Atrial Tachycardia Originating From the Pulmonary Vein: Clinical, Electrocardiographic, and Differential Electrophysiologic Characteristics
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ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Introduction and objectives. Although atrial tachycardia (AT) frequently originates in the pulmonary vein, pulmonary vein atrial tachycardia (PV-AT) can be difficult to recognize on an ECG. The aim of this study was to identify clinical and electrophysiologic characteristics specific to PV-AT, including sinus P-wave duration and notching.

Methods. The study included 87 patients who underwent AT ablation, divided into 4 groups: those with PV-AT alone (group 1, n=25), those with PV-AT associated with atrial fibrillation (group 2, n=18), and those with other forms of left AT (group 3, n=7) and right AT (group 4, n=37).

Results. The mean age of patients in group 1, at 44 (14) years, was less than in groups 2, 3, and 4, at 57 (9), 58 (12), and 53 (16) years, respectively (P<.05) and the left atrial diameter, at 38 (4) mm, was less than in the other left AT groups: 48 (7) mm in group 2 and 49 (5) mm in group 3 (P<.05). Overall, PV-AT was most frequently due to abnormal automaticity or triggered activity (P<.05) and presented with a short cycle length: 289±45 ms and 280±48 ms in Groups 1 and 2, respectively, versus 392±106 ms and 407 ± 87 ms in Groups 3 and 4, respectively (P<.05).

In patients aged <50 years with AT and no underlying heart disease, PV-AT was significantly (P<.05) associated with a P-wave duration ≥110 ms (sensitivity 68%, specificity 69%) and P-wave notching (sensitivity 79%, specificity 70%).

Conclusions. Sinus P-wave prolongation and notching in young patients with a rapid AT but without heart disease predicted an origin in the pulmonary vein.
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Taquicardia auricular de vena pulmonar: características clínicas, electrocardiográficas, y electrofisiológicas diferenciales

Introducción y objetivos. Las venas pulmonares (VP) son un origen frecuente de taquicardias auriculares (TA) ocasionalmente difícil de reconocer en el ECG. Analizamos las características diferenciales clínicas y electrofisiológicas, incluidas la duración y la presencia de melladura en la onda P sinusal, asociadas a las TA-VP.

Métodos. Ochenta y siete pacientes sometidos a ablación de TA fueron incluidos y agrupados: TA-VP (grupo 1, n = 25), TA-VP asociada a fibrilación auricular (grupo 2, n = 18), otras TA izquierdas (grupo 3, n = 7) y TA derechas (grupo 4, n = 37).

Resultados. El grupo 1 presentó edad media más joven (44 [14] años) que los grupos 2 a 4 (57 [9], 58 [12] y 53 [16] años, respectivamente; p < 0,05) y menor diámetro auricular izquierdo que otras TA izquierdas (grupos 2 y 3): 38 ± 7 mm vs. 48 ± 7 y 49 ± 5 mm, respectivamente (p < 0,05). Las TA-VP fueron debidas más frecuentemente a automatismo anormal/actividad desencadenada (p < 0,05) y menor diámetro auricular izquierdo que otras TA izquierdas (grupos 2 y 3); 38 ± 7 mm vs. 48 ± 7 y 49 ± 5 mm, respectivamente (p < 0,05). Las TA-VP fueron debidas más frecuentemente a automatismo anormal/actividad desencadenada (p < 0,05) y presentaron ciclo auricular corto: 289 ± 45 y 280 ± 48 ms (grupos 1-2) vs. 392 ± 106 y 407 ± 87 ms (grupos 3-4; p < 0,05). La identificación de una onda P sinusal con duración ≥ 110 ms (sensibilidad/especificidad 68%/69%) y melladura (79%/70%) en menores de 50 años con TA sin cardiopatía de base se asoció a TA-VP (p < 0,05).

Conclusiones. Una P sinusal prolongada y mellada en pacientes jóvenes con TA rápidas y sin cardiopatía predice un origen en VP.


INTRODUCTION

The morphology and polarity of the P wave during atrial tachycardia (AT) in the 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) are useful in the identification of its place of origin.1–7 Left focal ATs frequently originate in the pulmonary veins (PV)
and typically have more rapid atrial frequencies than other ATs. Selective stimulation of PVs and PV-AT which occur following the ablation of pulmonary veins produces characteristics that allow the exact identification of the responsible PV. However, the surface ECG has limitations in clearly establishing the PV origin of AT. The presence of notches and biphasic P waves, especially in leads II-III and aVF of the ECG, are a result of this process.12,13 The identification of a PV origin of ATs allows specific preparation (including the predicted need for transseptal puncture) of the ablation procedure in this type of tachycardia, with the added risk of systemic embolism associated with this treatment.9 Patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (PAF) and sinus node disease frequently have an increased inter- and intra-atrial conduction time.10,11 The non-homogeneous propagation of the sinus impulses results in morphological abnormalities in the sinus P wave, with an increase in its duration and dispersion. The Protocol of Study and Ablation

All antiarrhythmic drugs were discontinued prior to the procedure for at least 5 half lives, and no patient was under treatment with amiodarone. During the electrophysiological study, reproducible tachycardia induced by atrial stimulation was considered the clinical AT when clinical symptoms were mimicked in the absence of any other inducible tachycardia that could account for them. Macroreentrant arrhythmias (flutters) were excluded from our analyses. This mechanism is suspected in the presence of electrical activity which covered practically the entire cycle of tachycardia in the studied cardiac chamber and without an isoelectric line in the surface ECG. The identification of an obstacle or a functional or anatomical electrical barrier and the identification of an isthmus or “protected” area of the tachycardia circuit supported the microreentrant diagnosis. Finally, the recording of return cycles similar to those of tachycardia in distant atrial regions, in addition to the criteria of constant and progressive fusion (infrequent in macroreentrant tachycardias) were also suggestive of a macroreentrant mechanism.14,15 Abnormal automatism or triggered activity were considered as an arrhythmogenic mechanism if they satisfied at least 2 of the following 3 criteria: a) prolonged or “incessant” episodes of arrhythmia, with the on and off phenomenon and “warm-up” at the beginning or “cool-down” at the end of the AT; b) Inability to reproducibly initiate or terminate the tachycardia with programmed atrial stimulation; and c) the need to use burst pacing or isoproterenol infusion to induce the tachycardia. When these criteria were not

ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AT: atrial tachycardia</th>
<th>ECG: electrocardiogram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAF: paroxysmal atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>PV: pulmonary vein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study Population

Those consecutive patients who underwent mapping and ablation of focal AT refractory to antiarrhythmic drugs, with no prior ablation of the pulmonary veins were considered for inclusion in the study. The selection of patients was made during outpatient consultations in the arrhythmia and electrophysiology unit, where the diagnosis of refractory atrial tachycardia was made, with an indication of electrophysiological study and/or radiofrequency ablation. The consecutive patients who underwent ablation of atrial tachycardia were
satisfied, and with the macroreentrant mechanism having been excluded, a microreentrant mechanism of the arrhythmia was suspected via entrainment techniques during tachycardia.\textsuperscript{15}

The origin of the AT was identified in an electroanatomical activation map (CARTO\textsuperscript{®}, Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, CA, USA), based on the termination of the tachycardia during ablation and/or inability to reinduce the arrhythmia with programmed atrial stimulation, burst pacing or isoproterenol infusion following ablation and during a subsequent observation period of 30 minutes. Special care was taken to correlate relate the efficacy of the procedure with the application of radiofrequency and not with a possible mechanical blockage of the tachycardia during its study. Ablation was carried out by means of non-irrigated tip catheters: a 4 mm catheter with right ATs and an 8 mm catheter with left ATs (NAVISTAR\textsuperscript{®}, Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, CA, USA) using energies of 30-50 W and temperatures of 55\textdegree C. In the case of AT-PV, circumferential ablation was carried out, with the aim of electrical isolation of the vein. The cycle length of the AT was measured digitally using electronic calipers during the electrophysiological study with intracavitary signals at a speed of 100 mm/s. The 12-lead ECG was analysed offline using the Prucka\textsuperscript{®} Cardiolab recording system (Houston, TX, USA) and Lab Pro (C.R. Bard Inc., New Jersey, USA) polygraphs, with a bandwidth of 0.05-100 Hz. The maximum sinus P wave duration was measured at the beginning of the procedure (prior to ablation, in order to avoid morphological changes due to the actual application of radiofrequency) in each ECG lead; any notches present in two or more ECG leads were also measured, with a peak-peak distance of the notched P wave ≥1 mm (0.04 seconds).\textsuperscript{11,17,18}

### Statistical Analysis

Variables are described as the mean (standard deviation). The continuous variables were compared by means of the $\chi^2$ test. The continuous variables were analysed by means of analysis of variance (ANOVA). A value of $P<.05$ was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

#### Study Population

The demographic characteristics of the study population (average age, 52 [15] years, 46 women) and the distribution of origin of the ATs are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. Patients with isolated AT-PV (group 1) were younger than the rest ($P<.05$); however, no differences in gender were observed between groups. Groups 1 (PV-AT) and 4 (right AT) had a significantly lower incidence of structural

---

**TABLE 1. Study Population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (PV-AT)</th>
<th>Group 2 (PV-AT/PAF)</th>
<th>Group 3 (Other Left ATs)</th>
<th>Group 4 (Right AT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients/ATs, n</td>
<td>25/26</td>
<td>18/18</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>37/44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>44 (14)a</td>
<td>57 (9)</td>
<td>58 (12)</td>
<td>53 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: female</td>
<td>11 (42%)</td>
<td>9 (50%)</td>
<td>3 (43%)</td>
<td>23 (53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiopathy</td>
<td>3/25b</td>
<td>9/18</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>6/37f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilated MC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA size, mean (SD), mm</td>
<td>38 (4)b</td>
<td>48 (7)</td>
<td>49(5)</td>
<td>38 (7)f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV-AT: origin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSPV</td>
<td>12 (46%)</td>
<td>8 (44%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIPV</td>
<td>5 (19%)</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSPV</td>
<td>7 (27%)</td>
<td>6 (33%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIPV</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
<td>2 (11%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCPV</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are expressed as mean (standard deviation) and as a proportion.
A value of $P<.05$ is considered statistically significant.

\textsuperscript{a}$P<.05$ compared with groups 2, 3, and 4.
\textsuperscript{b}$P<.05$ compared with groups 2 and 3.
There are no other significant differences between groups.

Valvular heart disease: slight prolapse and mitral regurgitation (1 case, group 1), mitral repair due to severe mitral regurgitation (1) and slight mitral regurgitation (2 cases, group 2), moderate mitral regurgitation (1 case, group 3) and slight mitral regurgitation (1) and aortic prosthesis (1 case, group 4).

AT indicates atrial tachycardia; LA, left atrium; LCPV, left common pulmonary vein; LIPV, left inferior pulmonary vein; LSPV, left superior pulmonary vein; MC, myocardiopathy; PAF, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; RIPV, right inferior pulmonary vein; RSPV, right superior pulmonary vein.
Pulmonary Vein-Atrial Tachycardia

PV-AT associated or not with PAF (groups 1 and 2), originated mainly from the right superior and left superior pulmonary veins (Table 1). Furthermore, PV-ATs had shorter cycle lengths (faster heart rates) than other left or right ATs (groups 3 and 4): 289 (45) ms (group 1) and 280 (48) ms (group 2) versus 392 (106) ms (group 3) and 407 (87) ms (group 4); \( P<.05 \). The arrhythmogenic mechanism was almost uniformly abnormal automatism or triggered activity in PV-ATs (in 24/25 in group 1 and 17/18 in group 2). On the other hand, re-entry was associated more frequently with groups 3 (4/7) and 4 (16/44); \( P<.05 \) (Table 2).

Sinus P Wave: Morphological Abnormalities

Patients with left ATs (groups 1 to 3) were differentiated by the presence of a more prolonged sinus P wave than those in group 4 (right ATs): 126 (25) ms (group 1), 133 (23) ms (group 2) and 136 (37) ms (group 3) versus 106 (20) ms (group 4); \( P<.01 \). The proportion of patients with a notch in the sinus P wave was equally high in groups with left ATs: 15/25 (60%, group 1), 11/18 (61%, group 2), and 5/7 (71%, group 3) versus 10/37 (27%, group 4); \( P<.025 \).

Bearing in mind the younger age of the group of

patients with isolated PV-AT, the diagnostic value of the prolongation and notch of the sinus P wave was specifically analysed in patients below the age of 50 years with no structural heart disease (n=37). A duration ≥110 ms of the sinus P wave (sensitivity 68%, specificity 69%, positive predictive value 72%, negative predictive value 65%; \( P = .04 \)) and the presence of a notch on the sinus P wave (sensitivity 79%, specificity 70%, positive predictive value 61%, negative predictive value 84%; \( P = .02 \)) were indicators of pulmonary vein origin of the AT in this subgroup (Figures 2 and 3).

### Results of the Ablation

Post-ablation, a clinical follow-up was carried out for 24 (14) months. In patients with isolated PV-AT (group 1), the follow-up period was 34 (10) months. Acute success of the procedure was achieved in 24/26 cases of group 1, with 2 clinical recurrences in the follow-up period. During the follow-up period, PAF was observed in only one patient from this group. In group 2, acute efficacy was obtained in 17/18 procedures, with 4 recurrences and relapse of PAF in 6. Ablation of left ATs (group 3) was effective in 6/7 patients, with one recurrence. Finally, ablation of right ATs was effective in 39/44 patients, with 5 additional recurrences in the follow-up period.

### DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that when a clinical suspicion of atrial tachycardia is established in a patient below the age of 50 years, with no cardiopathy or atrial dilatation, the presence of prolongation (≥110 ms) and a notch on the sinus P wave is suggestive of an origin in the pulmonary vein (sensitivity 68%-79% and specificity 69%-70%), with the resulting potential need for transseptal puncture for the ablation of the responsible PV. In older patients, the presence of cardiopathy or association of PAF with these morphological abnormalities of the sinus P wave are not as specific. Furthermore, a rapid atrial frequency (higher than 200 per minute: atrial cycles of 289 (45) ms and 280 (48) ms in groups 1 and 2) is characteristically associated with PV-AT and not with other left or right ATs.

This study confirms that PV-ATs are caused...
predominantly by non-reentrant arrhythmogenic foci (abnormal automatism/triggered activity) if there is no previous history of PV ablation. PV-AT is considered an independent entity and does not necessarily precede paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, despite sharing a common predominant origin in the upper pulmonary veins. Our study recorded one single episode of PAF in our population with isolated AT-PV during a post-ablation follow-up period of 34 (10) months, although the design of the study and the influence of a circumferential ablation of the responsible PV make it impossible to assess the etiopathogenic relationship between PV-AT and PAF.

The abnormalities of the sinus P wave observed in patients with PV-AT are a sign of an increase in the inter- and intra-atrial conduction time of the sinus impulses. Experimental models of rapid atrial stimulation reproduce electrical remodelling which gives rise to an increase in the duration and dispersion in the conduction of the sinus impulse. PV-AT, a particularly rapid form of atrial tachycardia, may induce the morphological changes identified in the sinus P wave via this mechanism, although additional scientific evidence is required to confirm this. This increase in the inter- and intra-atrial conduction time has been strongly linked to PAF, especially in the presence of concomitant sinus node dysfunction. Finally, the electrical activity within the pulmonary vein (through sleeves) may prolong the duration of the mid and distal sections of the sinus P wave, which is also demonstrated reducing the duration of the sinus P wave following isolation of pulmonary veins for the treatment of atrial fibrillation. These abnormalities of the sinus P wave are less pronounced in right ATs. The lower atrial frequencies of these ATs may have favoured a lower degree of atrial “remodelling.” Finally, the presence of underlying occult myocardial disease (myocarditis, necrosis, and myocardial fibrosis), more related with the origin of the “isolated” atrial fibrillation, may be involved in the etiopathogenesis of the prolongation/notch of sinus P and of PV-AT itself.

In this study we also observed that patients with PV-AT associated with PAF are older and have larger atria compared with patients with isolated PV-AT. The possibility that the atrial ‘remodelling’ in these patients is an evolutive process leading to atrial dilatation and degeneration and to atrial fibrillation after a critical time is not demonstrated.

**Limitations**

An isoproterenol infusion was occasionally necessary to induce PV-AT, and the AT cycle may have been influenced by this. However, previous data confirm that PV-ATs are more rapid than other ATs.

This study does not specifically analyse the interobserver variability in the acknowledgement and measurement of the abnormalities of P wave morphology in patients with AT. In addition to this, we cannot discount that the use of antiarrhythmic drugs may contribute to these abnormalities of the sinus P wave, despite being interrupted 5 half lives prior to the procedure, and no patient having been on amiodarone.

In this study, the influence of a higher or lower origin in the right atrium of the sinus impulse on the inter- and intra-atrial conduction times and, consequently, on the duration and presence of a notch
on the sinus P wave, was not analysed. Finally, the number of patients with left ATs (group 3), despite being statistically sufficient, may not be representative of this subgroup of patients with left ATs not starting at the PV.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The cases of PV-AT are almost uniformly due to a mechanism of abnormal automatism/triggered activity and have more rapid frequencies than other ATs. Identification of prolongation ≥110 ms) and of a notch on the sinus P wave, especially among young patients (<50 years) without baseline heart disease, is a sensitive and specific indicator of AT originating in the PV. These findings have significant implications for the selection of AT ablation strategy.
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