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**Introduction and objectives.** The implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) is a well-recognized means of providing effective treatment for patients with ventricular tachycardia (VT) and structural heart disease. However, the benefits of these devices in patients with limited life-expectancy have been questioned. Moreover, the long-term efficacy of catheter ablation of VT in this setting is unknown.

**Methods.** This study involved 33 consecutive patients aged over 75 years with structural heart disease who underwent catheter ablation of VT. We investigated the efficacy of the procedure and its complications, and evaluated patient outcomes during follow-up.

**Results.** The patients’ mean age at the time of the procedure was 79.7 (3.7) years. Twenty-seven had ischemic heart disease and 6 had dilated cardiomyopathy. Their mean left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was 35.9 (8.9%). Ablation of clinical VT was successful in 28 patients (84.8%). There were no statistically significant differences in the efficacy of ablation between patients with post-infarction scars (88.9%) and those with dilated cardiomyopathy (66.7%; P=.17). An ICD was implanted after the procedure in 4 patients. Complications associated with the procedure occurred in only 3 patients. Twenty patients were contacted later, after a mean follow-up period of 38.5 (27.7) months. Nine (mean age, 82.2 [4.6] years) were still alive and reported a good quality of life, without recurrent arrhythmias.

**Conclusions.** Catheter ablation of VT in elderly patients with structural heart disease appeared to be effective and relatively safe. It could provide an alternative to ICD implantation in this patient group.
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**Resultados de la ablación con catéter de la taquicardia ventricular en pacientes ancianos con cardiopatía estructural**

**Introducción y objetivos.** El desfibrilador automático implantable (DAI) es una terapia aceptada para pacientes con taquicardia ventricular (TV) y cardiopatía estructural, pero se cuestiona su beneficio en pacientes con expectativa de vida limitada. Asimismo, se desconoce la eficacia de la ablación con catéter a largo plazo en este contexto.

**Métodos.** Se incluyó en el estudio a 33 pacientes consecutivos de edad > 75 años sometidos a ablación con catéter de una TV sobre cardiopatía estructural. Se analizaron la eficacia y las complicaciones del procedimiento, así como la evolución de los pacientes en el seguimiento.

**Resultados.** La media de edad en el momento del procedimiento fue de 79,7 ± 3,7 años; 27 pacientes tenían cardiopatía isquémica (CI) y 6, miocardiopatía dilatada (MCD). La fracción de eyeción del ventrículo izquierdo (FEVI) fue 35,9% ± 8,9%. Se logró realizar la ablación con éxito de la TV clínica en 28 pacientes (84,8%). No se encontraron diferencias en la eficacia de la ablación entre los pacientes con cicatriz postinfarto (88,8%) y aquellos con MCD (66,7%) (p = 0,17). Se implantó un DAI tras el procedimiento a 4 pacientes. Únicamente se produjeron complicaciones relacionadas con la ablación en 3 pacientes. Se logró contactar con 20 pacientes, con un seguimiento medio de 38,5 ± 27,7 meses; 9 pacientes sobreviven en la actualidad (media de edad, 82,2 ± 4,6 años) y refieren una buena calidad de vida, sin recurrencias arrítmicas.

**Conclusiones.** La ablación de TV en pacientes ancianos con cardiopatía estructural parece eficaz y relativamente segura, y podría constituir una alternativa al DAI en esta población seleccionada.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Catheter ablation has become the first treatment of choice for most tachycardias, with excellent outcomes and minimal complication rates. However, use of
this therapy is often limited in elderly patients, as it is an invasive procedure with a slightly higher risk of complications due to the more limited resistance of these patients compared to younger ones. In addition, concurrent diseases often encountered in such patients are considered as a factor that could disproportionately increase the risk of the procedure. It is likely that, for these reasons, catheter ablation is less widely indicated in elderly patients. However, the few clinical studies on catheter ablation in elderly patients have shown similar outcomes to those reported for younger patients, both in terms of efficacy and safety. An example of this is catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia (VT) in patients with structural heart disease. The efficacy and safety have not been established in elderly patients, given that the series published to date of the general population have included very few elderly people. This treatment would, however, be particularly appropriate in this population, whose concurrent diseases and short life expectancy mean that the use of other much more expensive and invasive therapeutic alternatives, such as implantable cardioverter-defibrillators (ICDs), is questioned.

The objectives of the study were: a) determine the efficacy and complications of catheter ablation of VT in elderly patients (age ≥75 years) with structural heart disease, and b) evaluate medium- and long-term outcomes.

**Figure 1.** Annual change in the number of ablations in patients aged over 75 years in our hospital.
possible to reinduce it (Figure 2). For the purposes of efficacy of the procedure, only abolition of clinically documented VT was considered, without including persistence of inducibility of other nonclinical VTs.

Follow-up

After ablation, all patients remained under observation in hospital for at least 24 hours. The patients in whom it had been possible to abolish the clinical VT were discharged without any antiarrhythmic therapy. Patients attended an outpatient follow-up visit 6 months after the procedure. Subsequent follow-up visits depended on the clinical outcome. Attempts were made to obtain a final follow-up with all patients by means of telephone contact at the end of the study.

Statistical Analysis

For the descriptive analysis, quantitative variables were expressed as mean (SD) and compared using the Student \( t \) test, after prior study for homogeneity of variance. Those variables that did not follow a normal distribution were expressed as medians [interquartile range] and compared using the Mann-Whitney \( U \) test. Qualitative variables were expressed as absolute number and percentage and compared with the Fisher test or \( \chi^2 \) test. Statistical significance was established at \( P < .05 \).

A Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was subsequently undertaken after discharge from hospital and the quality of life of the patients during follow-up was assessed.

Data were analyzed with the SPSS program (version 15.0 for Windows).
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**Table 1.** Baseline Characteristics of 33 Consecutive Patients Aged Over 75 Years With Structural Heart Disease Who Underwent Ablation of Ventricular Tachycardia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>79.7 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male</td>
<td>29 (89.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension</td>
<td>21 (63.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>9 (27.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>4 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td>6 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe renal failure (&lt;40 mL/h)</td>
<td>2 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular ejection fraction, mean (SD), %</td>
<td>35.9 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic heart disease</td>
<td>27 (81.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilated cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>6 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as n (%), or mean (SD).
RESULTS

Ablation of clinical VT was successful in 28 patients (84.8%); no significant differences in efficacy were found between patients with postinfarction scarring (88.9%) and those with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) (66.7%) (P=.17). Twenty-seven patients only required 1 procedure, 5 required a second ablation, and only 1 underwent VT ablation for multiple recurrences. In 2 patients, ablation was done epicardially (successful in a patient with ischemic heart disease but unsuccessful in a patient with DCM).

Ablation-related complications were reported in 3 patients. These were left-bundle-branch block in 1 patient, electromechanical dissociation at the start of mapping that was recovered in 1 patient, and death within 24 hours of the procedure due to cardiac arrest in 1 patient.

After the procedure, an ICD was implanted in 4 patients, all with very severe left ventricular dysfunction. Despite achieving success with ablation of clinical VT, several VTs with different morphologies to the clinical VT were induced.

We managed to contact 20 patients, with a mean follow-up of 38.5 (27.7) months; 9 patients were alive at the time of writing (mean age, 82.2 [4.6] years) and reported a good quality of life, without recurrence of arrhythmia and, 11 patients had died, 4 due to cardiovascular causes (1 sudden death), 3 due to noncardiac causes (neoplastic disease and sepsis), and 4 due to unknown causes (1 of whom had an ICD).

DISCUSSION

Catheter ablation is an accepted alternative for the treatment of VT, with an efficacy of 55% to 70% and a complications rate of between 1.8% and 10% according to the findings of different series. The Spanish Catheter Ablation Registry for 2007 found an ablation efficacy of 69.9% for VT associated with postinfarction scarring and 65.6% for TV associated with nonischemic structural heart disease. These results make the procedure acceptable for the general population. However, often, it is not considered as a therapeutic option in elderly patients, who, as discussed earlier, are classed as high-risk patients.

Nevertheless, there are no studies in the literature that assess the efficacy and safety of catheter ablation in this age subgroup. Traditionally, elderly patients have been excluded from clinical trials even though these should strive to faithfully reflect the target population. This would mean including elderly patients in a proportion determined by their prevalence. The results of this study in elderly patients do not differ from those published for younger populations, both in terms of success of the procedure and the rate of complications. This suggests that VT ablation is effective and relatively safe in these patients.

One aspect that should be discussed is the annual distribution of ablation procedures in this study (Figure 1). A progressive increase has been observed over the last decade, with 2002 being the year in which the most number of procedures (8) were carried out. Subsequently, despite the progressive aging of the population and resulting increase in the incidence of patients with VT, the number of patients undergoing ablation in the last 5 years has remained stable. This stable trend could result from optimization of treatment of ischemic heart disease, with early reperfusion strategies and optimum medical treatment, which have contributed to a reduction in the number of patients with postinfarction scarring and ventricular dysfunction. Another determining factor can be found in the greater number of patients with ICD in Spain. According to the Spanish Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator Registry, in 2007, the number of ICD implants increased for secondary prevention, whereas the number for primary prevention has leveled off. However, this recommendation should be treated with caution and elderly patients should be considered on an individual basis, given that the greater number of concurrent diseases and lower life expectancy reduces the cost-effectiveness ratio of this therapy. Catheter ablation might therefore be an appropriate option.

Procedural Complications

Ablation-related procedures occurred in 3 patients (9.1%). In the literature, there are no comparative data for the complication rates in this high-risk population, although as pointed out earlier, it is similar to that described for younger populations according to different series.

Limitations

In this study, data were collected retrospectively using a database, medical records, discharge reports, and telephone contact. In addition, the single-center design of the study makes it difficult to generalize the results, as these depend on the number of ablations per center and the experience of the operators. Thirteen patients were lost to follow-up, partly because of the long duration of the study and because many patients were referred to our hospital from other provinces in Spain. Likewise, we should
remember that the assessment of quality of life by the patients is subjective.

CONCLUSIONS

Due to aging of the population, the number of elderly patients with VT susceptible to radiofrequency ablation is increasing and it increases with the coming years. Catheter ablation of VT in elderly patients with structural heart disease seems to have a similar efficacy to that published for the general population and is relatively safe. It should therefore be considered a reasonable therapeutic option in this selected population, in which therapeutic alternatives are not devoid of complications and whose cost is questioned when there are concurrent diseases or a short life expectancy.
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