It is thought that in 2025 there will be some 300 million diabetics worldwide, of whom some 90% will have diabetes mellitus type 2 (DM2). The prevalence of DM2 in the adult population varies from 0.7% to 34% depending on the area in question (eg, it is some 6%-15% in most developed countries and in Spain ranges from 5.6% to 18.7%).\(^1\) Its incidence in European countries is 1.24-4.1 cases per 1000 inhabitants/year; in a Spanish study it was reported as 0.8 cases/1000 inhabitants/year.\(^1\) A remarkable fact is that in all the epidemiological studies performed on DM2 (independent of the diagnostic criteria used), some 30%-50% of people did not realize they had the disease.

People with DM2 have a 2-3 times greater risk of developing cardiovascular disease than non-diabetics, and about 70% die from macrovascular complications, especially ischemic heart disease and cerebrovascular disease. Approximately 20% of DM2 sufferers already have vascular complications at the time of diagnosis.

The strong relationship between DM2 and coronary disease risk is well reflected in a study by Haffner et al (1998)\(^2\) in which these authors compare mortality of coronary cause in 1059 patients with DM2 and 1373 non-diabetics. After 7 years of follow-up the patients with DM2 who had not suffered a prior myocardial infarction had a mortality rate similar to the non-diabetics who had suffered a prior myocardial infarction. Although later works provided discrepant data, the impact of this study was so great that it served as the basis for considering DM2 as equivalent to cardiovascular disease. A more recent study that involved 77 814 non-diabetic subjects and 2100 diabetics, and that covered a follow-up period of 18 years, showed that coronary mortality in the former was a little over twice that seen in the latter, thus confirming the relationship between diabetes and ischemic cardiomyopathy.\(^3\)

The protagonism of hyperglycemia and its relationship with ischemic events was well established by the WHO Multinational Study of Vascular Disease in Diabetes Study\(^4\) and the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study\(^5\) (UKPDS) (among others). For 12 years the WHO study followed 4743 diabetics with no history of cardiovascular disease and showed a direct relationship between fasting glycemia values and the incidence of myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular accident and cardiovascular mortality. The UKPDS involved 3055 patients with DM2 and no known history of cardiovascular disease who were followed–up for more then 7 years, and found the HbA\(_1c\) concentration to be an independent predictor of fatal and non-fatal infarction (an increase of 11% was recorded in the risk of cardiovascular mortality for every 1% increase in the HbA\(_1c\) concentration).

In DM2, chronic hyperglycemia and tissue resistance to the action of insulin leads to a series of functional changes in many types of cell (such as endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, and platelets), favoring the development of atherosclerosis and coronary thrombosis.\(^6\) A fundamental change in the vascular endothelium leads to its dysfunction, manifested as vasoconstriction, hyperplasia of the vascular medial layer, and inflammation. A prothrombotic state also exists due to changes in fibrinolysis and platelet function. In addition, metalloproteinases accumulate, favoring the rupture of atheromic plaques.\(^6\) Chronic hyperglycemia perpetuates the process such that the mentioned vascular changes gradually become worse, and a strong and pernicious relationship develops between hyperglycemia, mid- and long-term coronary events, and the length of time DM2 has been present.

Acute or stress hyperglycemia has a facilitating role in the development of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and accentuates the consequences of cellular damage.
caused by acute myocardial ischemia.\textsuperscript{7} The excess of free radicals produced (owed to the increase in cellular oxidative stress produced by hyperglycemia) leads to an increase in the concentrations of fibrinopeptide A, factor VII, and active fragments of prothrombin. Also increased is the degree of endothelial dysfunction and the activation and aggregation of the platelets, while the half life of fibrinogen is reduced. All this facilitates the development of the intravascular thrombosis characteristic of ACS. Acute hyperglycemia also produces a significant lengthening of the QT interval, reduces ischemic preconditioning, increases no-reflow, reduces myocardial contractility, and encourages greater local and systemic inflammation.

Given the above, the negative influence of diabetes and hyperglycemia on the prognosis of patients with ACS is hardly surprising. Diabetic patients with ACS have a greater mortality rate than non-diabetics, as indicated by Donahoe et al.\textsuperscript{8} who studied 62,036 patients with ACS (with or without elevation of the ST segment) involved in the 11 different TIMI studies published at that time. Some 17.1\% of all these patients were known diabetics. Mortality at 30 days was higher among the diabetic patients, both in those with ACS but with no elevation of the ST segment (hazard ratio [HR]=1.78, 95\% confidence interval [CI], 1.24-2.56) and in those in whom the latter was elevated (HR=1.40, 95\% CI, 1.24-1.57). Diabetic patients with ACS also show high admission plasma glucose (APG) levels and greater in-hospital mortality, as indicated by the meta-analysis of Capes et al.\textsuperscript{9} The latter work showed that diabetics admitted for acute myocardial infarction with an APG of >10-11 mmol/L have an in-hospital mortality rate 1.7 times that of patients admitted with a lower APG level.

In recent years many studies have focused on the prognostic value of hyperglycemia in ACS, independent of whether the patient is known to be diabetic. In a study involving 662 patients with acute myocardial infarction (all from the Spanish province of Gerona), Sala et al.\textsuperscript{10} showed that those with an APG concentration of \( \geq 6.67 \text{ mmol/L} \) had a 28-day mortality rate of 4 times that of patients with lower concentrations, independent of any prior diagnosis of diabetes. In a similar study involving 2127 patients with ACS, Foo et al.\textsuperscript{11} stratified APG concentrations into discrete \([0.67; 95\% \text{ CI}, 0.58-0.76] \) and the area under the ROC curve was \( (\text{OR}=5.26; 95\% \text{ CI}, 1.09-25.45 \text{ for FPG in the 126-200 mg/dL interval; OR}=6.6; 95\% \text{ CI}, 2.05-21.63 \text{ in the } >200 \text{ mg/dL interval}) \) and the area under the ROC curve was discrete \([0.67; 95\% \text{ CI}, 0.58-0.76] \). The authors concluded that the FPG value was a better indicator of in-hospital death and/or reinfarction than the APG value.

The study by Vivas et al.\textsuperscript{13} does not resolve current controversies—although it helps. Their results confirm in the Spanish population that indicated by recent studies such as that of Suleiman et al.\textsuperscript{14} (performed in Israel with 735 patients suffering infarction but with no previously diagnosed diabetes). Using a similar methodology, the latter authors stratified the APG and FPG values into tertiles with intervals even lower than those used by Vivas et al. Nonetheless, they also showed a gradual increase in mortality at 30 days as the APG and FPG concentrations.
increased, and that the predictive power of the FPG value was greater.

Although the criteria for establishing a diagnosis of diabetes in the acute phase of ACS are not well defined, about 30% of patients are diagnosed with diabetes when they suffer an ischemic event (similar numbers are diagnosed as prediabetic). This information is important since patients with ACS who are then diagnosed as having diabetes have a poorer diagnosis than known diabetics. Patients admitted for an infarction with previously diagnosed diabetes and an FPG concentration of 110-125 or >125 mg/dL (diabetic range) suffer 4 times and 10 times greater mortality at 30 days than those with a normal FPG concentration; however, for those known to have diabetes mortality is only 2.4 times higher.14 These data support the hypothesis that stress hyperglycemia is a marker more directly linked to the extent of acute myocardial damage than diabetes itself. The greater the myocardial damage the greater the release of catecholamines and cortisol, with the consequent increase in insulin resistance. The relative deficit of insulin and the excess of catecholamines reduce the utilization of glucose by the ischemic myocardium and promote lipolysis, thus increasing the concentration of circulating fatty acids. These inhibit the oxidation of glucose and are toxic to the ischemic myocardium, resulting in further damage to cell membranes, arrhythmias, and a loss of contractility that might explain the increase in mortality associated with hyperglycemia.7,14 The recent work of van der Horst et al15 supports this hypothesis; these authors indicate that the appearance of adverse events in the month before undergoing primary angioplasty are more related to the persistence of glycemia above 9 mmol/L in the first 2 days after admission rather than to the APG value.

Even when leaving aside other areas of discussion such as the prognostic value of the glycohemoglobin level and the results of the oral glucose overload test, it would appear that the controversy surrounding hyperglycemia in ACS is not yet over. For the clinical cardiologist the message is that it is not enough to know that patients with ACS and known diabetes have a poorer prognosis (which can be improved by treatment that maintains normoglycemia since the time of admission); it is also important to recognize prediabetic patients (who should be strictly monitored in order to reduce future complications) and to understand that initial hyperglycemia in non-diabetic patients is also associated with a poorer prognosis (these patients would also probably benefit from treatment designed to normalize their blood sugar levels).

Finally, the article by Vivas et al should contribute towards Spanish cardiologists becoming aware of their need to know more about diabetes and the metabolic alterations that influence the progress of ACS—a need that was recognized in a survey by Palma et al16 published in this same journal not so long ago.
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