosine and isoproterenol occurred.

Some atrial arrhythmia was induced in 46 patients (88%) after the administration of adenosine and/or isoproterenol. The rate of inducibility in patients with paroxysmal AF was 87% (40 out of 46 patients) and 86% (6 out of 7 patients; P=NS) in patients with persistent AF. Isolated ectopia was induced in 31 (65%) of the patients, AT in 4 patients (8%) and AF in 13 patients (27%). The latter was sustained and required electro-cardioversion in 10 of the 13 patients (77%). In the patients who presented some baseline ectopia, the P-wave morphology of the induced extrasystoles was similar to that of the spontaneous ones. Tables 1 and 2 show the origin and coupling intervals, respectively, of
the first ectopic beat of the induced arrhythmias. In 10 patients (19%), the induced ectopia had an extrapulmonary location.

Adenosine

Thirty-six patients received 54 injections of adenosine. In total, 46 boli of adenosine (85%) induced some arrhythmia in 31 of the 36 patients (86%), which consisted in isolated ectopias in 26 patients (84%), AT in 1 patient (3%), and AF in 4 patients (13%). In 21 adenosine boli (46%), the induction of arrhythmia preceded or was not associated with changes in heart rate, in 8 boli (17%) the arrhythmia appeared at the time of bradycardia, and in 17 boli (37%) the arrhythmia appeared after bradycardia (mean, 15±25 s afterwards). The probability of induction increased in direct proportion to the dose of adenosine used. Doses of 6, 12, and 18 mg yielded a positive response in 4 out of 8 injections (50%), in 33 out of 37 injections (89%) and in 9 out of 9 injections (100%), respectively (P=0.02). No significant relationship was found between the dose of adenosine and the type of effect, isolated ectopia, AT, or AF. To assess the reproducibility of the induced ectopia, 18 additional boli of adenosine were administered in 14 patients (maximum of 3 per patient), some ectopia being induced in all cases (of the same type in 10 patients, and of equal type and location as the initial ectopia in 8 patients).

Isoproterenol

Thirty-two patients received isoproterenol infusion. The administration of isoproterenol induced some arrhythmia in 27 patients (82%), which consisted of isolated ectopias in 14 patients (52%), AT in 3 patients (11%) and AF in 10 patients (37%). At the time of induction, the sinus rhythm had not been modified in 3 patients (11%), 7 patients (26%) had experienced an increase in sinus rhythm <30% of baseline, and the remaining 17 patients (63%) had experienced an increase of >30%. Overall, the average increase in sinus rhythm at the time of induction was 27±18%.

Ablation Guided by Inducibility

In 32 of the 46 patients in whom induction was possible (70%), only the induced ectopia was ablated (1.4±0.6 substrates per patient), yielding long-term success in 21 patients (66%). There were no significant differences regarding the results of ablation depending on the type of arrhythmia induced. Nine of the 11 patients with AF occurrence after the first ablation underwent a second procedure. In 4 patients, only the substrate previously treated was ablated due to recurrence of the initial focus, or venoatrial conduction and reproducibility of previous inducibility. Long-term success was achieved in all these patients. Another substrate was ablated in 1 patient with persistent AF during follow-up. Empirical isolation of the 4 PV was done in 4 patients, the AF persisting in 3 patients. Another substrate was ablated in 1 patient with persistent AF during follow-up. Empirical isolation of the 4 PV was done in 4 patients, the AF persisting in 3 patients.

DISCUSSION

The present study presents the results of using 2 pharmacological maneuvers, the infusion of adenosine and isoproterenol. The coupling intervals did not present significant differences regardless of whether the drug used was adenosine or isoproterenol. Data are expressed in milliseconds (mean ± standard deviation).
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TABLE 1. Origin of the Induced Arrhythmias, According to the Drug Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adenosine (n=46)</th>
<th>Isoproterenol (n=27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary veins</td>
<td>37 (80%)</td>
<td>20 (74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left superior</td>
<td>13 (28%)</td>
<td>10 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right superior</td>
<td>12 (26%)</td>
<td>9 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left inferior</td>
<td>6 (13%)</td>
<td>3 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right inferior</td>
<td>6 (13%)</td>
<td>4 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrapulmonary</td>
<td>9 (20%)</td>
<td>7 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior vena cava</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>3 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right atrium</td>
<td>3 (7%)</td>
<td>2 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrium</td>
<td>4 (9%)</td>
<td>2 (7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicates the number of pharmacological tests (boli of adenosine or isoproterenol infusion) resulting in the induction of some arrhythmia. Data are presented as the number (percentage) of observations.

TABLE 2. Coupling Intervals of the First Cctopic Beat, Depending on the Induced Arrhythmia and the Drug Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Extrapulse/AT</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured from the beginning of the P-wave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosine</td>
<td>517±152</td>
<td>30±82</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoproterenol</td>
<td>503±154</td>
<td>37±66</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured from the previous local EGM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosine</td>
<td>42±152</td>
<td>25±50</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoproterenol</td>
<td>450±153</td>
<td>30±53</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*EGM indicates electrocardiogram; AF, atrial fibrillation; AT, atrial tachycardia. The coupling intervals did not present significant differences regardless of whether the drug used was adenosine or isoproterenol. Data are expressed in milliseconds (mean ± standard deviation).
and isoproterenol, in patients with AF refractory to antiarrhythmic agents referred for ablation, who had abundant ectopia under previous Holter monitoring, but which at the time of the procedure lacked sufficient ectopic density to locate the causal foci of the AF. In general, adenosine and isoproterenol showed a high rate of inducibility of atrial arrhythmias in these patients (86 and 82%, respectively).

Adenosine is a drug widely used in the treatment of supraventricular tachycardia. Its mechanism of action is mainly on the sinus and atrioventricular nodes as it stimulates the potassium current $I_{K, Ado, Ach}$ which causes cellular hyperpolarization and consequent interruption of tachycardias dependent on the atrioventricular node.

The relevance of adenosine for inducing ectopia and/or AF rests on its proarrhythmic effects. The reported frequency of adenosine-induced AF reaches 12%, which is coherent with that found in our study. In the atrium, adenosine shortens action potential duration and refractory periods. Furthermore, it increases the sympathetic tone reflex causing arterial hypertension. By modifying the refractory periods, adenosine promotes the development of functional reentry in susceptible patients. Such reentrant activity has a particular predilection for the PV, due to its anisotropic conduction and repolarization heterogeneity. Although adenosine directly reduces automatism and pacemaker activity, once the bradycardia period has ended, which was observed in 35% in our series. In our study, the induction of atrial arrhythmias with adenosine presented dose-dependent behavior, and inducibility was more frequent the greater the dose administered.

Isoproterenol is an adrenergic agonist that, through the accumulation of intracellular calcium, promotes an increase in pacemaker activity and abnormal automatism. Like adenosine, isoproterenol has been used as an inducer of ectopia and/or AF. In our work, the rate of inducibility of atrial arrhythmias with isoproterenol was comparable to that found with adenosine, although there were differences in the type of arrhythmia induced, since isoproterenol induced AF more frequently than adenosine. Most patients who presented a positive response to isoproterenol did so after an increase in sinus rhythm, requiring increases >30% in baseline sinus rhythm in 63% of patients.

The disappearance of AF recurrence after ablation of only the induced ectopia confirmed its pathogenic and clinical importance. In the series studied by Marchlinski et al., ablation guided exclusively by the induced ectopia produced a long-term success rate of around 80%, with an average of 3 treated substrata per patient. In our series, the success of ablation guided exclusively by induced ectopia was 66% after treating an average of 1.4 substrata per patient, increasing to 78% after carrying out a second ablation procedure on the same substratum.

Figure 3. Results of AF ablation depending on the pharmacological inducibility of atrial arrhythmias and ablation strategy. In the group of patients in whom induction was possible, ectopic ablation refers to the direct ablation of the focus or to the isolation of a pulmonary vein when this was the origin of the focus. In the group of patients in whom induction was impossible, the low-density spontaneous extrasystoles that the patients had during the electrophysiological study were used when ablation was guided by the ectopia. PV indicates pulmonary vein.
It might be thought that a practical application of the use of pharmacological induction maneuvers could be to reduce the number of ablated substrata by identifying the causal foci of the AF in each patient. However, even though induced ectopia has a specific character, ablation exclusively only of the identified foci may leave untreated other ectopic foci not identified by these drugs or important structures which maintain AF, as demonstrated in the first published series of AF ablation.6-7 In our series, patients in whom induced ectopia was treated, and also the remaining PV, had a higher long-term success rate (93%). Thus, we consider that the main usefulness of pharmacological induction maneuvers could be to identify ectopic foci not dealt with via empirical ablation of the 4 PV. Our study shows that, in nearly 20% of the patients undergoing AF ablation who presented previous abundant ectopia, the causal focus of the arrhythmia had an extrapulmonary location. Marchlinski et al7 found an extrapulmonary origin in 16% of patients with AF who received ablation guided by drug-induced ectopia. More recently, Lee et al analyzed a series of 293 patients with spontaneous ectopic beats that induced AF during electrophysiological study and found that in 20% of patients the ectopia arose from both PV and extrapulmonary foci, and that only 12% arose from extrapulmonary foci.

CONCLUSIONS

Adenosine and isoproterenol infusion during sinus rhythm frequently induces atrial ectopia in patients with drug-refractory AF and evidence of abundant previous ectopia. The selective ablation of induced ectopia prevents the recurrence of AF in a significant percentage of patients, which confirms its clinical importance. The systematic use of pharmacological induction maneuvers could increase the success of AF ablation, by making it possible to identify foci not dealt with via empirical ablation of the 4 PV.

Limitations

Even though at the time of the electrophysiological study the patients were in sinus rhythm without significant spontaneous ectopia, they presented a high density of ectopia and/or frequent AF episodes under previous Holter monitoring. This indicates that ectopic foci are strongly involved in the pathophysiology of AF in these patients. Thus, the results of this study cannot be extrapolated to patients with AF dependent on other mechanisms. Another limitation is the retrospective nature of the analysis. Obviously, the low number of patients and the absence of randomization regarding the ablation strategy means that no conclusions can be drawn in relation to the relative value of empirical or mixed guided ablation.
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