The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), left ventricular diastolic dysfunction (LVDD), and left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) in a group of elderly Spanish individuals. Data were obtained on a subgroup of 371 individuals from the Lista district of Madrid, Spain who were taking part in the EPICARDIAN study. In hypertensive subjects, the prevalence of LVH was 51.8%–61.8%, that of LVDD was 86%, and that of LVSD was 6.2%. In normotensive subjects, the prevalences were 14%–30% for LVH, 86% for LVDD, and 6% for LVSD. The isovolumic relaxation time was 115(33) ms in the hypertensive group and 105(24) ms in the normotensive group. In this study, the only factor that differentiated between the diagnoses of LVDD due to age and LVDD due to hypertension was a longer isovolumic relaxation time.
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INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of arterial hypertension increases with age, and various studies estimate the prevalence in persons aged 65 years of age or older to be from 60% to 80%. One of the complications of arterial hypertension in elderly persons is left ventricular (LV) involvement, which may present as left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) or ventricular dysfunction.

METHODS

The data for this study come from a subgroup of patients of the EPICARDIAN study (EPIdemiología CARDIovascular en los ANcianos en España [Cardiovascular epidemiology in the elderly in Spain]). The methods of the EPICARDIAN study have been published in detail previously.

The echocardiograms were carried out with a Toshiba 160 with a 2.5 MHz transthoracic transducer. The echocardiographers were blinded as to whether the patient was hypertensive or normotensive. The degree of agreement was studied in order to control inter-observer
variability. The echocardiographic measurements were made according to the guidelines of the American Society of Echocardiography, published by Sahn et al in 1978, and made in M mode and Doppler. The isovolumic relaxation time (IRT), used to measure the time between aortic valve closure and mitral valve opening, can be measured with Doppler in an intermediate position between the left ventricular outflow tract and the septal mitral valve. The sample was stratified according to whether the age of the patients was ≥75 years or <75 years, and left ventricular diastolic dysfunction (LVDD) was considered when the maximum velocity of the E wave was <0.6 m/s, the E wave/A wave ratio was <1, the deceleration time was >275 ms and the IRT was >100 ms. Those patients who had atrial fibrillation (AF) were excluded from the evaluation of the diastolic function.

“Patients with a poor echocardiographic window” were considered to be those in whom no safe measurement was possible of any of the following factors in the parasternal long axis view: end-diastolic diameter, end-systolic diameter, septum or posterior wall.

Statistical Analysis

The description of the variables was made with the distribution of frequencies for categorical variables and the mean and standard deviation for continuous variables. To test the possible association between the qualitative variables we used the χ² test or Fisher’s exact test. The statistical adjustment methods used were the logistic regression and multiple linear regression models. Data processing and analysis were done with the help of the statistical program SPSS, version 10.

RESULTS

Of the 371 patients selected, 291 (78.6%) were hypertensive and 80 (21.4%), normotensive. The mean age of the sample was 74 (6) years. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the 2 groups.

The prevalence of a “poor window” was 34.4% in the hypertensive patients and 36.7% in the normotensive patients (P=.7).

The values of the M mode measurements showed an increased interventricular septal thickness (11.5 [2.8] vs 10 [2.4]; P<.0005), posterior wall thickness (10.7 [2] vs 9.4 [1.8]; P<.0005), left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (46.6 [7.4] vs 44.3 [6.4]; P<.047), and left atrial end-diastolic diameter (40.9 [6.4] vs 37.6 [5.6]; P<.001) in the hypertensive patients as compared with the normotensive patients, differences that were all significant.

Significant differences were also detected between the normotensive and the hypertensive patients in the mean left ventricular mass (LVM) (165.3 [72.7] vs 224.5 [86]; P<.0005), LVM index (LVM / body surface) (99.5 [36.8] vs 130.9 [47.4]; P<.0005) and the LVM index (LVM / height) (102 [40] vs 140 [51.8]; P<.0005).

The results of this study show a greater prevalence of LVH in both normotensive and hypertensive persons as compared with other studies. This difference may be
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due to methodological aspects or, more likely, to the age of the study sample, since all the study subjects were older than 65 years of age.

The general prevalence of echocardiographic signs of LVDD was greater than in earlier studies. No differences were found in our series between the normotensive and the hypertensive persons, probably due to the above-mentioned reasons, and only IRT was identified as a differentiating factor. The prevalence of LVSD, on the other hand, was similar to that of previous studies, although our study did not include an analysis of a history of ischemic heart disease.

The sample was recruited from the general census population in an area of Madrid with a high social and economic level, which could explain the low incidence of atrial fibrillation in comparison with other studies and it may also have led to a lower significance value and thus account for the lack of significant differences between the groups of hypertensive and normotensive persons.

Limitations

The isovolumic relaxation time was the only difference found between the hypertensive and the normotensive persons, with a more prolonged IRT in the hypertensive persons. This finding may be explained either because it is a marker of LVDD, or because the rise in blood pressure in the hypertensive persons results in an early closure of the aortic valve. As the blood pressure was not recorded during the Doppler study, we are unable to say which of the 2 mechanisms accounts for this finding.
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